Estimating Heterogeneity and Pooled Prevalence of Classical Swine Fever in Pigs in India: A Meta-analysis

P. Prema¹, Y.C. Bangar², Vijay Bahadur Sharma¹, Sanjay Kumar¹, Dinesh Kumar¹, Med Ram Verma¹

10.18805/IJAR.B-4374

ABSTRACT

Background: Classical swine fever (CSF) is caused by small enveloped RNA virus that belongs to the Flaviviridae family and causes high morbidity and mortality events for pig farming. In India, it is highly prevalent but with varied distribution in various geographical areas resulting in substantial economic losses to farmers. The discrepancy in prevalence estimates across studies needs to be evaluated in order to strengthen the prevention and control strategies of CSF in pigs. The aim of the present study was to provide the pooled estimate of the prevalence of classical swine fever (CSF) in India and to examine the consistency of those estimates between published studies.

Methods: The data regarding prevalence of CSF in 7045 pigs were compiled from 22 published studies using comprehensive literature search for period from 1998 to 2018. The pooled prevalence of CSF was obtained under random effects model and heterogeneity between studies was determined using I² Index. Representation of meta-analysis was done using forest plot and followed by funnel plot, Baujat plot and radial plot.

Result: The result of Meta-analysis revealed that pooled estimate of prevalence of CSF under random effects model was 45% (95% confidence interval: 35, 55%) in India. The heterogeneity statistic (Q) was found to be significant (p<0.001) with I²=98% which indicated that prevalence of CSF was inconsistent between the studies. Likewise, results of funnel plot and Radial plot indicated heterogeneity between studies. Most influential studies for overall heterogeneity were identified using Baujat and radical plots.

Key words: Classical swine fever, Heterogeneity, Meta-analysis, Pig, Prevalence.

INTRODUCTION

Classical swine fever (CSF), also known as 'Hog Cholera' is an OIE List A disease causing vast economic losses to pig farming all over the countries worldwide (Anonymous, 2020). It is caused by a small enveloped RNA virus which belongs to the family Flaviviridae and genus Pestivirus (Wengler *et al.*, 1995). The transmission of virus happens through saliva, urine and faeces of infected animals (Depner *et al.*, 1996). It affects animal with more severity and causing high mortality (Lalremruata *et al.*, 2015). Singh *et al.* (2016) estimated high annual economic losses (Rs. 4.3 billion) in pigs using sample survey data of India.

In India, the pig population is 9.06 million as per 20th national livestock census (2019) which decreased about 12% as compared to 19th livestock census (2012). The exotic/ crossbred pigs contribute about 21% of the total pig population, while 79% population belongs to indigenous/ non-descript category. The disease is endemic in India due to its high prevalence among pig population. The first appearance of CSF was reported in India in 1944 (Krishnamurty et al., 1962). This disease shows acute, chronic or inapparent form (Rout et al., 2015). Over the decades, several authors reported high incidences of disease in different states of India (Nandi et al., 2011; Deori et al., 2012; Ahuja et al., 2014; Choori et al., 2015; Mukherjee et al., 2018). The findings reported earlier showed discrepancies may be due to discrepancy between location, period and sample size of the studies.

¹Division of Livestock Economics, Statistics and Information Technology, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly-243 122, Uttar Pradesh, India.

²Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Hisar-125 001, Haryana, India.

Corresponding Author: Med Ram Verma, Division of Livestock Economics, Statistics and Information Technology, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly-243 122, Uttar Pradesh, India. Email: medramverma@rediffmail.com

How to cite this article: Prema, P., Bangar, Y.C., Sharma, V.B., Kumar, S., Kumar, D. and Verma, M.R. (2023). Estimating Heterogeneity and Pooled Prevalence of Classical Swine Fever in Pigs in India: A Meta-analysis. Indian Journal of Animal Research. 57(9): 1221-1226. doi: 10.18805/IJAR.B-4374.

Submitted: 04-12-2020	Accepted: 13-03-2021	Online: 12-04-2021
-----------------------	----------------------	--------------------

In order to reconcile these findings, systematic approach is of utmost necessity in order to provide a reliable estimate of the disease. Meta-analysis combines the results from multiple studies and offers generalize and more reliable conclusions (Walker *et al.*, 2008). It also highlights the causes of variations present in studies, increases precision and provides insights for future hypotheses.

The purpose of the present study was to obtain pooled estimates of CSF prevalence in pigs by employing metaanalysis technique. Further, the heterogeneity amongst the studies was also to be examined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Literature search

Systematic review of prevalence of CSF in India was carried out by using published studies for a period of 21 years from 1998 to 2018 from various journals and online search engines like PubMed, Science Direct, Google Scholar, NCBI, J-Gate, Krishikosh, *etc.* All references in these studies were cross examined to find additional research papers not included in previous searches.

Data sources and study selection

A set inclusion criterion for selection of studies have been made on the basis of study objectives that required information such as author, year, location, period of study, total sample size and positive number per study. Studies regarding prevalence of CSF in India were extracted in Indian Scenario and flow diagram of selection of studies used in meta-analysis is presented in Fig 1. Out of total 73 studies, 39 studies were irrelevant as prevalence was not reported for India. Although the data of 44 studies were expected, however, 22 studies were inadequate due to lack of information on the prevalence of CSF. Finally, 22 studies were included in meta-analysis (Table 1).

Meta-analysis

Random effects model was employed to obtain pooled estimates of CSF prevalence (Higgins and Thompson, 2002; Borenstein, 2009). The Statistic Q was used to test the heterogeneity between studies (Cochran, 1954) and further, Higgins's I² Index was employed to quantify per cent heterogeneity (Higgins *et al.*, 2003).

Graphical representation of meta-analysis was done using forest plot. Further, funnel plot was used for bias or systematic heterogeneity, which provides funnel shaped



Fig 1: Flow diagram of study selection in the meta-analysis of CSF fever in India.

distribution of studies in absence of bias (Light and Pillemer, 1984). Baujat plot and radical plots were also used to detect sources of heterogeneity in meta-analysis (Galbraith, 1988; Baujat *et al.*, 2002). Baujat plot provides the influence of each study for the overall heterogeneity. Radical plot displays several estimates of the same quantity that have different standard error. Meta-analysis was performed by using "R" software (https://www.R-project.org/).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Meta-analysis of CSF prevalence was carried out by using total 22 published studies of India comprising data of 7045 pigs. The details of studies included and the results of meta-analysis are shown on forest plot (Fig 2). The results showed pooled estimate of CSF was 45% (95%CI: 0.35; 0.54). Q statistics was found to be significant (Q=926.03; df=21, p<0.001) and it was concluded that there was significant heterogeneity between the studies. Between studies variance (tau-square) was 0.79. Heterogeneity across studies was quantified by I^2 Index (98%).

Funnel plot shows that there is little deviation from this shape which indicated that publication bias was less (Fig 3). Baujat plot showed that studies located in the upper right corner of the graph were most influential ones with the highest contribution to the overall heterogeneity (Fig 4). Among all studies used, Rout *et al.* (2012); Nandi *et al.*, (2011); Kataria *et al.* (2010); Mukherjee *et al.* (2018) and Rajbongshi *et al.* (2015) studies had higher contribution to overall heterogeneity. Likewise, Radial plot showed that there was greater heterogeneity in the meta-analysis studies (Fig 5).

The present estimate of prevalence of CSF was lower than findings of Singh *et al.* (2016) who reported 61.58% in India. However, our estimate was higher than findings reported by Patil *et al.* (2018) who provided lower pooled estimate as 37% (95% confidence interval [CI]=0.24, 0.51) of CSF in pigs under meta-analysis using data of 5 years (2011-15). This discrepancy could be due to a higher sample size (7045 pigs) included in our meta-analysis as compared to sample size (6158 pigs) in meta-analysis of Patil *et al.* (2018). Further, the present estimate of prevalence of CSF was lower than estimate given by Li *et al.* (2018) in Tibetan pigs in Nyingchi, Tibet China.

Our meta-analysis was different from the previous metaanalysis performed by Patil *et al.* (2018) in a number of respects: (i) we used larger sample size in meta-analysis (ii) our study period was larger (iii) we used Baujat plot to identify influence of each study to inconsistency in results (iv) we used radial plot to estimate standardized scores.

The present meta-analysis was undertaken in Indian scenario to obtain the pooled prevalence of CSF in pigs and we compared our results with some Indian authors who reported prevalence of CSF using published reports or studies. However, literature on CSF in exotic pigs lacks information on prevalence of CSF which hinders any comparison of our results with exotic pigs.

Study	Events	Total						Proportion	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Sarma et al., 1998	8	12		+-			-	0.67	[0.35; 0.90]	0.2%	3.5%
Saini et al., 2000	68	80				-+	-	0.85	[0.75; 0.92]	0.8%	4.6%
Dutta et al., 2003	107	293						0.37	[0.31; 0.42]	5.0%	5.0%
Ravisahankar et al., 2007	20	23			-	+	_	0.87	[0.66; 0.97]	0.2%	3.5%
Kumar et al., 2007	79	102		11				0.77	[0.68; 0.85]	1.3%	4.8%
Jindal et al., 2008	112	306						0.37	[0.31; 0.42]	5.2%	5.0%
Kataria et al., 2010	80	500						0.16	[0.13; 0.20]	4.9%	5.0%
Manoharan et al., 2011	54	120		-++	_			0.45	[0.36; 0.54]	2.2%	4.9%
Nandi et al.,2011	376	594			-			0.63	[0.59; 0.67]	10.2%	5.1%
Palanivel et al., 2012	2	51						0.04	[0.00; 0.13]	0.1%	3.1%
Rout et al.,2012	86	1120	*	11				0.08	[0.06; 0.09]	5.8%	5.1%
George et al.,2012	8	48		- 11				0.17	[0.07: 0.30]	0.5%	4.3%
Deori et al.,2012	57	98		11-				0.58	[0.48; 0.68]	1.8%	4.9%
Rajkhowa et al., 2013	42	83		÷				0.51	[0.39; 0.62]	1.5%	4.8%
Shivaraj et al.,2013	173	517						0.33	[0.29; 0.38]	8.5%	5.1%
Ahuja et al.,2014	138	264						0.52	[0.46: 0.58]	4.8%	5.0%
Ahuja et al.,2014	97	252		-				0.38	[0.32; 0.45]	4.4%	5.0%
Malmurugan et al., 2014	90	110						0.82	[0.73; 0.89]	1.2%	4.8%
Choori et al.,2015	89	218						0.41	[0.34; 0.48]	3.9%	5.0%
Rajbongshi et al.,2015	45	325	+	11				0.14	[0.10; 0.18]	2.9%	5.0%
Malswankima et al., 2015	31	31				-	_	1.00	[0.89; 1.00]	0.0%	1.4%
Mukherjee et al., 2018	857	1898						0.45	[0.43; 0.47]	34.6%	5.1%
Fixed effect model		7045		•				0.40	[0.39; 0.42]	100.0%	
Random effects model				\langle	>			0.45	[0.35; 0.55]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 98\%$, τ^2	= 0.7895,	p < 0.0)1			-					
			0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1				

Fig 2: Forest plot showing meta-analysis of prevalence of CSF in India using total 22 published studies.

Table 1: List of 22 studies included in the meta-analysis.

Sarma et al. (1998) Assam 12 8 Saini et al. (2000) Punjab 80 68 Dutta et al. (2003) Assam 293 107 Ravisahankar et al. (2007) Kerala 23 20 Kumar et al. (2007) Kerala 23 20 Jindal et al. (2007) Punjab 102 79 Jindal et al. (2008) Haryana 306 112 Kataria et al. (2010) Rajasthan 500 80 Manoharan et al. (2011) Andhra Pradesh 120 54	ses
Saini et al. (2000) Punjab 80 68 Dutta et al. (2003) Assam 293 107 Ravisahankar et al. (2007) Kerala 23 20 Kumar et al. (2007) Punjab 102 79 Jindal et al. (2008) Haryana 306 112 Kataria et al. (2010) Rajasthan 500 80 Manoharan et al. (2011) Andhra Pradesh 120 54	
Dutta et al. (2003) Assam 293 107 Ravisahankar et al. (2007) Kerala 23 20 Kumar et al. (2007) Punjab 102 79 Jindal et al. (2008) Haryana 306 112 Kataria et al. (2010) Rajasthan 500 80 Manoharan et al. (2011) Andhra Pradesh 120 54	
Ravisahankar et al. (2007) Kerala 23 20 Kumar et al. (2007) Punjab 102 79 Jindal et al. (2008) Haryana 306 112 Kataria et al. (2010) Rajasthan 500 80 Manoharan et al. (2011) Andhra Pradesh 120 54 Nandi et al. (2011) West Bengal Meghalaya Nagaland 594 376	
Kumar et al. (2007) Punjab 102 79 Jindal et al. (2008) Haryana 306 112 Kataria et al. (2010) Rajasthan 500 80 Manoharan et al. (2011) Andhra Pradesh 120 54 Nandi et al. (2011) West Bengal Meghalaya Nagaland 594 376	
Jindal et al. (2008)Haryana306112Kataria et al. (2010)Rajasthan50080Manoharan et al. (2011)Andhra Pradesh12054Nandi et al. (2011)West Bengal Meghalaya Nagaland594376	
Kataria et al. (2010)Rajasthan50080Manoharan et al. (2011)Andhra Pradesh12054Nandi et al. (2011)West Bengal Meghalaya Nagaland594376	
Manoharan et al. (2011)Andhra Pradesh12054Nandi et al. (2011)West Bengal Meghalaya Nagaland594376	
Nandi et al. (2011) West Bengal Meghalava Nagaland 594 376	
Trailar of all (2011) Troot Bongal, mognalaya, Hagalana	
Palanivel <i>et al.</i> (2012) Tamil Nadu 51 2	
Rout et al. (2012) Uttar Pradesh 1120 86	
George <i>et al.</i> (2012) Assam 48 8	
Deori <i>et al.</i> (2012) Assam 98 57	
Rajkhowa et al. (2013) Mizoram 83 42	
Shivaraj et al. (2013) Karnataka 517 173	
Ahuja et al. (2014) Meghalaya 264 138	
Ahuja et al. (2014) Manipur 252 97	
Malmurugan et al. (2014) Tamil Nadu 110 90	
Choori et al. (2015) Karnataka 218 89	
Rajbongshi et al. (2015)North Eastern Region32545	
Malswankima et al. (2015) Mizoram 31 31	
Mukherjee et al. (2018) Meghalaya 1898 857	



Fig 3: Funnel plot for prevalence of CSF in pigs in India using total 22 published studies.



Fig 4: Baujat plot for prevalence of CSF in pigs in India using total 22 published studies.



Fig 5: Radial plot for prevalence of CSF in pigs in India using total 22 published studies.

CONCLUSION

The present meta-analysis was undertaken estimate pooled CSF prevalence in pigs in India using 22 published studies over 21 years. The pooled prevalence was observed as 45%, which indicated that this disease is of high importance in studied population. We also found that there was high heterogeneity between studies and those with high contribution were identified.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the Director, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India for providing necessary facilities to conduct the study. The authors also express their gratitude to the learned referee and the Editor for their valuable comments on the original version of the paper.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

REFERENCES

- Ahuja, A., Mukharjee, P., Bhattacharjee, U., Chakraborty, A.K., Karam, A., Ghatak, S., Puro, K., Das, S., Shakuntala, I., Srivastava, N. and Sen, A. (2014). Classical swine fever virus infection in India: Seroprevalence study from northeastern states of India. Indian Association of Hill Farming. 27: 1-3.
- Anonymous (2020). Report on CLASSICAL SWINE FEVER. OIE-World health organization for animal health. https://www. oie.int/Retrieved on 29.06.2020.
- Baujat, B., Mahe, C., Pignon, J.P. and Hill, C. (2002). A graphical method for exploring heterogeneity in meta-analyses: Application to a meta-analysis of 65 trials. Statistics in Medicine. 21: 2641-2652.
- Borenstein, M., Hedges, L.V. and Higgins, J.P.T. (2009). Introduction to Meta-Analysis, New York, USA: John Wiley and Sons, Ltd; pp. 77-85.
- Choori, P., Patil, S.S., Rathnamma, D., Sharada, R., Chandra Naik, B.M., Isloor, S., Manjunatha Reddy, G.B., Geetha, S. and Rahman, H. (2015). Prevalence of classical swine fever in Karnataka, India, Veterianry World. 8: 541-544.
- Cochran, W.G. (1954). The combination of estimates from different experiments. Biometrics.10: 101-129.
- Deori, L., Rahman, T. and Barman, N.N. (2012). Sero-prevalence and pathology of classical swine fever (CSF) in pigs in certain districts of Assam. Indian Journal of Veterinary Pathology. 36: 143-147.
- Depner, K.R., Rodriguez, A., Pohlenz, J. and Liess, B. (1996). Persistent classical swine fever virus infection in pigs infected after weaning with a virus isolated during the 1995 epidemic in Germany: Clinical, virological, serological and pathological findings. European Journal of Veterinary Pathology. 2: 61-66.
- Dutta, B., Rahman, T. and Barman, N.N. (2003). Swine fever in piglets: A pathological study. Indian Journal of Veterinary Pathology. 27: 87-89.
- Galbraith, R.F. (1988). A note on graphical presentation of estimated odds ratios from several clinical trials. Statistics in Medicine. 7: 889-894.
- George, S., Rajbongshi, G., Deuri, S., Khatoon, E. and Barman, N.N. (2012). Simultaneous occurrence of pneumonic pasteurellosis and swine fever in an organized pig farm in Assam. The North-East Veterinarian. 12(3): 5-8.
- GOI (2014). 19th Livestock Census 2012-All India Report. Ministry of Agriculture, Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Govt. of India, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi.
- GOI (2020). 20th Livestock Census 2019-All India Report. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Govt. of India, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi.
- Higgins, J.P.T. and Thompson, S.G. (2002). Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Statistics in Medicine. 21: 1539-1558.
- Higgins, J.P., Thompson, S.G., Deeks, J.J. and Altman, D.G. (2003). Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. Bio. Med. Journal. 327(7414): 557-560.

Jindal, N., Sharma, P.C., Mittal, D., Tiwari, A.K., Narang, G. and Shukla, C.L. (2008). Occurrence of swine fever in vaccinated piggery units in Haryana detection by RT-PCR. Indian Journal of Virology. 19(1): 44-46.

- Kataria, A.K., Kataria, N., Dhanpat, P., Chaudhary, D.P. and Gahlot, A.K. (2010). Some epidemio-clinico-pathological aspects of an outbreak of classical swine fever in a desert district from Rajasthan (India). Human and Veterinary Medicine. 2(2): 61-67.
- Krishnamurthy, D. and Adlakha, S.C. (1962). A preliminary report on the swine fever epidemic in Uttar Pradesh. Indian Veterinary Journal. 39: 406-419.
- Kumar, H., Mahajan, V., Sharma, S., Singh, A.R., Arora, A.K., Banga, H.S., Verma, S., Kaur, K., Kaur, P., Meenakshi and Sandhu, K.S. (2007). Concurrent Pastuerellosis and Classical Swine Fever in Indian pigs. Journal of Swine Health and Production. 15(5): 279-283.
- Lalremruata, C., Hmar, L. and Kalita, G. (2015). Study on the growth performance and mortality in pre-weaning Burmese piglets reared under field condition of Aizawl, India. Indian Journal of Animal Research. 49: 262-264.
- Li, J., Zhang, H., Shang, P. and Chamba, Y. (2018). Epidemiological survey of classical swine fever in Tibetanpigs in Nyingchi, Tibet China. Indian Journal of Animal Research. 52: 301-303.
- Light, R. and Pillemer, D. (1984). Summing Up: The Science of Reviewing Research Cambridge, MA and London: Hardvard University Press.
- Malmarugan, S., Sundaram, M.A. and Rajeswar, J.J. (2014). An Outbreak of Classical Swine Fever in Indigenous Pigs in Tamilnadu, India. International Journal of Advanced Veterinary Science and Technology. 3(1): 145-148.
- Malswamkima, D., Rajkhowa, T.K., Rajesh, C. and Dutta, T.K. (2015). Pathlogy and molecular diagnosis of classical swine fever in Mizoram. Veterinary World. 8(1): 76-810.
- Manohaan, S., Vadivoo, V.S., Aishwarya Devi, J., Sri Latha, K., Kumanan, K. and Sai Kumar, G. (2011). Outbreak of classical swine fever in Andra Pradesh. Indian Veterinary Journal. 88(4): 13-15.
- Mukherjee, P., Karam, A., Singh, U., Chakraborty, A.K., Huidrom, S, Sen, A. and Sharma, I. (2018). Seroprevalence of selected viral pathogens in pigs reared in organized farms of Meghalaya from 2014 to 16. Veterinary World. 11(1): 42-47.
- Nandi, S., Muthuchelvan, D., Ahuja, A., Bisht, S., Chander, V., Pandey, A.B. and Singh, R.K. (2011). Prevalence of classical swine fever virus in India: A 6-year study (2004-2010). Transboundary and Emerging Diseases. 58: 461-463.
- Palanivel, K.M., Sathivelan, S.M., Gopinathan, A., Sriram, S.K. and Kmarasamy, P. (2012). Incidence of Mortality among Sine Due to Classical Swine Fever-Post Mortem Findings. Indian Journal of Animal Research. 46(1): 86-88.
- Patil, S.S., Suresh, K.P., Saha, S., Prajapati, A., Hemadri, D. and Roy, P. (2018). Meta-analysis of classical swine fever prevalence in pigs in India: A 5-year study. Veterinary World. 11(3): 297-303.
- Rajbongshi, G., Barman, N.N., Khatoon, E., Baruah, K., Deka, N., Das, S.K., Sarma, S. and Hazarika, A.K. (2015). Comparative evaluation of single step real-time RT-PCR and gel based RT-PCR assay for detection of classical swine fever. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences. 85(12): 1299-1302.

- Rajkhowa, T.K., Hauhnar, L. and Jamlianthang (2013). Studies on clinico- pathology and diagnosis of CSF in Zovawk pigs: an indigenous pig of Mizoram, India. Indian Journal of Animal Science. 83(6): 620-624.
- Ravishankar, C., Priya, P.M., Mini, M., Rameshkumar, P., Selvan, S., Jayesh, V., Sunil, K.S., Sharmadha, M.K., Sreekumaran, T. and Jayaprakasan, V. (2007). First confirmed occurrence of CSF in Kerala. Journal of Swine Health and Production. 15: 156-159.
- Rout, M. and Sai kumar, G. (2012). Virus load in pigs affected with different clinical forms of classical swine fever. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases. 59(2): 128-133.
- Rout, M., Saikumar G. and Nagarajan, K. (2015). Diagnostic potential of polymerase chain reaction in detection of classical swine fever virus infection in slaughtered pigs. Indian Journal of Animal Research. 49: 512-514.
- Saini, S.S., Dhand, N.K., Sharma, D.R. and Sood, S.K. (2000). An outbreak of swine fever in Punjab. Indian Journal of Veterinary Pathology. 24: 135-136.
- Sarma, P.C. and Sarma, D.K. (1998). Isolation and identification of a virulent strain of hog cholera virus from field outbreaks. Indian Journal of Virology. 14(1): 41-42.

- Shivaraj, D.B., Patil, S.S., Rathnamma, D., Veeregowda, B.M., Hemadri, D., Geetha, S., Reddy, G.B.M., Sharada, R., Shesharao, P. and Rahman, S. (2013). Seroepidemiology of classical swine fever in Karnataka. Indian Journal of Field Veterinarian. 9(2): 26-27.
- Singh, B., Badharn, D., Verma, M.R., Prasad, S., Sinha, D.K. and Sharma, V.B. (2016). Incidence of classical swine fever (CSF) in pig in india and its economic evaluation with simple mathematical model. Animal Science Reporter. 10(1): 3-9.
- Walker, E., Hernandez, A.V. and Kattan, M.W. (2008). Meta-analysis: Its strengths and limitations. Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine. 75(6): 431-439.
- Wengler, G., Baradely, D. W., Collet. M.S., Heinz, F.X., Schlesinger, R.W. and Strauss, J.H. (1995). Flaviviridae. In Virus Taxonomy. Sixth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses, [Murphy, F.A. (eds.)]. Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 415-427.