

Influence of Microbial-enriched Organic Manures and Nanoemulsion Biofertilizers Combined with Inorganic Nutrients on the Growth, Yield and Economics of Chickpea Cultivation

J. Christina Cathrine¹, R. Isaac Manuel¹, R. Augustine¹

10.18805/IJARe.A-6288

ABSTRACT

Background: Enhancing agricultural productivity is crucial for achieving greater yields and boosting farmers' incomes. Chickpeas, prominent pulse crops in India, play a significant role in global agricultural production. Despite their resilience to adverse conditions, optimizing chickpea growth and yield remains a challenge, necessitating integrated nutrient management strategies.

Methods: The two field experiments were carried out consecutively in the same field during the rabi seasons of 2023-24 at the Instructional Farm of Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, to study the influence of microbial enriched organic manures and nano-emulsion biofertilizers with inorganic fertilizers on chickpea growth, yield and economics. The study consists of twelve treatments of integrated nutrient management practices in randomized block design with three replications.

Result: Application of 75% RDF along with nano-emulsion biofertilizer applied as seed treatment at 10 ml kg⁻¹ seeds and two foliar sprays at 10 ml I-1 at 30 and 45 DAS proves to be a financially feasible and environmentally sustainable approach for chickpea production.

Key words: Chickpea, Economics, Grain yield, Growth attributes, Microbial enriched FYM, Microbial enriched vermicompost, Stover yield.

INTRODUCTION

Chickpeas (Cicer arietinum L.) are the leading pulse crop in India, with red gram coming in a close second position. This versatile legume, belonging to the Leguminosae or Fabaceae family, is recognized by various names, such as Bengal gram and Chana. It is often hailed as the "King of Pulses". According to DES (2023), globally, chickpeas are grown on a vast expanse of 150.04 million ha, resulting in a production of 158.71 million tonnes with an average productivity of 1057.8 kg ha-1. India stands out as the top producer of chickpeas worldwide, contributing to 86% of the global output. India's production stands at 115.70 million tonnes, cultivated across 108.08 million ha, with a yield averaging 1145 kg/ha. Chickpea's ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen, efficient utilization of soil moisture and rich nutritional content make them a valuable crop for sustainable food systems in India. Chickpeas are resilient to adverse climates and contribute to soil health through nitrogen fixation (Dhaliwal et al., 2021). The vast of the cultivation of chickpea happens during the rabi season, largely dependent on rainfed conditions. Despite India's leading production status, challenges such as soil fertility issues and reliance on synthetic fertilizers persist, leading to nutrient imbalances and environmental concerns.

In the context of Indian agriculture, where nitrogen and phosphorus deficiencies are prevalent, the integration of biofertilizers such as rhizobium and Phosphorus Solubilizing Bacteria (PSB) with synthetic fertilizers becomes crucial for enhancing the crop yields. Organic manures like vermicompost and Farmyard Manure (FYM), when inoculated with beneficial microbes, enhances the nutrient levels and ¹Division of Agronomy, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore- 641 114, Tamil Nadu, India.

Corresponding Author: R. Isaac Manuel, Division of Agronomy, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore-641 114, Tamil Nadu, India. Email: isaacmanuel@karunya.edu

How to cite this article: Cathrine, J.C., Manuel, R.I. and Augustine, R. (2024). Influence of Microbial-enriched Organic Manures and Nano-emulsion Biofertilizers Combined with Inorganic Nutrients on the Growth, Yield and Economics of Chickpea Cultivation. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 1-6. doi: 10.18805/IJARe.A-6288.

Accepted: 17-10-2024 Submitted: 30-07-2024 Online: 03-12-2024

improves the soil fertility, thereby promotes sustainable farming practices. Although organic manures offer numerous advantages for soil health and plant growth, they also come with their inherent drawbacks, in terms of slow nutrient release, limited nutrient content, restricted availability, labour-intensive preparation processes and bulky nature poses challenges in handling organic manures when compared to inorganic fertilizers. Furthermore, in intensive farming conditions where nutrient demands are high, to meet the crop nutrient requirements in a short time, limits the growers from relying solely on organic manures as the primary nutrient source. Instead, it encourages an integrated approach to nutrient management. Therefore, integrating organic and inorganic nutrient sources through integrated nutrient management (INM) is crucial for sustainable crop productivity and enhanced soil health. Recently, a significant stride in agricultural advancement has come from the utilization of nano-fertilizers, largely

Volume Issue

attributable to the distinctive characteristics of nanoparticles, particularly their substantial surface area. This unique combination of minuscule particle size and expansive surface area facilitates enhanced interaction and nutrient absorption by crops, (Su et al., 2019). Consequently, combining nanoparticles with fertilizers, enhance the nutrient absorption and release, improve the nutrient use efficiency and ultimately boost the agricultural yields (Yousefzadeh et al. 2021). Similar to nano-fertilizers, a nano-biofertilizer is an innovative agricultural product that combines nanoparticles with biofertilizers or microbes., which represents a significant advancement in agriculture. These nanoparticle formulations of biofertilizers are specially designed to enhance the efficiency of microbes. In this study, a novel nano-emulsion biofertilizer formulation containing Rhizobium and PSB was tested alongside inorganic nutrients to optimize chickpea growth and productivity within an integrated nutrient management framework.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out by laying out the field experiments on chickpea with different levels of recommended doses of fertilizer in combination with organic manures and biofertilizers during the *rabi* season of 2023-24. Two field trials were taken up for the study by raising two crops chickpea consecutively on the same site without changing the randomization, at the instructional farm of School of Agricultural Sciences, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu. The soil of the experimental field was silt clay loam in texture, low in organic carbon (0.45 %), medium in available nitrogen (314 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available phosphorous (16 kg ha⁻¹), high in available potassium (195 kg ha⁻¹) and slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 8.10).

The study comprised of twelve treatments replicated thrice in randomized block design (RBD) namely, T, -100% Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF), T2 -100% RDF+ FYM @12.5 t ha-1, T₃ -100% RDF+Vermicompost @ 6 t ha-1, T_4 -100% RDF + Microbial enriched FYM @12.5 t ha⁻¹, T_5 -100% RDF+Microbial enriched vermicompost @ 6 t ha⁻¹, T_a -100% RDF+Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (Seed treatment @ 10 ml kg-1 seeds+2 foliar sprays @ 10 ml l-1 at 30 and 45 DAS), T₇-75% RDF+Microbial enriched FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹, T₈-75% RDF+Microbial enriched vermicompost @ 6 t ha⁻¹, T_a -75% RDF+Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (Seed treatment @ 10 ml kg⁻¹ seeds+2 foliar sprays @ 10 ml l⁻¹ at 30 and 45 DAS), T₁₀ -50% RDF+Microbial enriched FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹, T₁₁ -50% RDF + Microbial enriched vermicompost @ 6 t ha⁻¹, T₁₂ -50% RDF+Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (Seed treatment @ 10 ml kg-1 seeds+2 foliar sprays @ 10 ml l-1 at 30 and 45 DAS).

Ridges and furrow method of cultivation was taken up, where the ridges were formed at a distance of 30 cm. The chickpea seeds of variety NBeG-49 were sown manually by maintaining a plant to plant spacing of 10 cm, by dibbling one seed to a depth of about 3 to 4 cm, at 1/3rd distance

from the top of the ridge. After sowing, the seeds were adequately covered with soil and each plots were given a light irrigation immediately. At 5 days after sowing, a minor gap filling operation was performed for chickpeas to ensure a complete plant population. Fertilization of the chickpea crop was carried out according to the designated treatments. The recommended dose of fertilizer applied for the crop was 25 kg of nitrogen (N), 50 kg of phosphorus (P₂O₅) and 20 kg of potassium (K₂O) per hectare. The recommended dose of fertilizer was applied in varied proportion as 100%, 75% and 50% as per the treatment details in different treatment plots. Nitrogen was applied in three splits (basal, 25 days after sowing and 45 days after sowing) and the entire dose of P2O5 and K2O were applied as basal dose. The microbial enrichment of farmyard manure (FYM) and vermicompost involved inoculating the bio-inoculants, specifically Bacillus megatherium var. phosphaticum (PSB) and Rhizobium leguminosarum (Rhizobium), at a ratio of 10 litres (5 litres of PSB+5 litres of Rhizobium) per 50 kilograms of substrate. These microorganisms were propagated on a large scale using conventional culture media such as Nutrient Agar (NA) for PSB and Yeast Extract Mannitol Agar (YEMA) for Rhizobium. A polymer-based oilin-water (O/W) nano-emulsion was prepared for the study by doing ultrasonication of the components like castor oil, vitamin E, a non-ionic surfactant (Tween 80), carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) and NaCl. Later, this nano-emulsion was inoculated with the bio-inoculants of Bacillus megatherium var. phosphaticum (PSB) and Rhizobium leguminosarum (Rhizobium) at the rate of 10 ml of culture (5 ml of PSB+5 ml of Rhizobium) in 90 ml of nano-emulsion to produce the nano-emulsion biofertilizer. This prepared nano-emulsion biofertilizer was administered through seed treatment and foliar sprays. Seed treatment involved mixing of nanoemulsion biofertilizer at a rate of 10 ml/kg of seeds, for foliar spray the nano-emulsion biofertilizers was applied @ 10 ml l⁻¹ of water, with a spray volume of 500 litres ha⁻¹ by using a knapsack sprayer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth attributes

The periodic measurements of plant height and dry matter production per plant were significantly affected by the combination of inorganic fertilizers and organic manures. The application of 100% RDF+microbial enriched vermicompost @ 6 t ha⁻¹ (T_5) recorded a higher plant height and dry matter production (Table 1) at harvest and it was statistically on par with 100% RDF+Microbial enriched FYM @12.5 t ha⁻¹ (T_4), 100% RDF+Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (Seed treatment @ 10 ml/kg seeds+2 foliar sprays @ 10 ml l⁻¹ at 30 and 45 DAS) (T_6) and 75% RDF+Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (Seed treatment @ 10 ml kg⁻¹ seeds+2 foliar sprays @ 10 ml l⁻¹ at 30 and 45 DAS) (T_9) during first and second trials. This increased plant height might be due to the synergistic effect of synthetic nutrients and organic amendments which might have worked together to

Table 1: Influence of microbial enriched organic manures and nano-emulsion biofertilizers on growth and yield attributes of chickpea.

Treatments Poliant height at harvest (cm) DMP at harvest (kg/ha) Podsk/Plant Foeds/Plant Seeds/Pod Trial Trial Trial Trial Probled Trial Trial Trial Trial Probled Trial Trial Trial Trial </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>)</th> <th>•</th> <th></th> <th>•</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>))	•		•					
Trial I Trial II Pooled Trial II Trial II Pooled Trial II Trial II Trial II Pooled Trial II Trial II Pooled Trial II Trial II Trial II Trial II Pooled Trial II Trial II <td>Treatments</td> <td>Plant l</td> <td>neight at har</td> <td>vest (cm)</td> <td>DMP</td> <td>at harvest (I</td> <td>kg/ha)</td> <td></td> <td>ods/Plant</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Seeds/Poc</td> <td></td> <td>Tes</td> <td>t weight</td> <td>(a)</td>	Treatments	Plant l	neight at har	vest (cm)	DMP	at harvest (I	kg/ha)		ods/Plant			Seeds/Poc		Tes	t weight	(a)
23.37 19.90 21.64 2401.20 1599.20 2000.20 15.00 12.00 13.50 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 25.20 20.20 23.60 19.90 21.75 2451.85 1626.80 2039.33 15.00 12.33 13.67 1.00 1.00 1.00 25.20 20.20 44.65 20.10 21.75 2456.90 1663.20 2059.15 15.33 12.33 1.33 1.00 1.00 1.00 25.00 20.20 44.65 34.90 39.78 4427.72 3005.60 376.67 29.33 27.33 28.33 1.33 1.83 29.90 29.00 44.80 35.50 40.15 4449.48 3076.00 376.74 30.67 27.67 29.17 2.33 1.33 1.83 29.90 24.40 44.80 36.80 2898.40 3606.60 28.67 27.67 2.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01		Trial I	Trial II	Pooled	Trial I	Trial II	Pooled	Trial I	Trial II	Pooled	Trial I	Trial II	Pooled	Trial I	Trial	Pooled
23.60 19.90 21.75 2451.85 1626.80 2039.33 15.00 12.33 13.67 1.00 1.00 1.00 25.30 20.20 23.56 20.10 21.83 265.09 165.09 265.15 15.33 13.83 1.00 1.00 25.60 20.20 44.65 34.90 39.78 427.72 3005.00 3762.74 30.67 27.67 29.17 2.33 1.33 1.83 28.90 24.40 44.80 35.50 40.15 4449.48 3076.00 3762.74 30.67 27.67 29.17 2.33 1.33 1.83 1.83 1.83 28.90 24.40 44.80 36.00 26.00 3762.74 30.67 26.67 27.67 2.07 1.33 1.83 1.83 1.83 1.83 1.83 28.90 24.40 44.80 36.00 26.00 2702.53 20.67 27.67 2.07 1.93 1.93 1.93 1.93 1.93 <t< td=""><td></td><td>23.37</td><td>19.90</td><td>21.64</td><td>2401.20</td><td>1599.20</td><td>2000.20</td><td>15.00</td><td>12.00</td><td>13.50</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>25.20</td><td>20.20</td><td>22.70</td></t<>		23.37	19.90	21.64	2401.20	1599.20	2000.20	15.00	12.00	13.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	25.20	20.20	22.70
23.56 20.10 21.83 2455.09 1663.20 2059.15 15.33 12.33 13.83 1.00 1.00 1.00 25.60 20.30 44.65 34.00 39.78 4427.72 3005.60 3716.66 29.33 27.33 28.33 2.33 1.33 1.83 29.00 24.40 44.80 35.50 40.15 4449.48 3076.00 3762.74 30.67 26.67 26.77 2.03 1.33 1.83 1.93 1.83 29.00 24.40 44.80 36.60 20.68 3076.35 2046.40 2562.37 20.37 26.67 27.67 2.00 1.33 1.67 29.50 24.50 32.00 25.00 226.00 2702.53 20.67 17.67 19.17 2.00 1.00 1.00 1.07 20.20 22.00 44.36 24.50 26.25.00 2702.53 20.67 17.67 19.17 2.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	T_2	23.60	19.90	21.75	2451.85	1626.80	2039.33	15.00	12.33	13.67	1.00	1.00	1.00	25.30	20.20	22.75
44.65 39.78 4427.72 3005.60 3716.66 29.33 27.37 28.33 2.33 1.33 1.83 29.80 24.40 44.80 35.50 40.15 4449.48 3076.00 3762.74 30.67 27.67 29.17 2.33 1.33 1.83 29.90 24.50 44.80 36.50 40.15 4449.48 3076.00 3762.74 30.67 27.67 20.17 2.33 1.33 1.83 29.90 24.50 30.80 25.30 28.05 3078.35 2046.40 2562.37 20.33 17.33 18.83 1.33 1.67 29.50 24.10 30.80 25.00 26.02 20.67 17.67 19.17 2.00 1.07 1.67 28.20 24.10 30.80 25.25 26.00 270.25 20.67 17.67 19.17 2.00 1.07 1.67 28.40 28.40 28.60 28.00 26.50 20.00 1.00 1.07 1.07	ـــًا	23.56	20.10	21.83	2455.09	1663.20	2059.15	15.33	12.33	13.83	1.00	1.00	1.00	25.60	20.30	22.95
44.80 35.50 40.15 4449.48 3076.00 3762.74 30.67 27.67 29.17 2.33 1.33 1.83 29.90 24.50 44.36 34.10 39.23 4414.80 2898.40 3606.60 28.67 27.67 2.00 1.33 1.67 29.50 24.10 30.80 25.30 28.05 3078.35 2046.40 2562.37 20.33 17.33 1.88 1.33 1.07 1.17 26.20 24.10 30.80 25.30 28.65 3155.05 2260.00 2702.53 20.67 17.67 19.17 2.00 1.00 1.17 26.20 27.20 43.14 34.70 38.92 4221.93 2871.20 28.05 26.50 20.00 17.67 19.17 20.0 1.00 1.00 1.00 28.30 29.30 29.35 24.50 26.93 2973.21 1973.60 27.34 1.33 1.00 1.07 1.0 1.0 2.0 2.0	T ₄	44.65	34.90	39.78	4427.72	3005.60	3716.66	29.33	27.33	28.33	2.33	1.33	1.83	29.80	24.40	27.10
44.36 39.23 4314.80 2898.40 3606.60 28.67 26.67 27.67 2.00 1.33 1.67 29.50 24.10 30.80 25.30 28.05 3078.35 262.37 20.33 17.33 18.83 1.33 1.67 28.90 21.20 32.00 25.70 28.85 3155.05 2250.00 2702.53 20.67 17.67 19.17 2.00 1.33 1.67 28.30 21.30	T _s	44.80	35.50	40.15	4449.48	3076.00	3762.74	30.67	27.67	29.17	2.33	1.33	1.83	29.90	24.50	27.20
30.80 25.30 28.05 3078.35 2046.40 2562.37 20.33 17.33 18.83 1.33 1.00 1.17 26.20 21.20 32.00 25.70 28.85 3155.05 2250.00 2702.53 20.67 17.67 19.17 2.00 1.00 1.50 27.70 22.30 43.14 34.70 38.92 4221.93 2871.20 3546.57 28.00 26.50 2.00 1.33 1.67 28.70 2.03	°L	44.36	34.10	39.23	4314.80	2898.40	3606.60	28.67	26.67	27.67	2.00	1.33	1.67	29.50	24.10	26.80
32.00 25.70 28.85 3155.05 2702.53 20.67 17.67 19.17 2.00 1.00 1.50 27.70 22.30 43.14 34.70 38.92 4221.93 2871.20 3546.57 28.00 25.00 26.50 2.00 1.33 1.67 28.40 23.10 29.35 24.50 26.93 2973.21 1973.60 2473.40 19.00 16.00 17.50 1.00 1.00 1.00 25.90 20.90 29.91 24.50 26.93 2973.21 1973.60 2473.40 19.67 17.00 18.34 1.33 1.00 1.07 1.00 1.00 25.90 20.90 37.50 30.20 33.85 366.45 2560.80 3113.63 22.13 22.83 2.00 1.00 1.50 27.10 27.31 22.06 10 25.6 27.45 3387.99 2296.67 22.17 19.39 1.61 1.11 1.11 1.71 27.31 25.06	Т,	30.80	25.30	28.05	3078.35	2046.40	2562.37	20.33	17.33	18.83	1.33	1.00	1.17	26.20	21.20	23.70
43.14 34.70 38.92 4221.93 2871.20 3546.57 28.00 26.50 2.00 1.33 1.67 28.40 23.10 29.35 24.50 26.93 2973.21 1973.60 2473.40 19.00 16.00 17.50 1.00 1.00 1.00 25.90 20.90 29.31 24.50 27.26 3060.72 1988.80 2524.76 19.67 17.00 18.34 1.33 1.00 1.17 26.10 21.10 37.50 30.20 33.85 3666.45 2560.80 3113.63 22.17 19.39 1.61 1.11 1.11 27.40 27.40 33.84 27.45 3387.99 2296.67 22.17 19.39 1.61 1.11 1.11 1.11 27.31 22.06 4) 2.56 2.13 3387.99 239.51 3.01 3.01 3.01 3.01 3.01 3.01 3.01 3.01 3.01 3.01 3.01 3.01 3.01 <td< td=""><td>ـــٌ</td><td>32.00</td><td>25.70</td><td>28.85</td><td>3155.05</td><td>2250.00</td><td>2702.53</td><td>20.67</td><td>17.67</td><td>19.17</td><td>2.00</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.50</td><td>27.70</td><td>22.30</td><td>25.00</td></td<>	ـــٌ	32.00	25.70	28.85	3155.05	2250.00	2702.53	20.67	17.67	19.17	2.00	1.00	1.50	27.70	22.30	25.00
29.35 24.50 26.93 2973.21 1973.60 2473.40 19.00 16.00 17.50 1.00 1.00 1.00 25.90 20.90 20.90 29.91 24.60 27.26 3060.72 1988.80 2524.76 19.67 17.00 18.34 1.33 1.00 1.17 26.10 21.10 <	[£]	43.14	34.70	38.92	4221.93	2871.20	3546.57	28.00	25.00	26.50	2.00	1.33	1.67	28.40	23.10	25.75
29.91 24.60 27.26 3060.72 1988.80 2524.76 1967 17.00 18.34 1.33 1.00 1.17 26.10 21.10 1.10 37.50 30.20 33.85 366.45 2560.80 3113.63 24.33 21.33 22.83 2.00 1.00 1.50 28.10 22.40 1.00 10 33.84 27.45 387.99 2296.67 22.17 19.39 1.61 1.11 27.31 22.06 31 2.56 2.13 234.52 149.24 1.45 1.45 0.85 0.85 0.85 2.56 1.71 (5%) 5.31 4.42 486.37 309.51 3.01 3.01 3.01 NS NS NS NS	T ₁₀	29.35	24.50	26.93	2973.21	1973.60	2473.40	19.00	16.00	17.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	25.90	20.90	23.40
37.50 30.20 33.85 3666.45 2560.80 3113.63 24.33 21.33 22.83 2.00 1.00 1.50 28.10 22.40 3.00 33.84 27.45 3387.99 2296.67 22.17 19.39 1.61 1.11 27.31 22.06 31) 2.56 2.13 234.52 149.24 1.45 1.45 0.85 0.85 0.85 2.56 1.71 (5%) 5.31 4.42 486.37 309.51 3.01 3.01 3.01 NS NS NS NS		29.91	24.60	27.26	3060.72	1988.80	2524.76	19.67	17.00	18.34	1.33	1.00	1.17	26.10	21.10	23.60
n 33.84 27.45 3387.99 2296.67 22.17 19.39 1.61 1.11 27.31 3 3.56 2.13 234.52 149.24 1.45 0.85 0.85 2.56 3.01 3.01 3.01 NS NS NS	T ₁₂	37.50	30.20	33.85	3666.45	2560.80	3113.63	24.33	21.33	22.83	2.00	1.00	1.50	28.10	22.40	25.25
2.56 2.13 234.52 149.24 1.45 0.85 0.85 2.56 5.31 4.42 486.37 309.51 3.01 3.01 NS NS NS	Mean	33.84	27.45		3387.99	2296.67		22.17	19.39		1.61	1.1		27.31	22.06	
5.31 4.42 486.37 309.51 3.01 3.01 NS NS NS NS	SE(d)	2.56	2.13		234.52	149.24		1.45	1.45		0.85	0.85		2.56	1.71	
	CD (5%)	5.31	4.42		486.37	309.51		3.01	3.01		SN	SN		SN	NS	

', T₅ -100% RDF + Microbial enriched vermicompost @ 6 t ha⁻¹, T₆ -100% RDF + Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (Seed treatment @ 10 ml/kg seeds + 2 foliar sprays @ 10 ml/l at 30 and 45 DAS), T₇ -75% RDF + Microbial enriched FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹, T₈ -75% RDF + Microbial enriched vermicompost @ 6 t ha⁻¹, T₉ -75% RDF + Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (Seed treatment @ 10 ml/kg seeds + 2 foliar sprays @ 10 ml/l at 30 and 45 DAS), T₁₀ -50% RDF + Microbial enriched FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹, T₁₁ -50% RDF + Microbial enriched vermicompost @ 6 t ha⁻ T₁ - 100% Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF), T₂ -100% RDF + FYM @12.5 t ha⁻¹, T₃ -100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 6 t ha⁻¹, T₄ -100% RDF + Microbial enriched FYM @12.5 t ha , T₁₂ - 50% RDF + Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (Seed treatment @ 10 ml/kg seeds + 2 foliar sprays @ 10 ml/l at 30 and 45 DAS).

Volume Issue 3

enhance the release of nutrients, making them more accessible to the crop during its various growth phases. Additionally, the use of biofertilizers like microbial-enriched organic manure and nano-emulsion biofertilizer could have further contributed to plant growth, as indicated by the observed increase in plant height measurements and the rise in dry matter yield may be credited to the ample nitrogen supply, prolonged availability of essential macro and micronutrients, along with enhanced soil physical properties over the entire crop growing period. The incorporation of nano-scale particles within the bio-fertilizer composition might have additionally enhanced the mobility and efficiency of nutrient uptake, guaranteeing optimal utilization by plants for metabolic functions and biomass accumulation. Similar findings were also reported by Phinehas et al. (2022), Rolaniya et al. (2023) and Naushad et al. (2023).

Yield attributes and yield

The maximum number of pods per plant, seeds per pod and test weight in terms of yield attributes (Table 1) and overall yield (Table 2) were recorded with the application of 100% RDF+microbial enriched vermicompost @ 6 t ha⁻¹ (T_5) and it was statistically on par with 100% RDF+microbial enriched FYM @12.5 t ha⁻¹ (T_4), 100% RDF+Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (Seed treatment @ 10 ml kg⁻¹ seeds+2 foliar sprays @ 10 ml l⁻¹ at 30 and 45 DAS) (T_6) and 75% RDF+Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (Seed treatment @ 10 ml kg⁻¹ seeds+2 foliar sprays @ 10 ml l⁻¹ at 30 and 45 DAS) (T_9) during first and second trials. This increase in pods per plant can likely be attributed to the improved availability of essential nutrients, facilitated by the utilization of inorganic fertilizers, coupled with the decomposition of enriched farmyard manure and vermicompost containing microorganisms

like PSB and Rhizobium, which promote effective nitrogen fixation and phosphorus mobilization. Additionally, the direct application of nano-emulsion biofertilizers to leaves, could have accelerated nutrient absorption by the crop, reaching plant tissues directly through phloem translocation, bypassing the soil limitations and eventually leading to improved yields. Furthermore, this approach may have also augmented nitrogen availability through fixation and phosphorus solubilization in the soil, potentially enhancing root development and flowering. Similar findings were also reported by Changkiri et al. (2023), Sodavadiya et al. (2023), Choudhary et al. (2023) and Arsalan et al. (2024).

Economics

The treatment comprising 100% recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) along with microbial-enriched vermicompost at 6 t ha-1 (T₅) resulted in a higher gross returns, this was closely followed by the other microbial enriched FYM treatment (T₄) and nano-emulsion biofertilizer treatments (T_a and T_o) during both the trials. Similar results were also reported by Bhowmik et al. (2022), Patel and Thanki (2022) and Samant and Mishra (2023) and Kaur et al. (2023). However, the treatment T₉, involving 75% RDF along with nano-emulsion biofertilizer application, stood out with a higher net returns and benefit-cost ratio (BCR). This was followed by treatment T₆, which also utilized nano-emulsion biofertilizer, during both the trials (Table 3). The greater gross returns observed with microbial-enriched organic manures (T_5 and T_4) can be attributed to their higher grain yields, achieved through effective nutrient management by integrating organic sources of nutrients with inorganic fertilizers. Despite the high gross returns, these treatments yielded lower net returns and BCR due to the significant

Table 2: Influence of microbial enriched organic manures and nano-emulsion biofertilizers on yield of chickpea.

	Treatments	Grai	n yield (k	g/ha)	Stover yield (kg/ha)			
	Treatments	Trial I	Trial II	Pooled	Trial I	Trial II	Pooled	
T ₁	100% RDF	834	659	746	1834	1335	1585	
T ₂	100% RDF + FYM @12.5 t ha ⁻¹	838	667	753	1886	1354	1620	
T_3	100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 6 t ha ⁻¹	861	676	768	1928	1373	1651	
T_4	100% RDF + Microbial enriched FYM @12.5 t ha ⁻¹	1514	1259	1386	3374	2498	2936	
T_5	100% RDF + Microbial enriched vermicompost @ 6 t ha ⁻¹	1569	1276	1423	3406	2569	2987	
T ₆	100% RDF + Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (Seed treatment	1484	1211	1348	3310	2412	2861	
	@ 10 ml/kg seeds + 2 foliar sprays @ 10 ml/l at 30 and45 DAS)							
T ₇	75% RDF + Microbial enriched FYM @12.5 t ha ⁻¹	1069	869	969	2354	1689	2022	
T ₈	75% RDF + Microbial enriched vermicompost @ 6 t ha ⁻¹	1079	872	975	2427	1883	2155	
T ₉	75% RDF + Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (Seed treatment	1448	1197	1322	3243	2392	2818	
	@ 10 ml/kg seeds + 2 foliar sprays @ 10 ml/l at 30 and 45 DAS)							
T ₁₀	50% RDF + Microbial enriched FYM @12.5 t ha ⁻¹	1046	839	943	2255	1628	1941	
T ₁₁	50% RDF + Microbial enriched vermicompost @ 6 t ha ⁻¹	1049	851	950	2352	1635	1993	
T ₁₂	50% RDF + Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (Seed treatment	1261	1033	1147	2813	2133	2473	
	@ 10 ml/kg seeds + 2 foliar sprays @ 10 ml/l at 30 and 45 DAS)							
	Mean	1171.01	950.75		2598.52	1908.42		
	SE(d)	85.28	76.75		174.82	119.39		
	CD (5%)	176.86	159.17		362.56	247.60		

Table 3: Influence of microbial enriched organic manures and nano-emulsion biofertilizers on economics of chickpea cultivation.

	Cost of	production /kg	(Rs.)	43	62	104	35	22	29	48	82	28	48	82	30
		BCR _		1.73	1.22	0.72	2.16	1.31	2.61	1.56	0.92	2.72	1.58	0.92	2.49
Economics (Trial II)	Net	returns	(Rs.)	20785	8885	-19940	50785	22560	55985	23385	-5890	56785	23016	-5584	46366
Ш	Gross	returns	(Rs.)	49425	50025	50700	94425	95700	90825	65175	65400	89775	62925	63825	77475
	Total cost of	cultivation	(Rs.)	28640	41140	70640	43640	73140	34840	41790	71290	32990	39909	69409	31109
	Cost of	production /kg	(Rs.)	34	49	82	29	47	23	39	99	23	38	99	25
		BCR		2.18	1.53	0.91	2.60	1.61	3.19	1.92	1.14	3.29	1.97	1.13	3.04
Economics (Trial I)	Net	Returns	(Rs.)	33910	21710	-6065	69910	44535	76460	38385	9635	75610	38541	9266	63466
_	Gross	returns	(Rs.)	62550	62850	64575	113550	117675	111300	80175	80925	108600	78450	78675	94575
	reatments Total cost of	cultivation	(Rs.)	28640	41140	70640	43640	73140	34840	41790	71290	32990	39909	69409	31109
	Treatments			- -	T_2	ٿ		_5	Ľ	T,	[∞] ⊢	۴	T ₁₀	−	T ₁₂

T₁ - 100% Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF), T₂ -100% RDF + FYM @12.5 t ha⁻¹, T₃ -100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 6 t ha⁻¹, T₄ -100% RDF + Microbial enriched FYM @12.5 t ha⁻¹ 1, T₅-100% RDF + Microbial enriched vermicompost @ 6 t ha¹, T₆-100% RDF + Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (Seed treatment @ 10 ml/kg seeds + 2 foliar sprays @ 10 ml/l at 30 and 45 DAS), T₇ -75% RDF + Microbial enriched FYM @ 12.5 t ha⁻¹, T₈ -75% RDF + Microbial enriched vermicompost @ 6 t ha⁻¹, T₉ -75% RDF + Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (Seed treatment @ 10 ml/kg seeds + 2 foliar sprays @ 10 ml/l at 30 and 45 DAS) , T₁₀ -50% RDF + Microbial enriched FYM @ 12.5 t ha¹, T₁₁ -50% RDF + Microbial enriched vermicompost @ 6 t ha 1, T₁₂ - 50% RDF + Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (Seed treatment @ 10 ml/kg seeds + 2 foliar sprays @ 10 ml/l at 30 and 45 DAS).

Volume Issue

input costs associated with bulky organic inputs like vermicompost and FYM. On the contrary, treatment $T_{\rm g}$, utilizing nano-emulsion biofertilizer, demonstrated higher net returns and BCR, primarily due to the lower input cost of the biofertilizer and 25 per cent reduced expense with regard to the use of inorganic fertilizers resulting from the reduction in the recommended dosage. The success of nano-emulsion biofertilizer in treatments $T_{\rm g}$ and $T_{\rm g}$ suggests its potential as an effective and economically viable alternative for plant nutrient management.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results, it can be inferred that the though utilization of 100% RDF along with Microbial enriched vermicompost @ 6 t ha-1 proved to be advantageous, in enhancing the crop growth characters, yield attributes and overall yield in chickpea., employing 75% RDF along with Nano-emulsion biofertilizer (applied as seed treatment at 10 ml kg-1 seeds and two foliar sprays at 10 ml l-1 at 30 and 45 DAS) emerges as a financially feasible and environmentally sustainable approach for chickpea production.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Ms. Ujma Ashapak Tamboli (Research Scholar) and Dr. Muthu Vijayan Enoch (Professor and Head of the Division) from the Centre for Nanoscience and Genomics, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, for their timely support in providing us their nano emulsion formulation for our study.

Conflict of interst

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Arsalan, M., Latif, A., Khan, M., Syed, S., Ullah, R., Ahmad, I. and Ghaffar, A. (2024). Integrated Effect of Organic Amendments and Chemical Fertilizers on yield of Groundnut and Soil Health Under Rainfed Condition. Journal of Applied Research in Plant Sciences. 5(01): 99-104.
- Bhowmik, P., Biswas, P. and Das, S. (2022). Impact of Cluster Front Line Demonstrations on Productivity and Economics of Lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.), Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and Field Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) in Old Alluvial Zone of Malda, West Bengal, India. Legume Research-An International Journal. 45(12): 1553-1558. doi:10.18805/LR-4705.
- Changkiri, M., Adhikary, N. K., Jha, A. and Kanaujia, S. P. (2023). Integrated Nutrient Management of French Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) in Subtropical Humid Zone of Nagaland, India. Legume Research-An International Journal. 1: 5. doi.10. 1 8805/LR-5047
- Choudhary, D., Rundla, S., Jadhav, R., Naga, I.R., Kumawat, K. and Sharma, P.K. (2023). Role of Biofertilizers in Chickpea: A Review. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 13(10): 2590-2595.

- Dhaliwal, S.S., Sharma, S., Sharma, V., Shukla, A.K., Walia, S.S., Alhomrani, M. and Hossain, A. (2021). Long-term integrated nutrient management in the maize-wheat cropping system in alluvial soils of North-Western India: Influence on soil organic carbon, microbial activity and nutrient status. Agronomy. 11(11): 22-58.
- Directorate of Economics and Statistics. (2023). Agricultural Statistics at a Glance. Available at http://desagri.gov.in/.
- Kaur, G., Vats, A.K., Singh, R., Behl, R.K. and Singh, A. (2023). Effect of Vermicompost, Biofertilizers and in Organic Fertilizers on Nutrient Uptake and Physico-chemical Properties of Soil: A Case Study of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 13(10): 4519-4525.
- Naushad, M., Sanodiya, L.K., Rehan, M., Prajapati, A., Singh, R. and Kumar, R. (2023). Influence of INM on Growth and Yield of Green Gram (Vigna radiata L.) NEPZ. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 13(10): 1898-1903.
- Patel, H.A. and Thanki, J.D. (2022). Productivity, Profitability and Nutrient Status of Soil as Influenced by Integrated Nutrient Management in Chickpea-fodder Maize Cropping Sequence. Legume Research-An International Journal. 45(5): 620-625. doi:10.18805/LR-4792.
- Phinehas, T.J., Khare, N., Paruchuri, R.G. and Vijaykumar, R. (2022). Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under moringa agroforestry system. International Journal of Environmental and Agriculture Research. 8(9).
- Rolaniya, L.K., Jat, R.L., Kumar, N., Punia, M. and Choudhary, R.R. (2023). Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management Practices on Growth and Yield of Chickpea under Different Chickpea-Based Cropping Systems in Western Rajasthan, India. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 13(12): 1253-1259.
- Samant, T.K. and Mishra, D. (2023). Improvement on Growth, Yield, Economics and Soil Fertility Status of Blackgram (Vigna mungo L.) Under Integrated Nutrient Management. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management. 14: 316-321.
- Su, Y., Ashworth, V., Kim, C., Adeleye, A.S., Rolshausen, P., Roper, C. and Jassby, D. (2019). Delivery, uptake, fate and transport of engineered nanoparticles in plants: A critical review and data analysis. Environmental Science: Nano, 6(8): 2311-2331
- Sodavadiya, H. B., Patel, V. J. and Sadhu, A. C. (2023). Effect of integrated nutrient management on the growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under chickpea-forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) cropping sequence. Legume Research. 46(12): 1617-1622. doi: 10.18805/LR-4465.
- Yousefzadeh, S., Janmohammadi, M. and Sabaghnia, N. (2021). The effect of different nano-, bio-and conventional mineral fertilizers application on some morphological characteristics of maize. Agriculture and Forestry. 67(3): 135-145.