Influence of phosphorus and bio-fertilizers on growth and yield of cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] in acidic soil of NEH region of India

M.A. Nadeem, Vikas Singh¹, R.K. Dubey¹, A.K. Pandey, Barun Singh, Naresh Kumar² and Sudhakar Pandey¹

College of Horticulture and Forestry,

Central Agricultural University, Pasighat-791 102, Arunachal Pradesh, India.

Received: 06-10-2016 Accepted: 08-04-2017

DOI: 10.18805/LR-3790

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out on cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) variety Kashi Kanchan during_summer season at the experimental farm, department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural University, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh. The experiment was laid out in factorial complete randomized block design with 12 treatments i.e. three levels of phosphorus [control $0 \text{ kg}(P_0)$, 20 kg (P₁) and 40 kg (P₂)/ha] and four levels of bio-fertilizer [control (B₀), *Rhizobium*10 ml/kg seed (B₁), PSB 10 ml/kg seed (B₂) and *Rhizobium* + PSB both 10 ml/kg seed (B₃)] with three replications. The uniform dose of nitrogen (N) and potassium (K) @ 20 kg/ha along with 10 t/ha FYM (Farm Yard Manure) were applied to all the treatments. Result indicated that the application of P₂ (40 kg P/ha) significantly increased the plant height, leaf area index, stem girth, number of nodules per plant, number of branches per plant, total dry matter, pod yield, available soil nutrient status *viz.*, pH, N, P, K, organic carbon and NPK content in plant after harvesting. Similarly, seed inoculation with B₃ (*Rhizobium* + PSB) significantly enhanced the growth, yield, soil nutrient status and nutrient content in plant over single inoculation of *Rhizobium* and PSB. Combined inoculation of seed with *Rhizobium* + PSB (B₃) along with 40 kg P/ha (P₂) significantly increased the stem girth (1.84 cm), total dry matter (13.91g/plant), green pod yield (196.37g/ plant and 120.90q/ha), soil nutrient status *viz.*, pH(6.20), available N (370.89 kg/ha), available P (38.57 kg/ha), available K (168.77 kg/ha), organic carbon (2.80%) and N, P and K (0.17%, 0.16% and 0.39%) content over rest of treatment combination.

Key words: Acidic soil, Bio-fertilizer, Cowpea, Phosphorus, PSB, Rhizobium.

INTRODUCTION

Cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.)Walp] is an important legume vegetable crop grown commercially in summer season and rainy season. Cowpea pods are containing quality protein and minerals. Few species are grown for grain, fodder and green manuring purposes. The cowpea cultivation is gaining popularity among vegetable growers due to short duration, quick growing nature, soil enriching habit, higher yield and higher profitability per unit area that gradually replacing the other traditional summer vegetable crops. However, productivity of cowpea is lowest in NEH region due to inadequate use of fertilizers and lack of improved package of practices. This crop is highly responsive to nitrogen, which promotes the leaf, stem and other vegetative growth while phosphorus enhances the activity of Rhizobium and increases the root nodulation thus, helping to fix more of atmospheric nitrogen in root nodules (Khandelwal et al., 2012). Most of the soil of North eastern region of India is characterized by acidic in nature and low in available nitrogen and phosphorus. A large proportion of soluble inorganic phosphate added to soil is rapidly fixed as insoluble forms soon after application and becomes unavailable to the plants. In acid soils, free oxides and

hydroxides of Al and Fe fix P (Vassileva et al., 2001 and Khiari and Parent, 2005). Hence, utilization of available P in the soil by crops is very low. Therefore, use of biofertilizers has a greater importance in increasing fertilizer use efficiency. Several reviews suggested that seed inoculation with nitrogen and phosphate solubilizing biofertilizers (PSB) significantly enhanced the plant growth, root proliferation, nodulation and subsequently pod yield of cowpea (Bohra et al., 1990 and Stamford et al., 2013). There is a tremendous scope to increase current yield potential of cowpea in NEH region by enhancing the nutrient availability and better plant growth through incorporation of biofertilizers in the present production system. The selection of effective combination of fertilizer and Rhizobium and PSB containing bio-fertilizers is a critical step. Keeping these objective in mind, the present study was formulated to access the most suitable combination of phosphorus and biofertilizers for maximization of cowpea productivity in acid soil of NEH region of India.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at Vegetable Research Farm, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural University, Pasighat, East Siang, Arunachal

*Corresponding author's e-mail: rksdubey@gmail.com

¹ICAR- Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi (U.P.) ²ICAR- Central Agroforestry Research Institute, Jhansi (U.P.), India.

Pradesh, India during summer season. The experimental site is situated between 28°04'N latitude and 95°22'E longitude at an elevation of 153 meters above the mean sea level. The soil of experimental field was sandy loam in texture with pH value of 5.25, organic carbon 2.16%, available nitrogen 312.47 kg/ha, available phosphorus 17.08 kg/ha and available potassium 125.76 kg/ha. The treatments comprised of recommended doses of N (20 kg/ha), K₂O (20 kg/ha) and FYM (10 t/ha) with three levels of P [control 0 kg (P_a), 20 kg (P₁) and 40 kg (P₂) /ha] and four levels of bio-fertilizer [control (B₀), *Rhizobium*10 ml/kg seed (B₁), PSB 10 ml/kg seed (B_2) and *Rhizobium* + PSB both 10 ml/kg seed (B_2)] in cowpea variety Kashi Kanchan, thereby making 12 treatments. The experiment was laid out in Factorial Complete Randomized Block Design with three replications. Farm yard manure (10 t/ha) was applied in the experimental field before 25 days of seed sowing. The recommended doses of N (20kg/ha) and K (20kg/ha) and required quantity of P as per the treatments were added as basal dose through urea, muriate of potash and single super phosphate, respectively. Seeds were treated with liquid bio-fertilizer @10 ml/kg as per the treatment, thereafter, the seeds were placed in shade for few hours for the completion of inoculation. After that, seeds were sown @ 20 kg/ha keeping row space 50 cm and plant to plant space 30 cm and seed were sown at average depth of 2.5 cm. The observations were recorded on ten randomly selected plants from each plot on different growth and yield characters viz., plant height (cm), leaf area index (LAI), stem girth (cm), number of nodules per plant, number of branches per plant, total dry matter (g/plant) and pod yield (g/plant and q/ha). The soil and plant samples were collected at the end of the experiment to estimate pH, organic carbon and available N P K in soil and N P K content in plants by adopting the standard analytical methods (Walkley and Black, 1934; Bray and Kurtz, 1945; Toth et al., 1948; Stanford and English, 1949; Jackson, 1973 and Baker and Thompson, 1992). The data were statistically analyzed as per procedure given by Panse and Sukhatme, (1985) using Microsoft Excel version 2007. The treatment means were compared using least significant difference (LSD) test at 0.05 level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of phosphorus levels: Result indicated that application of phosphorus @ 40 kg/ha significantly increases the plant height (65.53 cm), leaf area index (7.62), stem girth (1.78 cm), number of nodules per plant (25.67), number of branches per plant (7.60), total dry matter (11.44 g/plant) and green pod yield per plant (174.87g) and per hectare (112.16 q (Table 1). Application of phosphorus might have

Table 1: Effect of phosphorus and bio-fertilizer on growth and yield parameters in cowpea.

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Leaf area index	Stem girth (cm)	Number of nodules/ plant	Number branches/ plant	Total dry matter (g/plant)	Yield (g/plant)	Yield (q/ha)	
Phosphorus									
P	57.66	6.29	1.56	18.41	6.56	7.38	128.59	86.54	
P ₁	62.35	7.14	1.68	21.70	7.08	10.37	147.67	96.57	
P ₂	65.43	7.62	1.78	25.67	7.60	11.44	174.87	112.16	
CD at 5%	5.63	0.28	0.06	2.34	0.52	0.74	13.82	6.11	
SEd±	2.71	0.14	0.03	1.13	0.25	0.36	6.67	2.94	
Bio-fertilizer									
B	58.22	6.79	1.62	19.89	6.53	8.67	134.11	92.48	
B,	59.42	6.95	1.63	22.06	6.76	9.23	146.65	95.69	
B ₂	61.06	7.03	1.71	19.56	7.28	9.31	144.26	95.44	
B,	68.56	7.29	1.76	26.19	7.75	11.72	176.47	110.09	
CD at 5%	6.50	0.32	0.07	2.71	0.60	0.85	15.95	7.05	
SEd±	3.13	0.16	0.03	1.30	0.29	0.41	7.69	3.40	
Interaction									
$\mathbf{P}_{0}\mathbf{B}_{0}$	55.41	6.13	1.52	16.55	6.03	6.40	114.19	79.83	
P ₀ B ₁	57.20	6.18	1.56	17.52	6.20	7.62	124.45	85.73	
$\mathbf{P}_{0}^{\mathbf{B}_{2}}\mathbf{B}_{2}^{\mathbf{I}}$	58.47	6.37	1.57	18.33	6.80	6.44	135.56	89.17	
$\mathbf{P}_{0}^{0}\mathbf{B}_{2}^{2}$	59.57	6.46	1.61	21.22	7.20	9.07	140.15	91.43	
P ₁ B ₀	59.13	6.78	1.58	18.33	6.43	10.29	122.96	88.04	
P, B,	57.93	7.06	1.55	22.78	6.67	9.05	131.85	87.53	
$\mathbf{P}_{1}\mathbf{B}_{2}$	61.13	7.08	1.78	19.33	7.53	9.98	142.96	92.77	
$P_{1}^{1}B_{2}^{2}$	71.20	7.64	1.82	26.33	7.67	12.17	192.89	117.93	
$\mathbf{P}_{2}\mathbf{B}_{0}^{3}$	60.13	7.47	1.76	24.78	7.13	9.33	165.18	109.56	
$P_2 B_1$	63.13	7.61	1.77	25.89	7.40	11.01	183.66	113.80	
$P_{2}B_{2}$	63.57	7.63	1.77	21.00	7.50	11.50	154.27	104.38	
$P_{2}B_{3}$	74.90	7.78	1.84	31.00	8.38	13.91	196.37	120.90	
CD at 5%	NS	NS	0.12	NS	NS	1.48	27.63	12.21	
SEd±	5.43	0.28	0.06	2.26	0.50	0.71	13.53	5.89	

resulted in increased carbohydrate accumulation and their remobilization to reproductive parts of the plants, being the closest sink and hence, resulted in increased plant growth, flowering and fruiting. Similar result was reported by Khandelwal *et al.* (2012).

A significant effect of increasing levels of phosphorus up to 40 kg/ha was observed over control on physio-chemical properties of soil *viz.;* pH (5.80), available nitrogen (358.30 kg/ha), available phosphorus (34.79 kg/ha), available potassium (151.65 kg/ha) and organic carbon (2.59%), and N (0.14%), P (0.14%) and K (0.34%) content in plant (Table 2). This might be attributed to the role of phosphorus in root development and proliferation, nodules formation and N₂fixation by supplying assimilates to the roots. These findings were in support with the earlier work of Sharma and Verma (2011) and Singh *et al.*(2013).

Effect of bio-fertilizer: Seed inoculation with *Rhizobium* + PSB significantly increased plant height (68.56 cm), leaf area index (7.29), stem girth (1.76 cm), number of nodules per plant (26.19), number of branches per plant (7.75), total

dry matter (11.72 g/plant) at harvesting stage of crops and green pods yield (176.47 g/plant and 110.09 q/ha) over single inoculation of Rhizobium and PSB (Table 1). Overall improvement in the crop growth and yield parameters under the influence of microbial fertilization i.e.; Rhizobium, PSB and Rhizobium + PSB seems to be on account of their impact on nutritional environment and involvement in various physiological processes in the plant system which are considered to be pre-requisits for growth of the crop. Better nodulation in combined inoculation might be due to increased P availability through PSB and enhanced biological N₂ fixation. Synergism in Rhizobium and PSB might have also resulted in better nodulation with their dual inoculation as against single inoculation (Singh and Prasad, 2008). These findings corroborate the results of Ramana et al. (2010) and Khandelwal et al. (2012).

The inoculation of seeds with *Rhizobium* + PSB increased the soil nutrient status and N,P and K content in plant after harvesting over single inoculation of *Rhizobium* and PSB. This may be due to better nitrogen fixation by the

Table 2: Effect of phosphorus and bio-fertilizer on physico-chemical properties of soil and nutrient content in plant after harvesting of cowpea.

Treatment	pН	Available N	Available P	Available K	Organic	N content	P content	K content
		(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)	carbon (%)	in plant (%)	in plant (%)	in plant (%)
Phosphorus								
P	5.39	329.94	26.86	136.71	2.27	0.09	0.12	0.23
P ₁	5.69	345.17	31.19	146.47	2.45	0.14	0.13	0.31
P ₂	5.80	358.30	34.79	151.65	2.59	0.14	0.14	0.34
CD at 5%	0.11	4.38	1.27	5.79	0.09	0.004	0.004	0.01
SEd±	0.05	2.11	0.61	2.79	0.04	0.002	0.002	0.006
Bio-fertilizer								
B ₀	5.50	329.65	26.60	138.15	2.36	0.11	0.12	0.27
B	5.51	347.44	29.53	140.76	2.34	0.11	0.13	0.29
B ₂	5.62	340.26	32.09	142.66	2.49	0.13	0.13	0.30
B ₃	5.87	360.52	35.55	158.20	2.57	0.14	0.15	0.33
CD at 5%	0.13	5.05	1.47	6.69	0.10	0.004	0.004	0.01
SEd±	0.06	2.43	0.71	3.23	0.05	0.002	0.002	0.006
Interaction								
$\mathbf{P}_{0}\mathbf{B}_{0}$	5.28	317.37	21.23	137.64	2.27	0.07	0.12	0.20
$\mathbf{P}_{0}\mathbf{B}_{1}$	5.32	333.84	25.86	136.40	2.34	0.09	0.12	0.20
$P_0 B_2$	5.49	323.81	29.85	133.40	2.22	0.14	0.13	0.27
$P_0 B_3$	5.46	344.73	30.52	139.40	2.27	0.09	0.13	0.25
$\mathbf{P}_{1}\mathbf{B}_{0}$	5.56	321.57	26.23	134.78	2.33	0.14	0.12	0.29
$\mathbf{P}_{1}\mathbf{B}_{1}$	5.52	348.31	28.24	141.31	2.30	0.13	0.12	0.33
$\mathbf{P}_{1}\mathbf{B}_{2}$	5.73	344.83	32.72	143.37	2.54	0.13	0.13	0.28
$P_1 B_3$	5.95	365.95	37.56	166.42	2.63	0.16	0.15	0.35
$\mathbf{P}_{2}\mathbf{B}_{0}$	5.66	350.00	32.35	142.04	2.48	0.14	0.13	0.31
$P_2 B_1$	5.70	360.17	34.51	144.58	2.37	0.12	0.14	0.33
$P_{2}B_{2}$	5.65	352.14	33.72	151.21	2.70	0.12	0.14	0.34
$P_2 B_3$	6.20	370.89	38.57	168.77	2.80	0.17	0.16	0.39
CD at 5%	0.22	8.75	2.54	11.58	0.18	0.007	0.008	0.02
SEd±	0.10	4.22	1.22	5.59	0.09	0.003	0.004	0.01

LEGUME RESEARCH-An International Journal

bacteria, which in turn helped in better absorption and utilization of all the plant nutrients, thus resulting in more availability of nutrient in soil and N,P,K content in plant. The inoculation helps in realizing P from native as well as protecting fixation of added phosphate and rendered more available P for the plants leading to increased nutrient content in the plant. These results were in close conformity with the findings of Dekhane *et al.* (2011), Das *et al.* (2013) and Singh *et al.* (2013).

Interaction effect: Interaction effect of phosphorous and bio-fertilizer were significantly increased growth parameters like stem girth (1.84 cm), total dry matter (13.91 g/plant) and green pod yield (196.37 g/plant and 120.90q/ha) as well as physico-chemical properties of soil *viz.;* pH (6.20), available N (370.89 kg/ha), available P (38.57 kg/ha), available K (168.77kg/ha), organic carbon (2.80%) and

N(0.17%), P (0.16%) and K (0.39%) content in plant (Table 1 & 2). Increased availability of soil nutrient by the *Rhizobium* + PSB might be due to better nodulation and greater availability of nutrient in the soil under the influence of inoculation resulting in better growth and development (Menaria and Singh, 2004).

The present findings indicated that application of 40 kg/ha phosphorus in combination with seed treatment with *Rhizobium* and phosphorus solubilizing bacteria along with recommended doses of nitrogen (20 kg/ha), potassium (20 kg/ha) and FYM (10 t/ha) enhanced the productivity of cowpea var. Kashi Kanchan and improved the physicochemical properties of soil. This practice would bring beneficial results for profitability in cowpea cultivation and encourage inclusion of cowpea in crop sequence under acidic soil of NEH region of India.

REFERENCES

- Baker, W.H. and Thompson, T.L. (1992). Determination of total nitrogen in plant sample by kjeldahl.Plant analysis reference procedures for the southern region of the United State, pp. 13-16.
- Bohra, J.S., Singh, K and Jamwal(1990). The effect of biofertilizers on physiological parameters, yield and quality of cowpea (*Vigna sinensis*). In: Beusichem, M.L.V. (Ed.), Plant Nutrition Physiology and Application. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands.
 Bray, R.H. and Kurtz, L.T. (1945). Determination of total organic and available form of phosphorus in soil. *Soil Sci.*, 59: 39-45.
- Das, S., Pareek, B.L., Kumawat, A. and Dhikwal, S.R. (2013). Effect of phosphorus and bio-fertilizers on productivity of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*) in North Western Rajasthan, India. *Legume Res.*, **36** (6): 511–514.
- Dekhane, S.S., Khafi, H.R., Raj, A.D. and Parmar, R.M. (2011). Effect of bio fertilizer and fertility levels on yield, protein content and nutrient uptake of cowpea [Vignaunguiculata (L.)Walp.]. Legume Res., 34 (1): 51–54.
- Jackson, M.L. (1973). Soil Chemical Analysis.Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi, India.
- Khandelwal, R., Choudhary, S.K., Khangarot, S.S., Jat, M.K. and Singh, P. (2012). Effect of inorganic and bio-fertilizers on productivity and nutrients uptake in cowpea [*Vignaunguiculata* (L.)Walp]. *Legume Res.*, **35** (3): 235–238.
- Khiari, L. and Parent, L. E.(2005). Phosphorus transformations in acid soil light-textured soils treated with dry swine manure. *Can. J. Soil Sci. Soc.*, **85**: 75-87.
- Menaria, B.L. and Singh, P. (2004). Effect of chemical and bio-fertilizers on yield attributing characters and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* L.).Legume Res., 27 (3): 231-232.
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1985). Statistical methods for agricultural workers.4th ed. I.C.A.R., New Delhi.
- Ramana, V., Ramakrishna, M., Purushotham, K. and Reddy, K.B. (2010). Effect of bio-fertilizers on growth, yield attributes and yield of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Legume Res., 33 (3): 178-183.
- Sharma R. and Verma, M.L. (2011). Effect of *Rhizobium*, FYM and chemical fertilizers on sustainable production and profitability of rajmash (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and soil fertility in dry temperate region of North- Western Himalayas. *Legume Res.*, 34 (4): 251-256.
- Singh, R. and Prasad, K. (2008). Effect of vermicompost, *Rhizobium* and DAP on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by chickpea. *J. Food Leg.*,**21**: 112-114.
- Singh, R., Malik, J.K., Thenua, O.V.S. and Jat, H.S. (2013). Effect of phosphorus and bio-fertilizer on productivity, nutrient uptake and economics of pigeonpea (*Cajanuscajan*) + mung bean (*Phaseolusradiatus*) intercropping system. *Legume Res.*, 36 (1): 41–48.
- Stamford, N.P., Junior, S.D.S., Santos, C.E., Freitas, A.D.S., Lira, M. A., Barros, M.C. (2013). Cowpea nodulation, biomass yield and nutrient uptake, as affected by biofertilizers and *Rhizobia*, in a sodic soil amended with *Acidithiobacillus*. *ActaScientiarum*, 35 (4): 453–459.
- Stanford, S. and English, L. (1949). Use of flame photometer in rapid soil tests of K and Ca. J. Agron., 41: 446-447.
- Toth, S.J., Prince, A.L., Wallace, A. and Mikkelsen, D.S. (1948). Rapid quantitative determination of eight mineral elements in plant tissue by a systematic procedure involving use of a flame photometer. *Soil Sci.*, **66**(6): 459-466.
- Vassileva, M., Vassileva, N., Fenice, M. and Federici, F. (2001). Immobilized cell technology applied in solubilization of insoluble inorganic (rock) phosphate and P plant acquisition. *Biores. Technol.*, **79**: 263-271.
- Walkley, A. and Black, I.A. (1934). An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Sci.*, **37**: 29-37.

766