



Regulation of flowering in acid lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle)

M. Prabhu*, S. Parthiban, A. Ramesh Kumar, B. Usha Rani and A. Vijayasamundeeswari

Horticultural College and Research Institute,

Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Periyakulam – 625 604, Tamil Nadu, India.

Received: 09-05-2017

Accepted: 20-06-2017

DOI: 10.18805/ijare.v51i04.8428

ABSTRACT

India is the largest producer of acid lime in the world and they are used as fresh fruit or for the preparation of pickles and beverages. They are rich in vitamin C, minerals and salts. The seasonality of production leads to market glut which results in poor returns to the farmers. Hence, an experiment was conducted to manipulate and regulate flowering by using various plant growth regulators viz., GA₃ and cycocel, chemicals viz., KNO₃ and salicylic acid at 11 different treatment combinations. The experiment was conducted in randomized block design (RBD) and each treatment was replicated thrice. The age of the trees was seven years and the variety used was PKM1. The experiment conducted in four consecutive years. The experimental results showed that there were significant differences among the treatments. Acid lime trees sprayed with GA₃ 50 ppm in June + cycocel 1000 ppm in September + KNO₃ 2% in October recorded the highest number of fruits tree⁻¹ (1003), weight of fruits (48.60 g) and average fruit yield (28.96 kg tree⁻¹). The same treatment registered the highest values for juice content (32.13 %), TSS (7.29° Brix) and ascorbic acid content (32.56 mg / 100ml).

Key words: Growth regulators, Lime, Quality, Yield.

INTRODUCTION

India ranks fifth among major lime and lemon producing countries in the world. India is the largest producer of acid lime in the world. In India, citrus group fruits cultivated in an area of 1.08 million hectare and the production is 11.15 million tons (NHB, 2014). It is largely cultivated in Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, Gujarat, Rajasthan and Bihar. Limes are available throughout the year in our country. They are used as fresh fruit or for the preparation of pickles and beverages. They are rich in vitamin C, minerals and salts. The seasonality of production leads to market glut which results in poor returns to the farmers. In recent years, chemical regulation of flowering and fruiting has been successfully proven in several fruit crops. In citrus, use of plant growth regulators for promoting or inhibiting flowering has been suggested by Moss (1969) and Iwahori and Oohata (1981). Hence, an experiment was conducted to manipulate and regulate flowering by using various plant growth regulators viz., GA₃ and cycocel, chemicals viz., KNO₃ and salicylic acid in PKM 1 acid lime under Periyakulam conditions of Tamil Nadu.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Treatment details

The treatments consisted of chemicals, plant growth regulators and the details are furnished below:

T₁ : Control (Water spray)

- T₂ : Spraying of GA₃ 50 ppm in June + Cycocel 1000 ppm in September
 T₃ : Spraying of GA₃ 100 ppm in June + Cycocel 1000 ppm in September
 T₄ : T₂ + Spraying of 1% KNO₃ in October
 T₅ : T₂ + Spraying of 2% KNO₃ in October
 T₆ : T₂ + Spraying of Salicylic acid 100 ppm in October
 T₇ : T₂ + Spraying of Salicylic acid 200 ppm in October
 T₈ : T₃ + Spraying of 1% KNO₃ in October
 T₉ : T₃ + Spraying of 2% KNO₃ in October
 T₁₀ : T₃ + Spraying of Salicylic acid 100 ppm in October
 T₁₁ : T₃ + Spraying of Salicylic acid 200 ppm in October

The experiment was conducted in randomized block design (RBD) and each treatment was replicated thrice. The age of the trees was seven years and the variety used was PKM 1. The experiment conducted in four consecutive years. Four trees were used for each replication. The trees were spaced at 5x5m. The growth regulator (GA₃) and chemical (salicylic acid) were first dissolved in small quantities of ethyl alcohol and required volume was made up by adding water. The observations were recorded on tree spread (m) in both East – West and North – South directions, number of fruits per tree, fruit weight (g), fruit

*Corresponding author's address and e-mail: muthusamyprabhu@gmail.com; Department of Floriculture and Landscaping, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.

yield (kg tree⁻¹). The initial fruit set was calculated at pea size stage in randomly selected twenty shoots in all the treatment trees and expressed in numbers. The fruit set was calculated at pea size stage as follows and expressed in percentage.

$$\text{Fruit set percentage} = \frac{\text{Number of fruits}}{\text{Total number of fruits formed at initial stage}} \times 100$$

The fruit retention was calculated at the time of harvest as follows and expressed as percentage.

$$\text{Fruit retention percentage} = \frac{\text{Number of fruits retained}}{\text{Total number of flowers}} \times 100$$

The juice content of ten fruits from each treatment was weighed and the mean was calculated and expressed as per cent. The T.S.S was recorded by using a hand refractometer and expressed as ° Brix. The per cent acidity and ascorbic acid content (mg 100 ml⁻¹) were estimated using AOAC (1975) method. The reducing and non-reducing sugar contents were estimated as per the method suggested by Somogyi (1952) and expressed as percentage. Data collected on growth, yield and quality attributes were statistically analyzed as per the methods suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1967).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth and yield parameters: The statistical analysis of data of the experiment on “Regulation of flowering in acid lime” revealed that significant differences found among the treatments for tree spread in both the directions. Application of spraying of GA₃ 50 ppm in June + Cycocel 1000 ppm in September + spraying of 2% KNO₃ in October (T₅) recorded the highest tree spread of 4.71 and 4.95 m in E-W and N-S, respectively (Table-1). This was followed by the treatment T₆. The initial fruit set in terms of percentage was the highest in T₅ which registered 69.86 per cent which was followed by the trees treated with GA₃ 50 ppm in June + Cycocel 1000 ppm in September + spraying of Salicylic acid 100

ppm in October (T₆) which recorded 66.10 per cent. The fruit retention percentage at the time of harvest was significantly influenced by the treatments and a similar trend was noticed as that of initial fruit set.

The data on fruit weight indicated that application of plant growth regulators and chemicals significantly increased the fruit weight over control. Among the various treatments, significantly higher value of fruit weight was obtained in T₅ which registered 48.60 g fruit⁻¹, followed by T₆ with application of GA₃ 50 ppm + cycocel 1000 ppm + Spraying of Salicylic acid 100 ppm in October which recorded the fruit weight of 45.90 g fruit⁻¹. The similar results were obtained for number of fruits per tree too as that of fruit weight. The fruit yield was increased significantly over control due to the application of plant growth regulators and chemicals at different levels and combinations. The highest yield was obtained in T₅ which registered 28.96 kg tree⁻¹, followed by T₆, which recorded 22.36 kg tree⁻¹. However, both the treatments were significantly different from each other. The lowest yield (12.76 kg tree⁻¹) was recorded in control. In the present study, the increase in yield in T₅ might be due to more number of fruit set and highest fruit retention. The highest number of fruits per tree and highest fruit weight also recorded in this treatment leads to attain the highest yield. These findings are in agreement with Shrestha (1988). Investigations carried out in Navel orange (Jose Eduardo and Friedrich, 1984) with GA₃ have also supported the findings of present study in acid lime. Investigations carried out in Ellendale tangor (Rabe and Van Rensberg, 1996) by KNO₃ also supported the findings of the present study.

Quality parameters: The total soluble solids of the fruit were increased by the different treatments significantly over control. The highest TSS value was recorded in fruits obtained from the trees sprayed with GA₃ 50 ppm + cycocel 1000 ppm in September + KNO₃ two per cent (T₅) which registered 7.29° Brix, followed by T₆ which recorded 6.85°

Table-1. Effect of plant growth regulators and chemicals on growth, yield and yield attributes of acid lime var. PKM 1

Treatments	Tree spread (m)		Initial fruit set (%)	Fruit retention (%)	No. of fruits tree ⁻¹	Weight of fruit (g)	Fruit yield (kg tree ⁻¹)
	East - West	North - South					
T ₁	4.53	4.30	61.78	29.01	425	44.20	12.76
T ₂	4.25	3.97	62.31	33.07	511	43.60	15.08
T ₃	3.92	4.22	62.54	33.60	573	43.50	17.53
T ₄	3.75	3.76	60.06	41.49	632	43.70	18.70
T ₅	4.71	4.95	69.86	47.41	1003	48.60	28.96
T ₆	4.67	4.74	66.10	45.19	791	45.90	22.36
T ₇	4.50	4.70	61.13	36.35	681	41.90	19.40
T ₈	4.38	4.65	61.99	42.09	703	43.80	20.40
T ₉	4.49	4.44	60.01	35.87	605	43.60	17.54
T ₁₀	4.59	4.83	61.11	42.52	750	44.70	21.07
T ₁₁	4.23	4.57	60.44	40.78	652	43.20	19.54
SEd	0.21	0.26	0.82	0.80	6.96	0.71	0.36
CD (0.05)	0.42	0.52	1.64	1.61	15.04	1.53	0.78

Table 2: Effect of plant growth regulators and chemicals on quality attributes of acid lime var. PKM 1

Treatments	Juice content (%)	TSS ($^{\circ}$ Brix)	Acidity (%)	Ascorbic acid content (mg.100ml ⁻¹)	Reducing sugars (%)	Non-reducing sugars (%)
T ₁	23.22	6.01	7.09	27.81	1.26	0.51
T ₂	25.83	6.43	7.55	29.16	1.18	0.38
T ₃	27.39	6.41	8.37	28.77	1.17	0.29
T ₄	28.77	6.66	8.84	29.23	1.19	0.27
T ₅	32.13	7.29	9.20	32.56	1.14	0.13
T ₆	29.85	6.85	8.55	31.65	1.15	0.15
T ₇	27.13	6.36	8.73	29.31	1.19	0.26
T ₈	26.96	6.53	8.69	28.89	1.20	0.23
T ₉	27.19	6.72	8.77	29.56	1.19	0.29
T ₁₀	24.15	6.38	8.34	29.41	1.18	0.27
T ₁₁	25.65	6.65	8.58	30.38	1.16	0.28
SEd	0.10	0.07	0.15	0.09	0.001	0.07
CD (0.05)	0.214	0.14	0.30	0.19	0.01	0.15

Brix (Table-2). The lowest TSS (6.01 $^{\circ}$ Brix) was obtained in fruits from control trees. The acidity per cent was increased by spraying of the plant growth regulators and chemicals in acid lime. The more acidic fruits (9.20 per cent) were obtained from the trees which were sprayed with GA₃ 50 ppm + cycocel 1000 ppm in September + KNO₃ two per cent (T₅) while the less acid i.e. fruits were harvested from control trees. Significant difference in ascorbic acid content of acid lime fruits due to various flowering regulation treatments was obtained. Ascorbic acid content was the highest in T₅ which registered 32.56 mg 100 ml⁻¹, followed by T₆ (31.65 mg 100 ml⁻¹). Application of plant growth regulators and chemicals decreased both reducing and non-reducing sugar content of the fruits. The maximum contents of both reducing and non-reducing sugar were found in control trees and the minimum contents were noticed in T₅.

The highest total soluble solids, acidity and ascorbic acid content are the very important attributes that decide the quality of acid lime. The acidity of acid lime is mainly due to the presence of citric acid, which is one of the most widely distributed plant acids. The ascorbic acid content is important attribute because the acid lime fruits are known for its vitamin 'C' content. In the present investigation, the highest TSS, acidity and ascorbic acid content of fruits were obtained (T₅) when compared to control. Increase in TSS might be due to the fact that GA₃ stimulated the functioning of number of enzymes in the physiological process, which probably caused an increase in TSS. These findings are in conformity with Nath and Baruah (2000). The cycocel increased the TSS due to increase in mobilization of carbohydrates from source to sink. These findings are in agreement with Singh and Phogat (1983) in litchi. Application of potassium nitrate mobilizes the carbohydrates from source to sink. These findings are in conformity with findings of Anbu *et al.* (2001). Increase in acidity of fruits was reported by Sharma *et al.* (2003) in mandarin with GA₃. Das and Narayana (1974)

reported that CCC increased the titrable acidity in lemon. The reason for increase in ascorbic acid content in fruits by GA₃ treatment was attributed to perpetual synthesis of glucose-6-phosphate through the development of fruits, which is considered as precursor of vitamin C (Nath and Baruah, 2000). The different treatments decreased the sugar content of the fruits. The sugars are indirectly proportional to acidity (Shrestha, 1988). Perceptible differences were observed in juice content of fruits due to various levels and combinations of different growth regulators in acid lime. In fact, the juice content was increased due to growth regulators and chemical spraying. The highest juice content was recorded in T₅ which measured 32.13 per cent, followed by T₆, which measured 29.85 per cent. The least juice content was recorded in control (T₁), which measured the juice content of 23.22 per cent. The highest juice content was recorded in T₅ and might be due to enhancement of cell division and cell elongation. The plant growth regulators served as mobilizers, which preferentially direct the flow of various organic metabolites from other part of plants to fruit (Sharma *et al.*, 2003). Gibberellin treatment increased the juice content of citrus (Monselise, 1977), acid lime (Sathyamurthy *et al.*, 1978) and Egyptian lime (Stino *et al.*, 1980). The highest juice content was obtained with cycocel 500 ppm to 1250 ppm in sweet lime (Arora and Yamdagni, 1985).

CONCLUSION

The Acid lime trees sprayed with GA₃ 50 ppm in June + cycocel 1000 ppm in September + KNO₃ 2% in October was found to be best for yield and quality parameters.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the financial support rendered by the Project Coordinator, ICAR-AICRP on Fruits, IIHR, Bengaluru for conduct of this experiment.

REFERENCES

- Anbu, S., S. Parthiban, K. Balakrishnan and T. Thangaraj. (2001). Induction of off-season flowering in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.). South Indian Horticulture. **41(Special)**: 365-366.
- AOAC. (1975). Official methods of analysis. Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, 2nd ed. Washington D.C. 832 pp.
- Arora, R.K. and R. Yamdagni. (1985). Effect of growth regulators on fruit set, final retention and fruit quality in sweet lime (*Citrus limetoides* Tanaka). *Haryana Agric. Univ. J. Res.*, **15(2)**: 164-169.
- Das, R. C. and K. K. Narayana. (1974). Effect of growth regulators on the fruit retention, development and quality of Mosambi (*Citrus sinensis* Osbeck.). South Indian Horticulture., **22**: 33-36.
- Iwahori, S. and J. T. Oohata. (1981). Control of flowering of Satsuma mandarin (*Citrus unshiu* Marc.) with gibberellin. *Proc. Int. Soc. Citriculture*, 247-249.
- Jose Eduardo O. Lima and Frederick S. Davies. (1984). Growth regulators, fruit drop, yield and quality of Navel oranges in Florida. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, **109(1)**: 81-84.
- Monselise, S. P. (1977). Citrus fruit development: Endogenous systems and external regulation. *Proc. Int. Soc. Citriculture*, **2**: 664-668.
- Moss, G. I. (1969). Chemical control of flower development in sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* Osbeck). *Aust. J. Agric. Res.*, **21**: 233-242.
- NHB (2014) Indian Horticulture Database, National Horticulture Board, Gurgaon, India. pp.45.
- Nath, J. C. and K. Barauh. (2000). Effect of pruning and growth regulators on the quality of Assam lemon (*Citrus limon* Burn.). *The Hort. J.*, **13(1)**: 47-52.
- Panse, V. G. and P. V. Sukhatme. (1967). Statistical methods for Agricultural Workers. ICAR, New Delhi.
- Rabe, E. and P. J. J. Van Rensberg. (1996). Gibberellic acid sprays, girdling, flower thinning and potassium application affect fruit splitting and yield in the 'Ellendale' tangor. *J. Hort. Sci.*, **71(2)**: 195-203.
- Sathyamurthy, S., S. Thamburaj and N. Nagarajan. (1978). Effect of certain growth regulators on acid lime. *Madras Agric. J.*, **65(10)**: 650-663.
- Sharma, A.K., K. Singh and S. P. Mishra. (2003). Effect of foliar spray of zinc sulphate, 2,4,5-T and GA₃ on quality of kagzi lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle). *Orissa J. Hort.*, **31(2)**: 29-32.
- Shrestha, T. N. (1988). Studies on chemical control of flowering and fruiting in acid lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle). Ph.D. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
- Singh, O. P. and K. P. S. Phogat. (1983). Effect of growth regulators on fruit drop, size and quality of litchi cv. Calcuttia. *Punjab Hort. J.*, **24(1-4)**: 83-87.
- Somogyi, M. (1952). Notes on sugar determination. *J. Biological Chemistry*, **195**, 19-23.
- Stino, G. R., M. M. Khattap and S. A. Menena. (1980). Effect of gibberellic acid on maturation of lime fruits. *Research Bulletin, Faculty of Agric., Ain Shang.*, **1244**: 21.