Evaluation of biopesticides against gram pod borer *Helicoverpa armigera* (HUB.) on pigeonpea

Sagar Anand Pandey¹ and S.B. Das

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture J.N.K.V.V., Jabalpur-482 004, India. Recieved: 15-03-2015 Accepted: 10-12-2015

ABSTRACT

A field experiment on evaluation of biopesticides against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera Hub.*) on pigeon pea was carried out during *kharif* season of 2012-13. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. Gram pod borer is a major pest of pigeon pea in India. For the management of this pest seven biopesticides were tested along with control. Among the biopesticides, *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1 liter / ha (1x10¹² spores/ml) was found to be most effective biopesticide as it recorded lowest larval population (6.68 larvae / 5plants). The highest larval population was recorded in control (12.61 larvae /5 plants). The least effective treatment was *Paecilomyces fumosoreseus* (9.31 larvae /5 plants). Similar trend was observed in the grain yield as 1667.55 kg/ha, 709.41kg/ha and 1025.21kg/ha, respectively.

Key words: Biopesticides, Efficacy, Helicoverpa armigera.

INTRODUCTION

Pigeon pea is one of the important pulse crop of Madhya Pradesh. In the state it is extensively grown in Narsinghpur, Raisen Vidisha, Jabalpur, Gwalior, Bhind Morena, Sidhi Sahdole districts.It is attacked by various insect pests right from sowing to storage of the crop. Among the insect species infesting pigeon pea the pod borer complex is responsible to the yield loss upto 27.77 per cent (Sahoo and Senapati, 2000). The pod borer comlex comprises of Helicoverpa armigera, Grapholitha critica, Maruca testulalis, Lampides boeticus, Exelastis atomosa and Melanagromyza obtusa. Amongst them Helicoverpa armigera is a key pest inflicting 80-90% of loss caused by pod borers. It causes considerable yield loss of 250000 tonnes of grains/annum worth more than 3750 million rupees per year, (Banu et al. 2005). Various synthetic insecticides are used by the farmers for its control but due to undesirable effects on environment, alternate ecosafe biopesticides need to be developed. Microbial pathogens are considered for eco-friendly management strategy of the pests. Hence, a field trial consisting of seven microbial products was conducted to evaluate the efficacy against the gram pod borer in pigeon pea.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field trial was carried out at the experimental field of Department of Entomology, Live stock farm, Adhartal, J.N.K.V.V., Jabalpur (M.P.) during *Kharif* season 2012-13. The trial was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. Pigeon pea variety JA-4 was sown at 60 cm spacing (row to row) having plot size of 5mx4.2m.

The recommended package of practices were followed except plant protection measures. The trial comprised eight treatments namely, Beauveria bassiana@1liter/ha (1x10¹² spores/ml), Metarrhizium anisopliae @1liter/ha (1x10¹² spores/ml), Paecilomyces fumosoreseus @1liter/ ha(1x1012 spores/ml), Verticillium lecanii @1liter/ha(1x1012 spores/ml), Bacillus thuringiensis var. kurstaki (PDBC-BT-1) @1.51/ha, neem soap@1kg/ha(10g/l), pongamia soap@1kg/ha (10g/l) and untreated control. Three sprays per treatment were given at pod formation stage. Observations on larval population of *H. armigera* were recorded on five plants per plot at 24 hours before spraying (pre treatment) and 3,7 and 10 days after each spray. At harvest, total number of healthy and borer damaged pods and grain were counted and expressed as percent damage. The data were then subjected to square root and arcsine transformation values before statistical analysis. The seed yield of net plot was recorded separately and converted into per hectare. The results thus obtained are summarized in Table 1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Larval population: Data presented in Table 1 showed no significant differences in the *Helicoverpa armigera* larval population among different treatments recorded as pre-treatment observations, indicating more or less uniform distribution of the pest in the experimental field. Further, post treatment showed significant differences among various treatment after three days of spray. Among the treatments, *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1kg/ha (1x10¹² spores / ml) was found to be most effective, as it recorded the lowest larval population (7.87 larvae /5plants). This was followed by

*Corresponding author's e-mail: tdp1963@rediffmail.com. 1Department of Entomology, IGKV, College of Agriculture, Raipur (Chattisgarh)

DOI:10.18805/lr.v0iOF.9484

LEGUME RESEARCH - An International Journal

Table 1: Evaluation of biopesticides against gram pod borer, <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> infesting pi

Treatment	Dose	Mean H. armigera larval population/ 5 plant				Over	Damage (%) ***		Grain
		Pre-treatment		Days after spraying*		all	Pod	Grain	Yield
			3	7	10	mean			(kg/ha)
Beauveria	1liter/ha	9.37	7.87	6.30	5.87	6.68	13.64	6.71	1667.55
bassiana		(3.06)**	(2.80)	(2.51)	(2.42)	(2.58)	(21.64)	(15.00)	
Metarrhizum	1liter/ha	9.69	9.27	9.28	9.09	9.21	15.86	8.58	1272.74
anisopliae		(3.11)	(3.04)	(3.05)	(3.02)	(3.03)	(23.42)	(16.95)	
Paecilomyces	1liter/ha	9.76	9.31	9.25	9.37	9.31	17.18	9.28	1025.21
fumosoreseus		(3.12)	(3.05)	(3.04)	(3.06)	(3.05)	(24.43)	(17.66)	
Verticillium	1liter/ha	9.45	8.17	7.90	7.87	7.98	13.51	7.03	1604.30
lacanii		(3.07)	(2.86)	(2.81)	(2.80)	(2.82)	(21.56)	(15.34)	
Bacillus	1.5liter/ha	9.50	8.26	8.16	8.22	8.21	14.76	7.55	1504.13
thuringiensis var.		(3.08)	(2.87)	(2.86)	(2.87)	(2.87)	(22.55)	(15.89)	
kurstaki (PDBC-BT-I	1)								
Neem Soap	1kg/ha	9.57	8.34	8.25	8.30	8.30	15.20	7.70	1486.55
•	Ū.	(3.09)	(2.89)	(2.87)	(2.88)	(2.88)	(22.95)	(16.11)	
Pongamia	1kg/ha	9.61	9.14	8.32	8.31	8.59	15.24	8.09	1374.86
Soap	Ū.	(3.10)	(3.02)	(2.88)	(2.88)	(2.93)	(22.95)	(16.43)	
Control	-	9.79	10.84	15.77	11.21	12.61	20.70	14.65	709.41
		(3.13)	(3.29)	(3.97)	(3.35)	(3.55)	(27.06)	(22.46)	
SEm+	-	0.002	.002	.003	0.008	0.003	0.58	0.40	12.04
CD at 5%	-	NS	.007	.008	0.23	0.10	1.77	1.24	36.53

* Mean of three spraying

NS-Non significant

** Figures are square root transformed values

(%) *** Figures are arcsine transformed values

Verticillium lecanii) @ 1kg/ha (1x10¹² spores / ml) (8.17 larvae/5 plants), *Bacillus thuringiensis* var.*kurstaki* (PDBC-BT-1) @1.5 l/ha (8.26 larvae / 5 plants), neem soap @1kg/ha (10g/l) (8.34 larvae/5 plants), pongamia soap@1kg/ha (10g/l) (9.14 larvae/plants), *Metarrhizium anisopliae* @1kg/ ha(1x10¹² spores/ml) (9.25 larvae/5 plants) and *Paecilomyces fumosoresus* @ 1kg/ha (1x10¹² spores/ml) (9.31 larvae/ 5 plants), but all of them differed significantly from each other. The highest larval population was recorded in control (10.84 larvae/5 plants).

At seven days after treatment, the differences in the mean larval population among different treatments were significant. All the biopesticide treatments significantly reduced the larval population as compared to control (15.77 larvae/5 plants). Among the treatments, Beauveria bassiana @ 1kg/ha(1x1012 spore /ml) was found to be the most effective as it recorded the lowest larval population (6.30 larvae/5 plants). This was followed by Verticillium lecanii @ 1kg/ha (1x1012 spores/ml) (7.9 larvae/ 5 plants), PDBC-BT-1 @1.5 l/ha (8.16 larvae/5 plants), neem soap @ 1kg/ha(10g/l) (8.25 larvae/5 plants), pongamia soap @ 1kg/ha(10g/l) (8.32 larvae/plants), Metarrhizium anisopliae @1kg/ha(1x1012 spores/ml) (9.28 larvae/5plants) and Paecilomyces fumosoresus @ 1kg/ha (1x10¹² spores/ml) (9.25 larvae/5 plants), but all of them differed significantly from each other. The highest larval population was recorded in control (15.77 larvae/5plants).

At ten days after treatment, the differences in the mean larval population among different treatments were significant. All the biorational treatments significantly reduced the larval population as compared to control (11.21 larvae/5plants). Among the treatments, Beauveria bassiana @ 1kg/ha (1x 10^{12} spores/ml) was found to be the most effective as it recorded the lowest larval population (5.87 larvae / 5 plants). The next group of treatments were Verticillium lecanii @ 1kg/ha (1x1012 spores / ml) (7.87 larvae / 5 plants), PDBC-BT-1 @1.51 / ha (8.22 larvae / 5 plants), neem soap @1kg/ha(10g/l)(8.30 larvae / 5 plants), pongamia soap @ 1kg/ha (10g/l) (8.31 larva/plants) and Metarrhizium anisopliae @ 1kg/ha (1x1012 spores/ml) (9.09 larvae / 5plants), with no significant differences among them. The least effective treatment was Paecilomyces fumosoresus @ 1kg /ha $(1x10^{12} \text{ spores / ml})$ (9.37 larvae/5 plants) which was significantly superior to control. The highest larval population was recorded in control (11.21 larvae / 5 plants).

On the basis of overall mean, the differences in the mean overall larval population per 5 plants among different treatments were significant. All the biorational treatments significantly reduced the larval population as compared to control (12.61 larvae/5 plants). Among the treatments, *Beauveria bassiana* @ 1kg/ha (1x10¹² spores /ml) was found to be the most effective as it recorded the lowest larval population (6.68 larvae/5 plants). The next group of treatments were *Verticillium lecanii* @ 1kg/ha (1x10¹² spores /ml)

(7.98 larvae / 5 plants), PDBC-BT-1 @1.51 / ha (8.21 larvae / 5 plants), neem soap @ 1kg/ha(10g/l) (8.30 larvae /5 plants), but were all at par with each other. The next effective group of treatments were pongamia soap @ 1kg/ha (10g/l) (8.59 larvae/plants) and *Metarrhizium anisopliae* @ 1kg/ha (1x10¹² spores / ml) (9.21 larvae/5 plants). The least effective treatment was *Paecilomyces fumosoresus* @ 1kg/ha(1x10¹² spores/ml) (9.31 larvae /5 plants), but was significantly superior to control. The highest larval population was recorded in control (12.61 larvae /5 plants). Hence, it is clear that all the treatments effectively reduced the larval population. These findings are in general agreement with those of Madan lal and Mishra (2003), Mohapatra and Shrivastava (2008) and Prasad *et al.*,(2010).

Pod and grain damage: The results indicated in the Table-1 showed that all the treatments significantly reduced the pod and grain damage by gram pod borer as compared to control i.e.20.70 and 14.65%, respectively. Among the treatments, *V. lecanii* @1kg/ha(1x10¹² spores/ml) was found to be most effective as it recorded lowest pod damage (13.41%) followed by treatments *B. bassiana* @1kg/ha (1x10¹² spores/ml) (13.64%), Bt (PDBC-BT-1) @ 1.51/ha (14.76%), neem soap @ 1kg/ha (10g/l) (15.2%) and pongamia soap @ 1kg/ha (10g/l) (15.24%) but they were all at par with each other. However, in case of grain damage *B. bassiana* @ 1kg/ha (1x10¹² spores/ml) was found to be

most effective as it recorded lowest grain damage (6.71%) followed by *V. lecanii* @1kg/ha (1x10¹²spores/ml) (7.03%), Bt (PDBC-BT-1) @ 1.5l/ha (7.55%) and neem soap @ 1kg/h (10g/l) (7.70%) but they were all at par with each other. The least effective treatments were pongamia soap @ 1kg/ha (10g/l) (8.09%), *M. anisopliae* @ 1kg/ha (1x10¹²spores/ml) (8.58%) and *P.fumosoreseus* @ 1 kg/ha (1x10¹²spores/ml) (9.28%), however all the three treatments were at par with each other. The results obtained are in accordance with the findins of Reddy *et al.*, (2001) and Prasad *et al.*, (2010).

Grain vield: All the treatments registered significantly higher grain yields as compared to the control. The highest grain yield was recorded in B. bassiana @ 1kg/ha (1x1012 spores / ml) treated plots (1667.55kg/ha) which was significantly superior to the rest of the treatments. This was followed by Verticillium lecanii @ 1kg/ha (1x10¹² spores/ml) (1604.3 kg/ha) and Bt (PDBC-BT-1) 1.5 l/ha (1504.13 kg/ha) but were at par with each other. The next effective treatments were neem soap @ 1kg/ha (10g/l) (1486.55 kg/ha) followed by pongamia soap @ 1kg/ha (10g/l) (1374.86 kg/ha), *M. anisopliae* @ 1kg/ha $(1x10^{12} \text{ spores / ml}) (1272.74 \text{ kg/ha})$ and P.fumosoreseus @ 1kg/ha (1x10¹² spores / ml) (1025.21 kg/ha) and lowest yield was recorded in control plot (809.41 kg/ha) and they differed significantly from each other. The present findings are in conformity with the findings of Prabhakara and Srinivasa (1998), Khanpara et al., (2012) and Sreekanth and Seshamahalakshmi(2012).

REFERENCES

- Banu, M. R., Muthiah, A. R. And Asok, S., (2005). Evaluation of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) genotypes against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*). Abstract in 4th International Food Legume Research Conference on Food Legumes for National Security and sustainable Agriculture Oct. 18-22, 2005. New Delhi, India, pp. 317.
- Khanpara, A.V; M.N. Kapadia and D.M. Jethva, (2011). Behavioural response of *Helicoverpa armigera* to *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki* on pigeonpea. *Ann. Pl. Prot Sci.* **19**: 212-213.
- Mandal, S.M. and B.K. Mishra, (2003). Bioefficacy of insecticides and biopesticides against pod borers and pod fly on pigeon pea. *J. Appl. Zoo. Res.* 14: 42-43.
- Mohapatra S.D. and C.P. Srivastava, (2008). Toxicity of biorational insecticides against spotted pod borer, *Maruca vitrata* (Geyer) in short duration pigeonpea. *Indian. J. Ent.* **70:** 61-63.
- Prabhakara, M.S. and N. Srinivasa, (1998). Field persistence of Bacillus thuringiensis formulations against pigeonpea pod borer. Indian J. Pulses Res. 11: 63-67.
- Prasad Arti; Nilofer, Syed and Sujoita, Purohit, (2010). *Beauveria bassiana (Balsamo) Vuillemin:* A successful biopesticide against key pest *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner). *International J. Pharma and Biosciences*. **6**:1-7.
- Reddy C.N., Y. Singh, Premdureja and V.S. Sing, (2001). Bioefficacy of insecticides, biopesticides and their combinations against pod borers in pigeonpea. *Indian J. Ent.* **63**:13
- Sahoo, B. K. And Senapati, B. (2000). Determination of economic thresholds for pod borer complex in pigeonpea. *Indian J. Plant Prot.* **28**: 176-179.
- Seshamahalakshmi (2012). Studies on relative toxicity of biopesticides to *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) and *Maruca vitrata* (Geyer) on pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.). *J.of Biopesticides* **5:** 191-195.
- Sreekanth, M and M. Seshamahalakshmi, 2012. Studies on relative toxicity of biopesticides to *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) and *Maruca vitrata* (Geyer) on pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.). *J. of Biopesticides* 5: 191-195. *Sustainable Agriculture*. Oct. 18-22; 2005, New Delhi, India pp317. Sreekanth, M and M.