



# Impact of Weather Parameters on the Population Fluctuation of Major Sucking Pest in Summer Mung Bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]

Sushant Kumar<sup>1,7</sup>, SK. MD. Ajaharuddin<sup>2</sup>, R. Tejaswini<sup>3</sup>, Aditya Patel<sup>1</sup>, Abhinandan Yadav<sup>4</sup>, Revappa M. Rebasiddanavar<sup>5</sup>, Abhishek Yadav<sup>6</sup>

10.18805/LR-5317

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Mungbean may be grown in an extensive range of agro climatic zones in India and across the world. It is a crucial component of our diet. Because of its capacity to fix nitrogen, it also plays a vital role in managing soil fertility. Mung beans are one of the most significant crops for farmers as a cash crop. In order to implement control measures effectively and on schedule, it is crucial to monitor the population dynamics of major sucking pests, such as aphids, jassids and whiteflies in relation of weather parameters.

**Methods:** PDM-139 (Samrat) variety seeds were manually planted 5-7 cm deep in the ground. Weekly observations were made from 10 randomly chosen plants Split cage methods were used to record the observations of jassids and whiteflies and aphids from the top and bottom surfaces of the first three trifoliate plants were tallied. Averages population were computed.

**Result:** The incidence of pest population start on the 14-15<sup>th</sup> standard meteorological weeks which attain their peak population in 19<sup>th</sup> SMW. The insect-pest population was established positive correlation with the max and min temperature whereas population was negatively correlated with R.H. and Rainfall.

**Key words:** Aphid, Jassid, Mungbean, Population, Weather parameters, Whitefly.

## INTRODUCTION

Pulses are an important source of protein in the diet of human being throughout the world which can be cultivated in wide range of agro-climate zone of India as well as world. Pulses are integrated part of our food and also plays a vital role for the management of soil fertility due to their nitrogen fixation ability. India is the largest pulse growing country in term of area and production (Yadav *et al.*, 2022) Among the pulses, Green gram or mung bean, *Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek (Family: Leguminosae, Sub-family: Papilionaceae) is a cash crop and one of the important crops for the farmers (Kumar *et al.*, 2023). A.P., Maharashtra, Orissa, Rajasthan, M.P., U.P. and Punjab is an important state of India where this pulse crop can be grown in all three season *viz.* *Kharif*, *Rabi* and *Zaid* (Summer). Globally, mungbeans are cultivated on around 7.3 million hectares, with an average yield of 721 kg/ha. Between India and Myanmar, 5.3 million tonnes of output are generated worldwide, accounting for 30% of the total. Other important producers are Kenya, Tanzania, Indonesia, Thailand, China and Kenya (Nair *et al.*, 2020). In India, it accounts for 11% of the total pulse output in 2021-2022 and is cultivated on around 40.38 lakh hectares, yielding a total production of 31.5 lakh tonnes at a productivity of 783 kg/ha. On an area of 33.37 lakh hectares, greengram output in 2022-2023 was 17.5 lakh tonnes (first advance estimates) (Anonymous, 2022). The low productivity of mung bean may be attributed to a wide range of factors, in which insects have paramount importance. Up to 64 *spp.* of insects has been reported in the mungbean crop (Mahore *et al.*, 2023).

<sup>1</sup>Department of Entomology, S.V.P. University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut-250 110, Uttar Pradesh, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Entomology, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Nadia-741 252, West Bengal, India.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Horticulture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Gandhi Krishi Vigyana Kendra, Bengaluru-560 065, Karnataka, India.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Entomology, C.S.A. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur-208 002, Uttar Pradesh, India.

<sup>5</sup>Central Sericulture Research and Training Institute, Mysuru-570 008, Karnataka, India.

<sup>6</sup>A.N.D. University of Agriculture and Technology, Ayodhya-224 229, Uttar Pradesh, India.

<sup>7</sup>Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, GLA University, Mathura-281 406, Uttar Pradesh, India.

**Corresponding Author:** Abhinandan Yadav, Department of Entomology, C.S.A. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur-208 002, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Email: ysaurabhkumar111@gmail.com

**How to cite this article:** Kumar, S., Ajaharuddin, S.M., Tejaswini, R., Patel, A., Yadav, A., Rebasiddanavar, R.M. and Yadav, A. (2024). Impact of Weather Parameters on the Population Fluctuation of Major Sucking Pest in Summer Mung Bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Legume Research. doi: 10.18805/LR-5317.

**Submitted:** 05-03-2024 **Accepted:** 01-09-2024 **Online:** 01-10-2024

The insect pests noted on mungbean involve jassid (*Empoasca motti*, Pruthi), thrips (*Caliothrips indicus*, Bagnall), whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*, Genn.), semilooper (*Plusia orichalcea*, Fab.), green bug (*Nezara viridula*, Linn.), stemfly (*Ophiomyia phaseoli*, Tryon.), pod borers

(*Helicoverpa armigera*, Hubner and *Maruca testulalis*, Geyer), tortricid moth (*Cydia ptychora*, Meyr), galerucid beetle (*Madurasia obscurella*, Jacoby) and cutworm (*Agrotis ipsilon*, Hufn) (Kumar *et al.*, 2004, Nitharwal *et al.*, 2013, Gehlot *et al.*, 2021). Insect pests have been estimated to cause 30% annual loss in mungbean and urd beans (Tamang *et al.*, 2017). In mungbeans the avoidable caused by insect pest complex varied from 27.03 per cent to 38.06 per cent with an average of 32.97 per cent (Duraimurugan *et al.*, 2014). Pest appearance, population fluctuations, infestation rate and crop yields are very much dependent on the time of sowing (Kabir *et al.*, 2014). Hence, it is very much important monitor the population dynamics of important sucking pest *viz.* Aphid, jassid and whitefly to carry the control measures well on time. Similarly, different biotic and abiotic factors or parameters are known to influence the population buildup and suppression. Keeping this in mind, an attempt has been made to study the impact of abiotic parameters on the population fluctuation of sucking pest in mung bean.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Crop Research Center of SVP University of Ag. and Tech., Meerut during *Summer*, 2021 and 2022. The area under investigation is situated 29°04' N latitude and 77°42'E longitudes at an altitude of 237 meter above the mean sea level (MSL). The experiment was laid out in 100 m<sup>2</sup> plot with PDM-139 (Samrat) variety which was sown manually 5-7 cm deep in soil. The distance was maintained, keeping row to row and plant to plant distance of 30 cm and 10 cm, respectively. The sowing was done on 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2021 for first and 25<sup>th</sup> March 2022 for the second year experiment. Observations were recorded from 10 randomly selected plants at weekly interval from the vegetative stage of plant when initial populations of insects start. The observations for jassid and whiteflies were recorded through split cage methods and Aphids was counted from upper and lower surface from the first three trifoliolate of plants. Average populations were calculated. Meteorological data were collected from

Department of Soil Science, SVPUAT, Meerut. Impact of abiotic parameters on the population fluctuation was carried out with simple correlation formula given by Gomez *et al.* (1984).

$$r = \frac{S_{xy}}{[(S_x^2)(S_y^2)]^{1/2}}$$

Where:

R= Simple correlation coefficient.

Sx<sup>2</sup>= Correlated sum of squares for meteorological parameters.

Sy<sup>2</sup>= Correlated sum of square for pest incidence.

Sxy= Correlated sum of cross products.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Incidence of sucking insect pests started after 20 days of sowing and paramount occurrence of pest population were observed from the 14<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> Standard meteorological week till harvesting of crop in 2021 and 2022, respectively. The mean population of major sucking pest in mungbean *i.e.* aphid, jassid and whitefly were recorded and tabulated with weather parameters in Table 1 and Table 2.

### Population fluctuation of aphids in summer mung 2021 and 2022

Aphid population was first time recorded in 14<sup>th</sup> S.M.W. of 2021 from the first three trifoliolate of plant and calculated average population of aphid per leaf. The population of aphid was remains in the field till the harvesting of the crop. At the time of first appearance for aphid (2.87 aphid per leaf), max. and min. temperature were 35.09°C and 17.08°C, relative humidity at morning and evening was 48.57 per cent and 26.57 per cent, respectively were also recorded. There was no sign of rainfall during that period. The pest activity gradually increased from the 2<sup>nd</sup> week of April in 2021 and reached on its peak in 19<sup>th</sup> S.M.W. (2<sup>nd</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> week of May) with 10.93 aphid per leaf, during this period max. and min. temperature was 36.14°C and 22.76°C while R.H. during morning and evening was 65.71 per cent and 47.14 per cent, respectively. The rainfall was recorded at the peak of aphid population was 3.80 mm. The population

**Table 1:** Population buildup for sucking insect-pests in relation of weather parameters during *Summer*-2021.

S.M.W.*	Dates	Per cage population			Temperature (°C)		Relative humidity (%)		Rainfall (mm)
		Aphid	Jassid	Whitefly	Maximum	Minimum	Morning	Evening	
14	5 Apr-11 Apr	2.87	2.20	6.07	35.09	17.84	48.57	26.57	0.00
15	12 Apr-18 Apr	6.20	7.53	8.27	38.26	19.26	37.57	22.00	0.00
16	19 Apr-25 Apr	8.33	8.80	12.67	37.01	20.84	42.57	26.14	0.10
17	26 Apr-2 May	9.53	9.00	14.00	37.27	20.93	39.29	22.86	3.40
18	3 May-9 May	10.00	10.27	15.20	38.77	24.19	45.43	27.00	1.00
19	10 May-16 May	10.93	10.67	15.93	36.14	22.76	65.71	47.14	3.80
20	17 May-23 May	6.80	7.00	4.53	33.39	21.97	58.14	35.43	5.40
21	24 May-30 May	2.33	4.20	3.07	34.63	22.11	64.57	44.71	4.20
22	31 May-6 June	1.60	1.67	2.47	37.69	24.16	58.86	34.29	3.70

\*Standard meteorological week.

of aphid was started to declining from 20<sup>th</sup> week S.M.W. when the population was 6.80 aphids per leaf and gradually decreased till 22<sup>th</sup> S. M.W. of 2021 (1.60 aphid per leaf), at this time the max and min temperature was 37.69°C and 24.16°C, R.H. during morning and evening was 58.86 per cent and 34.29 per cent, respectively. 3.70 mm rainfall had recorded.

In successive year, the aphid population ranged from 4.80 to 10.80 aphids per leaf during crop period. The data reported (Table 2) that first appearance of aphid (6.13 aphid/leaf) was in 15<sup>th</sup> S.M.W. of 2022 which was 3<sup>rd</sup> week of April. In this S.M.W. the max and min. temperature was 40.90°C and 20.06°C and R.H. in morning and evening was 39.00 per cent and 21.14 per cent, respectively. The 0.00 mm rainfall had been recorded. The population was rapidly increased and attained to the peak of 10.80 aphids per leaf in 19<sup>th</sup> S.M.W. (3<sup>rd</sup> week of May) when the max and min temperature was 41.94°C and 25.71°C, Relative humidity in morning and evening was 34.86 per cent and 15.86 per cent, respectively and rainfall was 0.00 mm. After attaining its peak, the population of started to decline and reached up to 4.80 aphid per leaf (23<sup>rd</sup> S.M.W.) just before harvesting of the crop. At this time the max and min temperature was 43.99°C and 26.84°C, Relative humidity in morning and evening was 38.29 per cent and 21.43 per cent, respectively. Rainfall (0.04 mm) also recorded during this time.

The preceding study investigated by Shanthi *et al.* (2020) and Sapkal *et al.* (2022) indicated that the aphid population in the summer crop reached its maximum in the 15<sup>th</sup> SMW (third week of April 2016). The outcomes of these discoveries are earlier also described in the similar of occurrence by the Ojha *et al.* (2022) here. These investigations also strengthen by Priya *et al.* (2022) who noted maximum population of aphid during 17<sup>th</sup>-18<sup>th</sup> SMW.

#### Population fluctuation of Jassid in summer mung 2021 and 2022

Jassid appeared on mungbean crop in 14<sup>th</sup> S.M.W. (2.20 jassid/plant) *i.e.* 2<sup>nd</sup> week of April. Nymph and adult of jassid suck the sap from the plant and multiply their population.

In 14<sup>th</sup> S.M.W., max and min temperature was 35.09°C and 17.84°C, morning and evening Relative humidity was 48.57 per cent and 26.57 per cent, respectively. Rainfall also recorded during this week which was 0.00 mm. This population reached at its peak in 19<sup>th</sup> S.M.W. where the population of jassid was 10.67 per cage. At peak level of jassid aphid, max., min. temperature, Humidity in morning and evening and rainfall was 36.14°C, 22.76°C, 65.71 per cent, 47.14 per cent and 3.80 mm, respectively. After this week, the population declined and reaches up to 1.67 jassid per cage in 22<sup>nd</sup> SMW of 2021 (1<sup>st</sup> week of June).

In next year the appearance was delayed due to late sowing. Here, the insect appear in the 15<sup>th</sup> SMW or 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> week of April (4.80 jassid per plant) when the weather parameters were same as appearance time of aphid. Soon this population reached on its peak which was 10.13 jassid per cage in 19<sup>th</sup> week of 2022. During this week (2<sup>nd</sup> week of May) the weather parameters also recorded *i.e.* max (41.94°C) and min (25.71°C) Temperature, Relative humidity in morning (34.86 per cent) and evening (15.86 per cent) and rainfall (0.00 mm), respectively. From 20<sup>th</sup> SMW the population started decreasing and during final observation for the jassid, population in 23<sup>rd</sup> SMW was 0.73 per cage and weather parameter was remain same as aphid's population during 6<sup>th</sup> June to 12 June in 2022.

The results of this study are consistent with the findings presented by Kumar *et al.*, (2016), who reported that the incidence of *E. kerri* peaked in the 20<sup>th</sup> Standard meteorological week and continued to rise until the 22<sup>nd</sup> Standard meteorological week, with the highest population reported during that week. The results are corroborated by Selvam *et al.* (2022), who observed that the summer crop's 15<sup>th</sup> SMW had maximum population of jassid adhering to which the population declined, Along with these scientist Singh *et al.*, 2022 also supported to this with the similar pattern of occurrence for the Jassid.

#### Population fluctuation of Whitefly in summer mung 2021 and 2022

Incidence of whitefly on mungbean was reported in 14<sup>th</sup> SMW of 2021 with 6.07 whiteflies per cage where the

**Table 2:** Population buildup for sucking insect-pests in relation of weather parameters during Summer-2022.

S.M.W.*	Dates	Per leaf			Per cage population		Temperature (°C)		Relative humidity (%)		Rainfall (mm)
		Aphid	Jassid	Whitefly	Maximum	Minimum	Morning	Evening			
15	11 Apr-17 Apr	6.13	4.80	6.33	40.90	20.06	39.00	21.14	0.00		
16	18 Apr-24 Apr	7.93	8.47	7.16	41.09	21.20	35.86	19.14	0.01		
17	25 Apr-1 May	8.93	10.00	10.40	42.39	24.21	35.00	17.71	0.00		
18	2 May-8 May	9.33	9.93	11.00	43.07	23.50	40.86	21.29	0.57		
19	9 May-15 May	10.80	10.13	16.07	41.94	25.71	34.86	15.86	0.00		
20	16 May-22 May	7.53	8.13	9.67	42.89	24.71	39.86	20.57	4.59		
21	23 May-29 May	9.53	9.13	7.80	41.20	26.00	40.86	19.86	0.00		
22	30 May-5 June	6.00	4.13	3.73	36.54	21.36	59.00	31.86	1.79		
23	6 June-12 June	4.80	0.73	6.80	43.99	26.84	38.29	21.43	0.04		

\*Standard meteorological week.

weather parameters were similar at the time of aphid appearance. The whitefly's peak population was recorded during 10 to 16<sup>th</sup> May *i.e.* 19<sup>th</sup> SMW where it was 15.93 whiteflies per cage. The min and max temperature (36.14 °C and 22.76°C), morning and evening humidity (65.71 per cent and 47.14 per cent) and Rainfall (3.80 mm) also recorded. The population after reaching its peak, sudden downfall has been observed and the population recorded before the harvesting was 2.47 whiteflies/cage during 22<sup>nd</sup> SMW when max and min temperature (37.69°C and 24.16 °C), relative humidity in morning (58.86 per cent) and evening (34.29 per cent) and 3.70 mm rainfall was also recorded.

Similarly, during the second year of investigation *i.e.* summer, 2022 the incidence of whitefly was observed initially in 15<sup>th</sup> SMW (3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> week of April) with mean population of 6.33 whiteflies/cage. It increased gradually and reached to peak (16.07 whiteflies/cage) on 19<sup>th</sup> SMW (2<sup>nd</sup> week of May) when max and min temperature, relative humidity at morning and evening and rainfall were 41.94 °C, 25.71°C, 34.86 per cent, 15.86 per cent and 0.00 mm, respectively. After 19<sup>th</sup> SMW, minimum population of whitefly recorded (6.80 whiteflies/cage) on 23<sup>rd</sup> Standard week of 2022. During the period, max (43.99°C) and min (26.84°C) temperature, relative humidity in morning (38.29 per cent) and evening (21.43 per cent) and rainfall (0.04 mm) also recorded.

The present findings are supported by Singh *et al.*, 2022 who stated that the peak of whitefly population was observed in the 19<sup>th</sup> SMW. Similarly, present findings are consistent with the findings reported by Ahirwar *et al.* (2016), who found that the peak incidence of whitefly (*B. tabaci*) detected on April 17. These results also concur with the results obtained by Sujatha *et al.* (2017), who observed that whitefly incidence, developed on the crop and persisted from the 13<sup>th</sup> to the 17<sup>th</sup> SMW.

#### Relationship of insect-pests population and weather parameters during summer 2021 and 2022

After analyzed data simple correlation between aphid population and weather parameters during summer 2021 and 2022 are concluded in the Table 3. The population had significant positive correlation ( $r= 0.303$  and  $0.185$ , respectively) with maximum temperature and minimum temperature during 2021 ( $r= 0.178$ ) and 2022 ( $r= 0.282$ ). Significant negative impact had been found with Morning R.H. ( $r= -0.280$  and  $-0.403$ ) and evening R.H ( $r= -0.113$  and

$-0.597$ ) during summer 2021 and 2022, respectively. Increased rainfall was one of the important reasons for declining population of aphid due to this, rainfall establish significantly negative correlation with fluctuation of aphid population in summer 2021 and 2022 *i.e.*  $r= -0.057$  and  $-0.178$ , respectively.

The result of simple correlation ( $r$ ) between the jassid and weather parameter demonstrated (Table 3) that relationship between max. temperature and jassid population had simple significant positive correlation ( $r= 0.314$  and  $0.155$ ) whereas minimum temperature correlated ( $r= 0.205$  and  $0.073$ ) with population less positive significantly. Weather factors other than temperature *i.e.* R.H. and rainfall was correlated negatively whereas the R.H. during morning time found significantly negatively correlated during 2021 ( $r= -0.267$ ) and 2022 ( $-0.389$ ). The correlation of evening R.H. with jassid population was non-significant negative during 2021 ( $r= -0.069^*$ ) and significant negative during 2022 ( $r= -0.549$ ). Correlation of rainfall ( $r= -0.058^*$  and  $-0.010^*$ ) was non-significantly negative with jassid population.

The analyzed data has been demonstrated that maximum ( $r= 0.485$  and  $0.525$ ) and minimum temperature ( $r= 0.102$  and  $0.477$ ) has been established a significant positive correlation with the population of whitefly in consecutive years whereas relative humidity and rainfall was negatively correlated with population buildup of whitefly. Morning humidity ( $r= -0.362$  and  $-0.613$ ) and Evening humidity ( $r= -0.190$  and  $-0.744$ ) was established significantly negative correlation with population of whitefly. The correlation of rainfall with incidence of whitefly was  $-0.057$  and  $-0.085$ , respectively in summer 2021 and 2022 which was significant negative correlation.

The results of Mawtham *et al.* (2023) and Aulakh *et al.* (2024), which revealed that the populations of aphids and jassids exhibited a positive association with maximum temperature and minimum temperature whereas negative correlation with relative humidity, corroborate the current findings. The present studies are strengthened by Shilpakala *et al.* (2016), who report that these results are consistent with the findings that the aphid and leafhopper are significant, positively correlated with maximum temperature and negatively correlated with relative humidity and rainfall and this was also supported by Panickar *et al.*, (2023). Chakraborty (2011) and Deepika *et al.* (2013) have

**Table 3:** Correlation between insect-pests population with weather parameters during Summer, 2021 and 2022.

Weather parameters		Summer- 2021			Summer- 2022		
		Aphid	Jassid	Whitefly	Aphid	Jassid	Whitefly
Temperature (°C)	Maximum	0.303	0.314	0.485	0.185	0.155	0.525
	Minimum	0.178	0.205	0.102	0.282	0.073	0.477
Relative humidity (%)	Morning	-0.280	-0.267	-0.362	-0.403	-0.389	-0.613
	Evening	-0.113	-0.069	-0.190	-0.597	-0.549	-0.744
Rainfall (mm)		-0.057	-0.058	-0.288	-0.178	-0.010*	-0.085

Significant at 5% level ( $P = 0.05$ ) ; \*NS= Non significant.

also reported similar observations. While Bairwa *et al.* (2017) also supported the current study, which found that maximum temperature had a positive correlation with the population fluctuation of Aphid, Jassid and whitefly while all insects had a negative correlation with rainfall, Yadav *et al.* (2015) stated that the population of whiteflies established a positive correlation with the temperature, which is similar to the findings of the present study. The present study was also supported by Rajawat *et al.* (2020) and Mohaptra *et al.* (2018), who reported that the population of whiteflies and aphids favourably connected with temperature and negatively linked with rainfall and afternoon and evening humidity. Along with those supporting literature, Gehlot *et al.* (2021) also present to their findings which was similar with the present observations, noted that the population of aphid, jassid and whitefly was positively correlated with temperature but it was negatively correlated with the rainfall.

## CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the starting incidence of population for sucking insect-pests reached on their peaks on 18<sup>th</sup> to 19<sup>th</sup> SMW of both year *i.e.* Aphid, Jassid and whitefly. The population of these pest was observed on the mungbean till the harvesting. Rainfall also decreased the population of sucking pest through washout which was responsible for the negative association with the insect population.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author records with sincerity my heartfelt gratitude to Dean and Director Research as well Department of Entomology at S.V.P. University of Ag. and Tech., Meerut generous assistance and efforts for providing facilities that I need at every stage of investigation for completing this work successfully.

## Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Conflict of interest

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

- Ahirwar, B. and Bhowmick, A.K. (2016). Incidence of major insect-pests of summer mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] in kymore plateau and satpura hills of Madhya Pradesh. *Annals of Biology*. 32(1): 55-58.
- Anonymous (2022). ANGRAU Greengram Outlook Report-January to December 2022. pp: 2-4.
- Aulakh, G.K., Bajaj, K., Kaur, G. and Kaur, N. (2024). Effect of meteorological parameters on the incidence of insect-pests of green gram during *summer* and *kharif* season. *Agriculture Association of Textile Chemical and Critical Reviews Journal*. 12(1): 98-101.
- Bairwa, B. and Singh, P.S. (2017). Population dynamics of major insect pests of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.Wilczek) in relation to abiotic factors in gangetic plains. *The Bioscan*, 12(3): 1371-1373.
- Chakraborty, K. (2011). Incidence of aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Hemiptera: Aphididae) on tomato crop in the Agro-climatic conditions of the northern parts of West Bengal, India. *World Journal of Zoology*. 6: 187-191.
- Deepika, K., Dahiya, K.K., Roshan, L. and Dalip, K. (2013). Influence of abiotic factors on major sucking insect pests in cotton cultivars. *Journal of Cotton Research and Development*. 27: 267-271.
- Duraimurugan, P. and Tyagi, K. (2014). Pest Spectra, succession and yield losses in mung bean and Urd bean under changing climatic scenario. *Legume Research*. 37(2): 212-222. doi: 10.5958/j.0976-0571.37.2.032.
- Gehlot, L. and Prajapat, A.K. (2021). Seasonal incidence of insect pests on mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) in correlation with meteorological data. *Agricultural Science Digest*. 41 (Special Issue): 199-202. doi: 10.18805/ag.D-5222.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). *Statistical procedures for agricultural research*. John Wiley and Sons. pp. 644-645.
- Kabir, M., Afzal Hossain, M., Farhat, T., Yasmin, S. and Rahman, N.Md.F. (2014). Effects of different botanicals and chemicals on the incidence of sucking insect pests and their impact on mosaic disease of mungbean. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Development*. 3(5): 62-68.
- Kumar, D., Shukla, A. and Bondre, M.C. (2016). Succession and incidence of insect pest on green gram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) during summer season. *International Journal of Advanced Life Sciences*. 5(5): 1782-1784.
- Kumar, R., Razvi, S.M.S. and Ali, S. (2004). Seasonal and varietal variation in the population of whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci* Genn.) and incidence of yellow mosaic virus in urd and mungbean. *Indian Journal of Entomology*. 66(2): 155-158.
- Kumar, S., Singh, D.V., Singh, H. and Patel, A. (2023). Influence of weather parameters on the population build up of spotted pod borer (*Maruca vitrata* Fab.) in greengram. *Journal of Entomological Research*. 47(4): 722-724.
- Mahore, P. and Pandey, A.K. (2023) Seasonal incidence of major insect pests of mungbean. *Indian Journal of Entomology*. 85 (1): 219-221. doi: 10.55446/IJE.2022.802.
- Mawtham, M.M., Justin, C.G.L. and Roseleen, S.S.J. (2023). Seasonal fluctuation and management of sucking insect pests on bitter melon (*Momordica charantia* L.). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 57(1): 110-115. doi: 10.18805/IJARE.A-5572.
- Mohapatra, M.M., Singh, D.C., Gupta, P.K., Chandra, U., Patro, B. and Mohapatra, S.D. (2018). Seasonal incidence of major insect pests on blackgram, *Vigna mungo* (Linn.) and its correlation with weather parameters. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science*. 7(6): 3886-3890.
- Nair, R. and Schreinemachers, P. (2020). Global status and economic importance of mungbean. In book: *The Mungbean Genome* (pp.1-8). doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-20008-4\_1.

- Nitharwal, M., Kumawat, K.C. and Choudhary, M. (2013). Population dynamics of insect pests of green gram, *Vigna radiata* in semi-arid region of Rajasthan. *Journal of Insect Science*. 26(1): 90-92.
- Ojha, A.K., Tomar, S.P.S., Naveen, Kumar, N., Suman, S. and Saxena, S. (2022). Population dynamics of major insect pests complex of green gram, [*Vigna radiata* (Linn.)] and their correlation. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. SP-11(4): 145-148.
- Panickar, B., Patel, M.P. and Patel, R.M. (2023) Impact of Date of Sowing and Weather Parameters on Insect Pests Infesting Summer Mungbean. *Environment and Ecology*. 41(4C). Article doi: <https://doi.org/10.60151/envec/HYGF4397>.
- Priya, S.S., Suganthy, M., Murugan, M. and Renukadevi, P. (2022) Influence of weather parameters on seasonal occurrence of aphids and Cucurbit aphid-borne yellows virus (CABYV) on bitter melon, *Momordica charantia* L. *Biological Forum-An International Journal*. 14(2a): 232-237.
- Rajawat, I.S., Kumar, A., Alam, M.A., Tiwari, R.K. and Pandey, A.K. (2020). Insect Pest of Black Gram (*Vigna mungo* L.) and Their Management in Vindhya Region. *Legume Research. Online First Articles*. 1-8. doi: 10.18805/LR4171.
- Sapkal, S.D., Mehendale, S.K., Shinde, B.D., Sanap, P.B. and Chavan, S.S. (2022). Seasonal incidence of major sucking pests on okra. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 11(3): 68-72.
- Selvam, K., Shiva, N., Manikandan, P., Archunan, K. and Saravanaraman, M. (2022). Studies on seasonal incidence and diversity of major pests in black gram under rainfed conditions. *Journal of Entomological Research*. 46 (2): 300-305.
- Shanthy M, Srinivasan G, Kalyanasundaram M. (2020). Population dynamics of sucking pests and their natural enemies in okra as influenced by weeds. *Indian Journal of Entomology*. 82(1): 151-155.
- Shilpakala, V. and Murali, K.T. (2016). Seasonal incidence of Serpentine Leaf Miner, *Liriomyza trifolii* and influence of weather parameters on its incidence in different sowings of Castor utivars. *International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology*. 5: 3742-3748.
- Singh, S.P., Singh, S.K. and Chandra, U. (2022). Incidence of insect pests on summer mungbean in relation to weather parameters. *Biological Forum-An international Journal*. 14(13): 1492: 1496.
- Sujatha, B. and Bharpoda, T.M. (2017). Succession of major insect pests and impact of abiotic factors in green gram, *Agriculture Update*. 12(10): 2788-2794.
- Tamang, S., Venkatarao, P., Chatterjee, M., Chakraborty, G. (2017). Population dynamics of major insect pests of mung bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) wilczek] and correlation with abiotic factors under terai agroclimatic zone of West Bengal. *The Bioscan an International Quarterly Journal of Life Science*. 12(2): 893-897.
- Yadav, A., Singh, G., Singh, H., Chaudhary, M., Gautam, M.P., Singh, G., Yadav, T. and Yadav, A. (2022). Impact of abiotic factors on population fluctuation of major pod borers in black gram under Western U.P. Conditions. *Legume Research-An International Journal*. doi: 10.18805/LR-4831.
- Yadav, S.K., Agnihotri, M. and Bisht, R.S. (2015). Seasonal incidence of insect-pests of blackgram, *Vigna mungo* (Linn.) and its correlation with abiotic factors. *Agricultural Science Digest*. 35(2): 146-148.