REVIEW ARTICLE

Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika



Indian Freshwater Elasmobranchs: Ongoing Threats along with IUCN Current Status and Conservation of Protecting Hidden Treasures: A Review

Devarshi Ranjan¹, Ashish Sahu², Shivaji Kanoujiya³, Preeti Maurya⁴, Mohiadeen Shajia Banu⁵, Anjana A.⁶, Vipul Singh Badguzar⁶

10.18805/BKAP713

ABSTRACT

We always thought that elasmobranchs inhabit marine environments, but this is only partially true. About 5% of known elasmobranch species are the freshwater compared to 40% of teleost species. A systematic checklist is available for Indian freshwater elasmobranches with names and IUCN status. A total of 13 species belonging to 3 orders, 3 families and 10 genera were enlisted from secondary data. Euryhaline and obligate species include sharks as Carcharhinus, Glyphis (Carcharhinidae), Chiloscyllium (Hemiscylliidae), sawfishes or Pristis (Pristidae), stingrays or Himantura (whiprays) and Pastinachus (cowtailed rays) (Dasyatidae). We focus on distribution, feeding habits, threats and conservation. Freshwater excursions are relatively rare in extant elasmobranchs than other groups of fish. The low growth rate is probably due to late age at maturity and low fecundity, long gestation periods, slow growth and productivity (small, infrequent litters), high natural survivorship for all age classes and long life. Despite this, some species of freshwater elasmobranchs can tolerate and even thrive in freshwater.

Key words: Chondrichthyans, Conservation, Gangetic shark, IUCN, Management, Rays, Skates.

Elasmobranchs, also known as chondrichthyans, are marine vertebrate with a cartilagenous skeleton (Nair et al., 2015). It is one of the largest marine fish resources consisting sharks, skates, sawfishes and rays (Kumar et al., 2022). Importantly, compared with other marine vertebrates, elasmobranchs are highly evolutionary distinct and are greatly threatened by human activities (for uses of various body parts such as the meat, fins, liver and teeth), especially by overfishing (Pimiento et al., 2023). These fishes are distinguished from their sister group of bony fishes (teleost) by traits such as a cartilaginous skeleton, the lack of swim bladders and the presence of 5 to 7 pairs of gill slits not covered by an operculum (Compagno, 2002). However, elasmobranchs occur regularly in low-salinity water, often beyond the tidal region. These comprise about 5% of all live elasmobranchs (approximately 60 out of 1154 described species). Although the reasons are unknown, marine elasmobranchs maintain a high requirement for urea in their bodies (Ballantyne and Robinson, 2010). They have two groups: 1. Euryhaline elasmobranch 2. Obligate freshwater elasmobranch.

1. Euryhaline elasmobranch

Those fishes that can tolerate a wide range of salinities, from freshwater to brackish, are termed 'euryhaline elasmobranch'. Euryhaline chondrichthyans include sawfishes (Pristidae), several whaler sharks (Carcharhinidae), one skate (Rajidae) and several stingrays (Dasyatidae), which are primarily marine fishes that can enter and stay in freshwater (Lucifora et al., 2015).

¹College of Fisheries, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Dholi, Muzaffarpur-843 121, Bihar, India.

²Faculty of Fisheries, Kerala University of Fisheries and Ocean Studies, Panangad, Cochin-682 506, Kerala, India.

³College of Fisheries, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj- 224 229, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India. ⁴College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263 145, Uttarakhand, India.

⁵College of Fisheries, Central Agricultural University (Imphal), Lembucherra-799 210, Tripura, India.

⁶ICAR-Central Institute of Fisheries Education, Panch Marg, Yari Road, Mumbai-400 061, Maharashtra, India.

Corresponding Author: Ashish Sahu, Faculty of Fisheries, Kerala University of Fisheries and Ocean Studies, Panangad, Cochin-682 506, Kerala, India. Email: sahu81862@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Ranjan, D., Sahu, A., Kanoujiya, S., Maurya, P., Banu, M.S., Anjana, A. and Badguzar, V.S. (2024). Indian Freshwater Elasmobranchs: Ongoing Threats along with IUCN Current Status and Conservation of Protecting Hidden Treasures: A Review. Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika, doi: 10.18805/BKAP713.

Submitted: 09-02-2024 Accepted: 02-04-2024 Online: 03-05-2024

2. Obligate freshwater elasmobranch

These fishes confine to freshwater and comprise all the freshwater stingrays (family-Potamotrygonidae) and several stingrays (Dasyatidae), which complete their whole life, cycle exclusively in freshwater (Lucifora et al., 2015).

Volume Issue

According to the IUCN, freshwater elasmobranchs are typically at high risk of extinction but least evaluated. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species is increasingly used to reveal the health of ocean biodiversity. Dulvy *et al.* (2021) assessed 1,199 chondrichthyans and demonstrated the need for fishing limits on target and incidental catch and spatial protection to avoid further extinctions and allow for food security and ecosystem functions.

Highlights

- More than one-third of chondrichthyan fish species are threatened by overfishing.
- A disproportionate threat in the tropics risks the loss of ecosystem functions and services.
- 3. Three species not seen in >80 years are Critically Endangered (Possibly Extinct).
- The depletion of these species has a continuous demand for human food.

Diversity distribution of freshwater elasmobranches in the world

According to IUCN, 1,199 species were reported in Class Chondrichthyes-sharks, rays and chimeras (Dulvy *et al.*, 2021). The first global assessment (2014) concluded that one-quarter (24%) of species were threatened. Now, 391 (32.6%) species are threatened with extinction.

The seven freshwater obligate chondrichthyans comprised a single West African species (Smooth Stingray-Fontitry gongaurouensis) and six Southeast Asian species (Roughback-Whipray Fluvitry gonkittipongi, Marbled Whipray- F. oxyrhynchus, White-edge Whipray- F. signifer, Mekong Stingray- Hemitry gonlaosensis, Chindwin Cowtail Ray- Makararaja chindwinensis and Giant Freshwater-Whipray (Urogymn uspolylepis).

Distribution and freshwater elasmobranches in India

Indian waters support a diverse chondrichthyan group consisting of more than 110 species of elasmobranch, which comprises 66 species of sharks and 44 species of batoides (Raje *et al.*, 2002). Later description of new records and new species may bring to this sum to about 150-170 species from the Indian coast only, of which 3% are Critically Endangered (CR), 5% are Endangered (EN), 26% are Vulnerable (VU), 21% are Near Threatened (NT), 8% are of Least Concern (LC), 27% are Data Deficient (DD) and 10% are Not Evaluated (NE) (Joshi, 2022; IUCN, 2024). However, there is no correct information on freshwater elasmobranchs.

In the present report, we have listed 13 species belonging to 3 orders, 3 families and 10 genera from secondary data. We assessed the IUCN status also (Table 1) and provide a systematic checklist of Indian freshwater elasmobranches with their taxonomic position, distribution and IUCN Red List status (Table 2).

Habit and habitats

The freshwater elasmobranches occupy a wide range of habitats, including freshwater riverine (but not land-locked

water systems) and lake systems, inshore estuaries and lagoons, coastal waters, the open sea and the deep ocean. Most elasmobranchs are mid-level or top predators and play a key role in trophic food webs (Yeldan, 2018).

Socio-economic significance of elasmobranch

Chondrichthyans groups are one of the most versatile/ valuable fisheries resources, providing meat and shark fins for human consumption (Shark fin soup); gills (as a tonic), leather (Purse, belt); shark liver oil used to produce lubricants, for medicine, fuel, cosmetics and vitamin A; live specimens for aquaria; and shark teeth (Ornamental) and jaws sold as tourist curios (Fig 1) (Dent and Clarke, 2015). More recently, shark cartilage has been exploited to treat cancer and other ailments and sharks and rays have become an attraction to scuba divers.

Major emerging threats to elasmobranches

Threats posed to elasmobranchs habitats by humans are directly proportional to the habitat's proximity to land. Elasmobranch fishes (sharks, rays and skates) face several major emerging threats to their survival and well-being (Fig 2) (Bornatowski *et al.*, 2014). These threats contribute to declining populations and endanger many species. Some of the emerging threats to elasmobranchs are:

Overfishing

It is one of the threats to elasmobranchs driven by the demand for shark fins, meat and other products. They are caught by bottom trawls, longlines and gillnet fishing gears (Dulvy et al., 2021). Many species have slow growth rates and reproduce infrequently, making them particularly vulnerable to overexploitation.

By-catch

Elasmobranchs are often caught unintentionally as by catch in commercial fishing operations targeting other species. It can lead to high mortality rates for elasmobranchs, especially when discarded at sea.

Habitat loss

Habitat degradation and loss, primarily caused by coastal development, pollution and climate change, impact elasmobranchs' breeding and feeding grounds. Mangroves, estuaries and coral reefs are crucial habitats for many species.

Climate change

Climate change poses several threats to elasmobranchs. Rising ocean temperatures can alter their distribution and

Table 1: Current IUCN red list status.

IUCN categories	Contribution (%)
Critically endangered	23.08%
Endangered (EN)	15.38%
Vulnerable (VU)	23.08%
Near threatened (NT)	23.08%
Not evaluated (NE).	15.38%

Table 2: Systematic Checklist of Indian freshwater elasmobranches, their taxonomic position, common, Distribution along with IUCN Red List status.

Sharks Order: Carcharhiniformes Family: Carcharhinidae Glyphis gangeticus Ganges shark (Müller and Henle, 1839) Bull shark, Zambezi Carcharhinus leucas Bull shark, Zambezi (Müller and Henle, 1839) or Long-Nosed shark (Valenciennes, 1839) or Long-Nosed shark (Müller and Henle 1838) Spadenose shark Order: Orectolobiformes Spadenose shark Gmelin, 1789) Slender bambooshark, shark Rays Order: Myliobatiformes Family: Dasyatidae Shark Rays Order: Myliobatiformes Family: Dasyatidae Himantura luviatilis (Hamilton, 1822) Ganges stingray		Viviparpous			pi economic in the control of
Carcharhiniformes Carcharhinidae is gangeticus ar and Henle, 1839) arhinus leucas ar and Henle, 1839) arhinus hemiodon nciennes, 1839) arhinus hemiodon nciennes, 1839) arhinus hemiculus ar and Henle 1838) F. Hemiscylliidae icyllium indicum lin, 1789) Myliobatiformes C. Dasyatidae atura luviatilis ilton, 1822)		Viviparpous			
:: Carcharhinidae is gangeticus ar and Henle, 1839) arhinus leucas ar and Henle, 1839) arhinus hemiodon nciennes, 1839) arhinus hemle 1838) ar and Henle 1839) ar and 1789) ar and 1789) ar and 1789) ar and 1822)		Viviparpous			
is gangeticus ar and Henle, 1839) arhinus leucas ar and Henle, 1839) arhinus hemiodon nciennes, 1839) arhinus hemiodon ciennes, 1839) arhinus hemiodon nciennes, 1839) arhinus hemiodon nciennes, 1839) arhinus hemiodon indicum lin, 1789) lin, 1789) Myliobatiformes r: Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis ilton, 1822)		Viviparpous			
ar and Henle, 1839) arhinus leucas ar and Henle, 1839) arhinus hemiodon nciennes, 1839) don laticaudus ar and Henle 1838) corectolobiformes r. Hemiscylliidae icyllium indicum lin, 1789) Myliobatiformes r. Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis liton, 1822)			ድ	뀓	Not listed Schedule I (Part IIA)
arhinus leucas ar and Henle, 1839) arhinus hemiodon nciennes, 1839) don laticaudus ar and Henle 1838) corectolobiformes r. Hemiscylliidae icyllium indicum lin, 1789) Myliobatiformes r. Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis liton, 1822)					
arhinus hemiodon nciennes, 1839) arhinus hemiodon nciennes, 1839) ar and Henle 1838) ar and Henle 1838) corectolobiformes cyllium indicum lin, 1789) Myliobatiformes r. Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis liton, 1822)		Viviparpous		٣	Not listedNot protected
arhinus hemiodon nciennes, 1839) don laticaudus ar and Henle 1838) Corectolobiformes Chemiscylliidae scyllium indicum lin, 1789) Myliobatiformes T. Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis ilton, 1822))	į	
arhinus hemiodon nciennes, 1839) don laticaudus er and Henle 1838) Corectolobiformes : Hemiscylliidae scyllium indicum lin, 1789) Myliobatiformes r: Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis ilton, 1822)					
nciennes, 1839) don laticaudus er and Henle 1838) Corectolobiformes Hemiscylliidae ccyllium indicum lin, 1789) Myliobatiformes To Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis ilton, 1822)		Viviparous	೪	쀨	Not listed Schedule I
don laticaudus ar and Henle 1838) : Orectolobiformes : Hemiscylliidae icyllium indicum ilin, 1789) Myliobatiformes : Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis ilton, 1822)					
odon laticaudus ar and Henle 1838) Corectolobiformes Heniscylliidae cyllium indicum lin, 1789) Myliobatiformes To Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis ilton, 1822)	Pakistan, India and possibly				
odon laticaudus ar and Henle 1838) Corectolobiformes Heniscylliidae scyllium indicum lin, 1789) Myliobatiformes To Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis ilton, 1822)	Sri Lanka.				
er and Henle 1838) Orectolobiformes Hemiscylliidae scyllium indicum lin, 1789) Myliobatiformes Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis ilton, 1822)	Indo-West pacific region;	Viviparous	뉟	쀨	Not evaluatedNot protected
: Orectolobiformes : Hemiscyllidae scyllium indicum lin, 1789) lin, 1789) Wyliobatiformes r: Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis ilton, 1822)	Bangladesh; India; Iran, Islamic				
: Orectolobiformes : Hemiscylliidae :cyllium indicum ilin, 1789) Myliobatiformes :: Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis ilton, 1822)	Republic of; Myanmar [Myanmar				
Orectolobiformes : Hemiscyllidae icy lifum indicum lin, 1789) Myliobatiformes : Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis liton, 1822)	(mainland)]; Pakistan; Sri Lanka;				
Corectolobiformes Corectolobiformes Covilium indicum In, 1789) Myliobatiformes Corectory Myliobatiformes Itura luviatilis Iton, 1822)	Thailand				
r: Hemiscylliidae icyllium indicum lin, 1789) Myliobatiformes r: Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis ilton, 1822)					
in, 1789) Iin, 1789) Myliobatiformes : Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis iiton, 1822)					
lin, 1789) Myliobatiformes r. Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis ilton, 1822)	hark, Bangladesh; China; India;	Oviparous	۸n	쀨	Not listedNot protected
Myliobatiformes r. Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis itton, 1822)	nboo Indonesia; Malaysia; Myanmar;				
Myliobatiformes r. Dasyatidae ntura luviatilis ilton, 1822)	Singapore; Sri Lanka; Thailand; Vietnam				
	Asia: Gangetic river system.	Ovoviparity	뀔	뀔	Not listedNot protected
	Possibly in the Bay of Bengal and the Fly river system in				
	New Guinea.				
Pastinachus ater Broad cowtail stingray,		Ovoviparity	۸n	뀓	Not listedNot protected
(Macleay, 1883) banana-tail ray, drab					
stingray, fantail ray,					
feathertail stingray and	ly and Papua New Guinea				
rrill tailed sting ray.	γ.				

3

Table 2: Continue						
Pastinachus sephen (Forsskål, 1775)	Cowtail stingray	Indo-West pacific, found only in Northwestern Indian Ocean	Ovoviparity	뉟	쀨	Not listedNot protected
Brevitrygon walga (Müller and Henle, 1841) Skates Order: Rajiformes/ Rhinopristiformes	Dwarf whipray, Scaly whipray or mangrove whipray	Northern Indian Ocean: From the Red Sea to western India, including the Persian Gulf.	Ovoviparity	뉟	벌	Not listedNot protected
Family. Pristidae <i>Pristis pristis</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Largetooth Sawfish, Common sawfish	Western Pacific: Northern Australia, from Western Australia to Queensland. Eastern pacific: Gulf of California to Ecuador. Western Atlantic: Florida and Louisiana, USA to Brazil. Eastern Atlantic: Portugal to Angola, including the western Mediterranean. Pakistan and India.	Ovoviparity	る	Appendix IAp	Appendix I Appendix I and II Schedule I
Pristis microdon (Latham, 1794)	Freshwater or largetooth sawfish	Indo-West Pacific: East Africa to New Guinea, north to the Philippines and Viet Nam, south to Australia.	Ovoviparity	쀨	Appendix I	Schedule I (Part IIA)
Pristis pectinata (Latham, 1794)	Smalltooth sawfish	Indo-West Pacific: Red Sea and East Africa to the Philippines.	Ovoviparity	б	Appendix I	Appendix INot protected and II
Anoxypristis cuspidata (Latham, 1794)	Narrow Sawfish, Knifetooth sawfish, Pointed sawfish	Narrow Sawfish, Knifetooth Indo-West Pacific: Red Sea sawfish, Pointed sawfish and the Persian Gulf to New Guinea, north to southern Japan, south to northern	Ovoviparity	a	Appendix I and II	Appendix INot protected
-	FIA TO THE STATE OF THE STATE O	Australia	0.000 A 1000 A 1			

CR: Critical endangered; NE: Not evaluated; NT: Near threatened; VU: Vulnerable; WPA: Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972; CMS: Convention on migratory species.

affect prey availability. Ocean acidification can harm their calcium-based skeletons and eggs and changing currents can impact migration patterns.

Illegal fishing

Illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing operations often target elasmobranchs for their valuable fins and meat. These activities can undermine conservation efforts and exacerbate population declines.

Trade and shark finning

The global trade in shark fins for use in shark fin soup and traditional medicines is a cause of elasmobranch exploitation. Shark finning, the practice of removing fins and discarding the rest of the carcass at sea, is wasteful and unsustainable.

Shark mislabeling

Shark mislabeling refers to the fraudulent practice of misidentifying or misrepresenting shark species in the



Fig 1: Sharks and rays landed at cochin fisheries harbour, Kochi, Kerala (Photo credit: Ashish Sahu).



Fig 2: Major emerging threats to elasmobranches ((Illustration by Ashish Sahu).

Volume Issue

Table 3: Freshwater elasmobranchs protected under schedule I of (Indian) Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.

Scientific name	Common name	Family/Order
Sharks		
Carcharhinus hemiodon	Pondicherry shark	Carcharhinidae/Carcharhiniformes
Glyphis gangeticus	Ganges river shark	Carcharhinidae/Carcharhiniformes
Rays		
Himantura fluviatilis	Ganges sting ray	Dasyatidae/Rajiformes
Sawfishes		
Anoxypritis cuspidata	Pointed sawfish	Pristidae/Pristiformes
Pristis microdon	Largetooth sawfish	Pristidae/Pristiformes

seafood supply chain. It can occur at various stages, including fishing, processing and distribution. The mislabeling of shark products has consequences for consumers and the marine environment (Bornatowski et al., 2013).

Pollution

Pollution from plastic debris, chemical pollutants and heavy metals can harm elasmobranchs directly or indirectly by contaminating their prey and habitats.

Invasive species

Invasive species introduction in freshwater habitats can disrupt elasmobranchs' ecosystems and reduce prey availability.

Lack of conservation measures

Many elasmobranch species lack adequate protection under national and international conservation agreements. Stronger regulations and enforcement are needed to safeguard these animals (Fischer *et al.*, 2012).

Scientific knowledge gaps

Limited scientific understanding of elasmobranch biology, population dynamics and behavior can hinder conservation efforts. More research is needed to fill these knowledge gaps

Conservation status of Indian freshwater elasmobranches

International conservation and management initiatives for elasmobranches fish

- United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), 1982.
- UN Fish Stocks Agreement, 1995.
- FAO Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries (CCRF), 1995.
- Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), 1973.
- Convention on Migratory Species (Bonn Convention), 1979.
- · Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992.
- The International Union for Conservation of Nature.

In 2013, the Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India implemented shark finning. The Indian

Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 lists ten elasmobranchs in Schedule I part 2(A) in MoEF, 2001, which are identified accurately in the field to ensure their protection (Table 3).

CONCLUSION

Approximately 5% of all chondrichthyans are found in the freshwater ecosystem far beyond tidal influences, inhabiting the tropical and subtropical freshwater environment (river and lakes) where prolonged isolation has led to speciation and specialization to freshwater habitats. There are gaps in knowledge of the biology and status of obligate freshwater and euryhaline elasmobranchs. The total diversity of elasmobranchs utilizing reduced salinity habitats is not known. Compagno and Cook (1995) documented 44 species in the river mouths and an additional 25 species penetrate estuarine waters.

This 21st century has been called the century of extinction. Over-exploitation and habitat degradation/ alteration are major concerns causing loss of biodiversity. There is an urgent need to catalog biodiversity before several species become extinct without humans knowing their existence. This study suggests awareness to conserve these valuable species among all levels of people involved in the fishery and trade of elasmobranchs. We suggest that a systematic review of fishery trades, importance, distribution, biology and the migration pattern of this group in Indian waters, with regional sampling and molecular investigations would identify a greater diversity of this group. We recommend conservation and management, as well as priorities for future work.

Conflict of interest

All authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

Ballantyne, J.S. and Robinson, J.W. (2010). Freshwater elasmobranchs:
A review of their physiology and biochemistry. Journal of
Comparative Physiology B. 180: 475-493.

Bornatowski, H., Braga, R.R. and Vitule, J.R.S. (2014). Threats to sharks in a developing country: The need for effective simple conservation measures. Natureza and Conservação. 12(1): 11-18.

- Bornatowski, H., Braga, R.R. andVitule, J.R.S. (2013). Shark mislabeling threatens biodiversity. Science. 340(6135): 923-923.
- Compagno, L.J.V. (2002). Freshwater and Estuarine Elasmobranch Surveys in the Indo-Pacific Region: Threats, Distribution. In Elasmobranch Biodiversity, Conservation and Management: Proceedings of the International Seminar and Workshop, Sabah, Malaysia, July 1997 (No. 25, p. 168). IUCN.
- Compagno, L.J.V. and Cook, S.F. (1995). the exploitation and conservation of freshwater elasmobranchs: Status of taxa and prospects for the future. J. Aquaric. Aquat. Sci. 7: 62-90.
- Dent, F. and Clarke, S. (2015). State of the global market for shark products. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper No. 590. Rome, FAO. 187 pp.
- Dulvy, N.K., Pacoureau, N., Rigby, C.L., Pollom, R.A., Jabado, R.W., Ebert, D.A. and Simpfendorfer, C.A. (2021). Overfishing drives over one-third of all sharks and rays toward a global extinction crisis. Current Biology. 31(21): 4773-4787.
- Fischer, J., Erikstein, K., D'Offay, B., Guggisberg, S. and Barone, M. (2012). Review of the implementation of the international plan of action for the conservation and management of sharks. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Circular. No. 1076. Rome, FAO. 120 pp.
- IUCN. Red List of Threatened Species: Retrieved from www.iucn. org.in on 25/02/2024.
- Joshi, K.K. (2022). Ichthyofaunal diversity of India. In: ICAR-CMFRI-Winter School on Recent Development in Taxonomic Techniques of Marine Fishes for Conservation and Sustainable Fisheries Management. ICAR-Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Kochi, pp. 23-38.

- Kumar, M.K., Jayakumar, N., Karuppasamy, K., Manikandavelu, D. and Uma, A. (2022). An annotated checklist of elasmobranchs along the coromandel coast of Tamil Nadu, Southeast Coast of India. Indian Journal of Animal Research. 56(9): 1164-1169. doi: 10.18805/IJAR.B-4281.
- Lucifora, L.O., de Carvalho, M.R., Kyne, P.M. and White, W.T. (2015). Freshwater sharks and rays. Current Biology. 25(20): 971-R973.
- Nair, R.J. and Zacharia, P.U. (2015). Introduction to the classification of elasmobranchs. CMFRI-Summer School on Recent Advances in Marine Biodiversity Conservation and Management. 118-133.
- Pimiento, C., Albouy, C., Silvestro, D., Mouton, T.L., Velez, L., Mouillot, D., Judah, A.B., Griffin, J.N. and Leprieur, F. (2023). Functional diversity of sharks and rays is highly vulnerable and supported by unique species and locations worldwide. Nature Communications. 14(1): 7691. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43212-3.
- Raje, S.G.G. Mathew, K.K. Rekha, J., Nair, J., Raj, G.M., Srinath, M., Gomathy, S. and Rudramurthy, N. (2002). Elasmobranch fisheries of India-An appraisal. CMFRI., Spl. Publn. No. 71: 76 pp.
- Yeldan, H. (2018). Estimating some population parameters and stock assesment of spiny butterfly ray, *Gymnura altavela* (Linnaeus, 1758) the Levant Basin coast (Northeastern Mediterranean). Indian Journal of Animal Research. 52(12): 1790-1796. doi: 10.18805/ijar.B-917.

Volume Issue