#### Indian J. Agric. Res., 42 (4): 266-271, 2008

# INFLUENCE OF ORGANIC AND INORGANIC N FERTILIZATION ON GROWTH YIELD AND ECONOMICS OF TRANSPLANTED RICE

# S. Natarajan, M. Premsekhar, K. Velayuthem, C. Paulraj and P. Nagarajan

Department of Agronomy,

Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003, India

## ABSTRACT

A field study was carried out during *kharif* and *rabi* seasons of 2001-02 and 2002-03. An appreciable improvement in growth components (plant height, and number of tillers) and grain yield were evidenced in *kharif* and *rabi* rice with organic manure *Sesbania aculeate*, FYM, poultry manure and pressmud along with inorganic N (urea) applied 50% each. The integration of 50% N as organic through *sesbania aculeate* with 50% as inorganic (urea) brought about conspicuous yield improvement with 24 and 25 per cent in *kharif* and *rabi* rice respectively over 100% inorganic N alone. This treatment also recorded the highest net return and benefit.

#### INTRODUCTION

Rice, one of the most important staple foods produced and consumed all over the world and utilized by more than two billion people in Asia and the contribution of India is 22 per cent of the total world rice production. In our country to meet the food demand of the ever increasing human population, it is imperative to maximize the productivity of rice, as land and water are limited for extending the area under rice (Siddig, 2000). According to Sharma and Mitra (1989), the response of rice to N is generally very high and its supply is essential for realization of the full potential of the rice cultivar. Application of optimum dose of N to rice is gaining importance since N is such a star nutrient which is indispensable in crop production. The low recovery (30-40%) of applied N in lowland rice (Oryza sativa L.) and higher price necessitate the use of alternative 'N' sources to inorganic N fertilizer to sustain rice productivity.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted in rice during *kharif* and *rabi* seasons of 2001-02 and 2002-03 at Agricultural College and Research Institute. Combatore (Latitude 11° N, Longitude 77° E and altitude 426.7m). The soil of the experimental field was deep clay loam, moderately drained with neutral reaction, classified taxonomically as Typic Haplustalf with

a pH ranging 6.6 to 8.1, low in available nitrogen  $(274 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ , medium in available phosphorus  $(17.8 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$  and high in available potassium  $(585 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ . The trial was laid out in randomized black design with three replications as follows :

- T<sub>1</sub> 100% N as pressmud alone
- $\rm T_{_2}$  75% N as pressmud + 25% N as inorganic
- T<sub>3</sub> 50% N as pressmud + 50% N as inorganic
- $\mathrm{T}_{\scriptscriptstyle\scriptscriptstyle A}~$  100% N as Sesbania aculeate alone
- ${\rm T}_{_{\rm 5}}\,$  75% N as Sesbania aculeate + 25% N as inorganic
- T<sub>6</sub> 50% N as Sesbania aculeate + 50% N inorganic
- T<sub>7</sub> 100% N as composted poultry manure alone
- T<sub>8</sub> 75% N as composted poultry manure + 25% N as inorganic
- T<sub>9</sub> 50% N as composted poultry manure + 50% N as inorganic
- $T_{10}$  100% N as FYM = 25% N as inorganic
- $T_{11} 75$ % N as FYM + 25% N as inorganic
- $T_{12}$  50% N as FYM + 50% N as inorganic
- ${\rm T}_{_{13}}$  100% of the recommended dose of N as inorganic
- $T_{14}$  Control

moderately drained with neutral reaction, N.B. : All the treatments except  $T_{14}$  received classified taxonomically as Typic Haplustalf with recommended dose of  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$ .

150:50:50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The 'N' was applied as per the treatments schedule. The *kharif* and rabi season variety CO 47 and ADT 38, respectively fan raising the nursery. The seeds were soaked in water for 24 hours and incubated. The sprouted seeds were broadcast and raised in nursery without adding any fertilizer. Transplanting was done on 20th day in the field with a spacing of 15 x 10 cm in *kharif* and on 25th day with a spacing of 20 x 10 cm in rabi @ two seedling hill-1, harvested kharif and rabi 105 and 130 days.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect on growth characters : The plant height was measured at active tillering, panicle initiation, flowering and harvest stages in both kharif 2001-02 and 2002-03 and the plant height was greatly influenced by nutrient management practices especially N application (Kandasamy and Ramasamy (1998). Rice plant height increased as the crop growth advanced from active tillering and it was maximum at harvest stage. The plant height increased lineraly from 28.50 to 53.70 cm, 47.73 to 81.36 cm, 65.20 to 103.50 cm and 67.30 to 108.30 cm at active tillering, panicle initiation, flowering and harvest stages respectively during kharif 2001-02 and the values during kharif 2003-03 were 35.33 to 55.30, 55.63 to 88.03 cm, 73.56 to 115.00 cm and 74.34 to 117.15 an respectively for the different stages of crop growth. Irrespective of the stages, plant height was the lowest in control. Application of 100% N as organic alone in general resulted in increased plant height at different stages of crop growth in both the years as compared to control. However, the values registered were comparable with the values obtained for the combined application of organics and inorganics to supply N in the ratio 0.75:0.25 (75% organic with 25% inorganic) and 1.0 (100% organic). Significantly higher plant height was associated with combined application of organic and inorganic N in the

Recommended dose of N, P.O., K.O for kharif ratio of 0.50:0.50, the plants being tallest in the and rabi rice are 120;38:38 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and plots receiving 50% N through inorganic + 50% N through Sesbania aculeata. This is agreement with in the findings of Srinivasulu Reddy (1988) and Hameed khan (1990). Similarly, the plant height ranged from 23.81 to 47.53 cm, 32.21 to 74.68 cm, 56.26 to 96.99 and 66.74 to 107.61 cm during rabi 2001-02 and 25.91 to 59.81 cm, 34.76 cm 58.42 to 98.81cm and 70.69 to 109.50 cm during rabi 2002-03 for the active tillering, panicle initiation, flowering and harvest stages respectively. As in the kharif season, the plant height was also found to increase with the conjoint application of organics and inorganics N as compared to inorganic N alone  $(T_{12})$ . The application of 50% N was inorganic with 50% N through Sesbania aculeata (T<sub>c</sub>) was found to be the best in enhancing the plant height in both the seasons at various stages (Table 1).

> Effect on number of tillers per hill : The number of tillers produced per hill was more with 50% N through Sesbania aculeata + 50% N as inorganic (T) at active tillering, panicle initiation, flowering and harvest stages (12.46, 14.46, 13.46, 12.36 and 15, 51, 16.07, 15.58 and 15.53) and it was at par with that of 50% N through FYM + 50% N as inorganic  $(T_{12})$ , 50% N through poultry manure + 50% N through poultry manure + 50% N as inorganic  $(T_0)$  and 50% N through pressnud + 50% N as inorganic fertilizer  $(T_{1})$  but superior to 100% N as inorganic  $(T_{1})$ . During kharif 2001-02 and 2002-03. The number of tillers hill-1 was the least in control.

> The maximum number of tillers produced hill<sup>-1</sup> was associated with 50% N through Sesbania aculeata + 50% N as inorganic (11.78, 13.63, 13.23, 12.50 and 13.30, 15.26, 14.98 and 14.69) and this was followed by 50% N through FYM + 50% N as inorganic  $(T_{12})$ , 50% N through composed poultry manure + 50% N as inorganic (T<sub>o</sub>) and 50% N through pressmud +50% N as inorganic (T<sub>1</sub>) in all the four stages. The application of 100% inorganic N was superior

		1			- argante		- הלמודרה זא ר	ייד הדמות דו		יא מר תוד	דכדכוור או	Sport Inwo	Q.			
Treatments		Kharii	£ 2001			Kharri	£ 2002			Rabi 2(	001-02			Rabi 2	002-03	
	Adrive	Banicle	Flawering	Harvest	Active	Panicle	Flowering	Harvest	Active	Panicle	Flowering	Harvest	Active	Panicle	Flowering	Harvest
	tillering	initiation			tillaring	initiation			tillering	initiation			tillering	initiation		
т. Т	42.90	67.56	84.95	85.21	43.86	74.64	87.64	93.56	36.54	54.25	75.98	80.98	37.51	56.48	72.21	84.54
H	43.20	68.52	85.29	86.54	44.10	75.93	88.54	94.33	36.63	54.39	76.50	81.09	37.63	56.90	78.43	85.69
H	48.5	76.76	92.50	95.40	52.50	81.98	103.83	107.50	44.78	67.42	85.79	89.60	46.27	68.25	88.65	97.08
H_4	46.12	75.08	89.30	91.23	49.50	82.70	101.23	103.41	41.23	64.73	83.50	87.53	44.48	64.90	84.63	93.52
H	46.63	75.12	90.60	92.40	50.80	81.10	102.0	104.37	45.42	64.88	83.63	87.61	44.67	65.30	85.10	94.02
Ъ	53.70	81.36	103.50	108.30	55.30	88.03	115.0	117.15	47.53	74.68	96.99	107.61	59.81	76.41	98.81	109.50
H,	44.52	70.98	86.79	87.92	44.80	77.59	91.71	96.87	38.34	56.88	78.99	82.99	39.61	57.12	79.11	87.86
H <sub>8</sub>	44.90	71.62	87.50	88.24	45.30	77.86	92.54	97.53	38.53	56.92	79.26	83.21	39.81	57.30	80.41	88.93
Е°	49.8	78.80	96.80	99.50	53.41	85.33	107.83	112.75	45.64	69.9I	16.98	95.44	48.37	70.31	90.89	100.22
T <sub>10</sub>	45.32	73.19	88.87	89.57	47.20	79.41	94.91	99.43	39.09	60.63	80.15	84.59	40.98	61.43	82.17	91.63
H	45.90	73.44	89.26	90.38	48.60	79.63	95.86	100.80	39.26	60.72	80.49	85.33	41.13	61.87	83.30	92.98
$\mathbf{H}_{_{12}}$	52.86	80.10	99.60	102.30	54.70	86.33	111.90	114.41	46.78	71.24	92.70	101.52	53.51	73.80	95.64	103.41
H	45.93	73.90	88.33	89.97	49.40	81.10	100.94	102.56	40.98	64.53	82.97	86.54	43.97	64.50	83.42	92.93
$\mathbb{T}_{14}$	28.50	46.73	65.20	67.30	35.33	55.63	73.56	74.34	23.81	32.21	56.26	66.74	25.91	34.76	58.42	70.69
SEd	0.84	1.14	1.64	0.92	2.17	1.88	1.06	1.24	1.58	1.88	7.12	0.99	2.60	2.20	2.71	1.45
CD (=0.05)	1.74	2.35	3.38	1.90	4.47	3.87	2.19	2.56	3.27	3.87	14.65	2.03	5.36	4.53	5.58	2.99

Table 1. Effect of organics and inorganic N on plant height (on) at different growth stage

# INDIAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

tments		Kharii	£ 2001			Kharri	f 2002			Rabi 20	001-02			Rabi 2	002-03	
	Active	Banicle	Flowering	Harvest	Active	Panicle	Flowering	Harvest	Active	Panicle	Flowering	Harvest	Active	Fanicle	Flowering	Harvest
	farial				fillening	nocectini			farment of	Intractor			tulientig	nocecture		
	8.38	10.32	8.92	8.57	12.13	10.57	10.60	10.48	9.86	11.84	10.64	10.51	11.27	13.52	13.57	13.38
	8.50	10.46	60.6	10.10	12.41	13.50	10.66	10.63	9.92	11.97	10.73	10.62	11.32	13.77	13.64	13.43
	10.03	12.46	11.06	10.67	14.55	14.98	12.58	12.73	10.67	12.90	11.53	11.50	12.68	14.89	14.33	14.11
	9.40	11.69	10.32	9.98	13.90	13.98	10.58	11.29	10.44	12.57	11.09	10.98	12.45	14.60	14.02	13.96
	9.53	11.86	10.66	11.42	14.20	14.47	11.91	12.30	10.52	12.68	11.34	11.32	12.51	14.76	14.11	14.0
	12.46	14.46	13.46	12.36	15.61	16.07	15.58	15.53	11.78	13.63	13.23	12.50	13.30	15.26	14.98	14.69
	10.63	10.71	9.26	8.68	13.25	13.98	10.11	10.79	10.02	12.18	10.73	10.64	11.55	13.98	13.79	13.63
	10.73	10.86	9.56	10.96	13.53	13.57	10.91	11.35	10.11	12.24	10.98	10.96	11.63	14.11	13.86	13.68
	10.73	12.86	11.46	11.96	14.29	15.30	13.66	13.06	10.98	13.11	11.98	06.II	12.75	15.0	14.51	14.21
	8.23	11.19	19.78	8.8	13.58	13.75	10.25	11.08	10.20	12.46	11.03	10.96	11.78	14.09	13.84	13.70
	9.13	11.33	10.06	11.20	13.87	13.94	11.66	11.76	10.32	12.52	11.23	11.12	11.98	14.33	13.93	13.75
	11.53	14.26	12.0	9T.2I	15.30	15.49	14.91	14.73	11.30	13.41	12.11	12.09	13.0	15.16	14.83	14.50
	9.29	11.54	10.19	9.87	13.60	12.88	10.47	11.04	10.32	12.39	10.98	10.87	12.38	14.53	13.98	13.91
	5.83	6.26	6.33	6.21	8.48	9.47	8.61	8.25	7.68	9.97	9.88	9.63	7.86	10.11	10.06	10.01
	0.210	0.287	0.373	0.883	0.571	0.318	0.718	0.856	0.244	0.193	0.417	9.83	0.399	0.552	0.529	0.219
0.05)	0.433	0.589	0.766	1.815	1.174	0.655	1.477	1.761	0.503	0.397	0.859	1.151	0.821	1.136	1.087	0.450

Sec
ß
돠
ğ
ц С
E
Ę
ΞË
ŭ
7.
E
чЪ
Ø,
g
H
ц Ш
о н
ģ
E
8
N
Ĕ
ĝ
ğ
'n
E
Ю.
E
g
벙
f
Efe
펍
r,
Ę
å

	)3	B.C. ratio	1.72	1.85	2.31	2.39	2.47	2.87	2.35	2.40	2.77	1.82	1.97	2.43	2.42	1.71	I	I
omic	2002-(	Net Return	31479	134874	49449	48302	50243	62921	44269	45927	57714	36455	40285	54889	48468	22417	I	I
Econe	02	B.C. ratio	1.39	1.48	2.15	2.19	2.29	2.94	2.20	2.22	2.60	1.69	1.82	2.32	2.23	1.35	I	I
	2001-	Net Return	17158	19812	43659	41492	44020	58261	39534	40085	51982	30555	34187	50459	42009	11086	I	I
	- 03	Straw yield	6727	6815	7680	7350	7475	8310	6950	7040	7790	7110	7250	7950	7125	5785	204.73	419.70
ŗ	2002	Grain vield	5015	5075	6610	5625	5720	7750	5175	5300	6780	5465	5505	6990	5598	3665	125.54	257.36
Rabi	02	Straw Vield	6510	6730	7490	7120	7360	8160	6850	6950	7680	6980	7120	7920	7050	5740	287.18	412.72
	2001	Grain vield	5010	5050	6440	5215	5360	7570	5060	5090	6650	5160	5120	6825	5525	3650	145.70	298.69
	12	Straw yield	6875	7196	8196	7950	8156	9430	7495	7505	8810	7725	7815	9127	7760	6098	143.56	294.69
ΪĒ	200	Grain vield	5127	5224	6117	5713	5902	7780	5288	5434	6648	5611	5690	7280	5553	3775	153.0	313.65
Wharr	1	Straw yield	6775	6850	8110	7690	7760	9130	7170	7218	8470	7420	7405	8850	7630	6030	159.70	327.40
	200	Grain vield	4498	4510	5842	5050	5288	7330	4690	4725	6300	5025	5076	6870	5375	3675	129.28	265.04
Treatments			T,	, E	Ē	H 4	Ē	Ĕ	$\mathbb{T}_{\gamma}$	Ē	е Н	$T_{10}$	T_11	$\mathbf{H}_{12}$	T 113	$T_{14}$	SEd	CD (=0.05)

Table 3. Effect of organics and inorganic N on yield (kg hat) and economic (Rs.  $ha^{-1}$ )

INDIAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

270

to control in increasing the production of tillers per hill. During rabi 2001-2002 and 2002-03. The number of tillers produced in control was the minimum (7.68, 9.97, 9.88, 9.63 and 7.86, 10.1, 11.06 and 10.01) at respective the stages of crop growth. Matiwade and Sheelavantar (1994) observed that yielding ability of rice with green manuring of Sesbania rostrata alone and when coupled with the recommended dose of N, to increase the number of panicle per hill, panicle length, grain per panicle and thousand rain weight compared with the recommended dose of Nalone (Table 2).

Effect of grain and straw yield : The grain yield ranged from 3675 to 7330 kg ha-1 and from 3775 to 7780 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during *kharif* 2001-02 and 2002-03 respectively showing a better performance during kharif 2002. The highest grain yield of 7330 and 7780 kg ha-1 was associated with the application of 50% N through Sesbania aculeata + 50% N as inorganic (T<sub>c</sub>) and it was next followed by  $T_{12}$  viz., 50% N through FYM + 50% N as inorganic (6870 and 7280 kg  $ha^{\mbox{--}1})$ but superior to the application of 50% N through poultry manure + 50% N as inorganic  $(T_2)$  (5842) and 6117 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest grain yield (3675 and 3775 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained with the treatment which did not receive either organic or inorganic (T,) Nadditions. Vaiyapuri et al. (1998) reported that the combined application was also observed in straw yield as in the case of green manure Sesbania sepciosa @ 12.75 t of grain yield or rice.

ha-1 with inorganic N recorded significantly higher grain and straw yield.

Grain, yield of rice increased from 3650 to 7575 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and from 3665 to 7750 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively for the different treatment tried. The application of 50% N through Sesbania aculeata + 50% N as inorganic (T\_) registered the highest grain yield and was superior to 100% N as inorganic alone (T<sub>2</sub>) in improving the grain yield during both the rabi seasons. During rabi 2001-02 and 2002-03. The higher grain yield observed in the present study due to the combined application of inorganic N and organic could be attributed to the slow and steady rate of N release into soil solution to match the required absorption pattern of rice plant. Probably, the adequate N supply might have promoted its translocation of assimilate form source to sink resulting in more spikelet formation, improved vegetative growth as indicated by taller plants, more number of tillers and higher LAI. This was in agreement with the earlier findings of Hirematha and Patel (1998).

Monetary returns : Application 50% N as through Sesbania aculeate ha + 50% N through inorganic fertilizer gave higher net return and benefit cost ration of Rs. 58261 and 62921 ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2.94 and 2.87 during *kharif* 2001-02 and 2003-03 respectively (Table 3). Similar trend

### REFERENCES

Hameed Khan, A. (1990) . Ph.D Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agric. Univ., Coimbatore. Hirematha, S.M. and Patel, Z.G. (1998). Indian J. Agron., 43(1):71-76. Kandasamy, O.S. and Ramasamy, A. (1998). Madras Agric. J., 25:604-607. Matiwade, P.S. and Sheelavantar, M.N. (1994). Indian J. Agron. 39:14-22. Sharma, A.R. and Mittra, B.N. (1989). India J. agron., 34(1):402-408. Siddiq, E.A. (2000). Survey of Indian Agriculture, The Hindu, Chennai, pp. 39-44. Srinivasalu Reddy, D. (1988). Ph.D. Thesis. Tmil Nadu Agric. University, Coimbatore. Vaiyapuri, V. et al. (1998). Ann. Agric. Res. 19:1-3.