



# Non-genetic Factors Influencing Conception Rate of Vechur Breed of Cattle from Kerala

J. Saalom King<sup>1</sup>, M. Manoj<sup>1</sup>, V.L. Gleeja<sup>2</sup>, Elizabeth Kurian<sup>1</sup>, T.X. Seena<sup>1</sup>, K.A. Bindu<sup>1</sup>

10.18805/BKAP846

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Vechur cattle, a native breed of Kerala, are known for their low maintenance requirements, disease resistance and adaptability to tropical climates. Fertility, particularly conception rate, is a crucial factor in livestock productivity and is influenced by both genetic and non-genetic factors. Non-genetic factors such as management practices, nutrition, health, month and year of breeding play a significant role in reproductive performance. Understanding the impact of these variables is essential for optimizing breeding strategies and improving fertility outcomes. This study aims to analyse the effect of non-genetic factors on the conception rate of Vechur cattle maintained at the Vechur Cattle Conservation Project, Centre for Advanced Studies in Animal Genetics and Breeding, Mannuthy.

**Methods:** A total of 868 breeding records spanning 14 years (2011-2024) were collected and analysed. The data included information on breeding time (forenoon or afternoon), month and year of breeding. The conception rate was calculated as the percentage of successful pregnancies relative to total breeding attempts. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v27, employing logistic regression to assess the influence of categorical variables on conception rate and chi-square tests to determine significant differences across different years, months and breeding conditions. Bulls used for natural service were periodically evaluated for seminal quality and their conception rates were compared to assess variations in male fertility.

**Result:** The overall conception rate during the study period was 51.40%. Year-wise analysis showed significant variations, with higher conception rates observed in 2012 and 2021, while lower rates were recorded in 2013 and 2024. Monthly analysis revealed that August had a significantly lower conception rate (32.10%) compared to other months. The time of breeding (forenoon vs. afternoon) had no significant effect on conception rate. No significant variation was observed in conception rates across different bulls used for natural service, suggesting consistent seminal quality and fertility across sires. These findings highlight the influence of environmental factors on reproductive performance and emphasize the need to incorporate these variables into breeding and improvement programs for Vechur cattle.

**Key words:** Conception rate, Month and year effects, Natural services.

## INTRODUCTION

According to the 20<sup>th</sup> Livestock Census (2019), the cattle population in the country stands at 192.49 million, including indigenous cattle (both identified/descript and non-identified/non-descript) as well as exotic and crossbred animals. Climate change has a significant impact on livestock, influencing growth, production and reproductive efficiency (Lees *et al.*, 2019; Mitchell *et al.*, 2018). Dwarfing serves as an adaptive response to high heat and humidity (Elayadeth-Meethal *et al.*, 2018). Cattle that have evolved in hot and humid environments tend to exhibit reduced growth (Pauler *et al.*, 2020; Elayadeth-Meethal *et al.*, 2018). A smaller body size, as observed in breeds like the dwarf Vechur, is regarded as an adaptation to rising temperatures. Evidence suggests that smaller animals possess greater metabolic efficiency and improved heat tolerance (Elayadeth-Meethal *et al.*, 2021). Vechur cow rearing is well-suited for a low-input, eco-friendly system, as these cattle require minimal amounts of grass and other feed materials. Additionally, they can be sustained on agricultural by-products, making them an efficient and sustainable choice for farming (Anjali and Senthilkumar, 2020). Given the significant contribution of livestock to India's GDP and rural livelihood systems

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Kerala Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Mannuthy, Thrissur-680 651, Kerala, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Kerala Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Mannuthy, Thrissur-680 651, Kerala, India.

**Corresponding Author:** J. Saalom King, Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Kerala Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Mannuthy, Thrissur-680 651, Kerala, India. Email: saalomking31@gmail.com  
ORCID: 0009-0002-4642-2527

**How to cite this article:** King, J.S., Manoj M., Gleeja, V.L., Kurian, E., Seena T.X. and Bindu K.A. (2025). Non-genetic Factors Influencing Conception Rate of Vechur Breed of Cattle from Kerala. *Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika*. 1-5. doi: 10.18805/BKAP846.

**Submitted:** 04-04-2025 **Accepted:** 12-06-2025 **Online:** 23-06-2025

(Gupta *et al.*, 2022), the conservation and reproductive improvement of breeds like Vechur are essential. As highlighted by Rai *et al.* (2023), an integrated approach combining genetic improvement with conservation is vital for sustaining these native breeds.

Repeat breeding and anoestrus are major challenges in dairy animal breeding, resulting in prolonged calving intervals, higher culling rates and reduced farm profitability (Bartlett *et al.*, 1986; Lafi *et al.*, 1992). Reproductive performance in animals is influenced by various non-genetic factors. The changing climate underscores the importance of conserving locally adapted cattle breeds. Characterising these native breeds for thermo-tolerance is essential to uncover their inherent ability to thrive under challenging climatic conditions (Manoj *et al.*, 2017). Non-genetic factors play a crucial role in determining the reproductive and productive efficiency of indigenous cattle breeds. Patel *et al.* (2022) demonstrated this in Tharparkar cattle, where long-term data revealed that herd management practices and selection influenced reproductive traits. These insights emphasize the need to understand such influences in other native breeds like Vechur, especially under tropical conditions. Therefore, this study was conducted to assess the impact of these factors on conception rate in an organized herd of indigenous cattle raised under tropical climatic conditions.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted at the Vechur Cattle Conservation Project, Centre for Advanced Studies in Animal Genetics and Breeding, Mannuthy, Kerala, to assess the influence of non-genetic factors on the conception rate of Vechur cattle. A total of 868 breeding records spanning from 2011 to 2024 were analysed. The dataset included information on the date of service, conception outcome and environmental factors such as time of service, month and year of breeding. The cattle were maintained under a uniform management system, with natural service as the predominant breeding method. Bulls used for breeding were periodically evaluated for seminal parameters to ensure optimal fertility levels.

The objective of the study was to analyse monthly variations in conception rates in order to identify trends potentially influenced by climatic conditions, availability of green fodder and other management-related factors. The year-wise analysis was carried out to assess long-term variations in reproductive performance over the 14 years.

The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v27.0 software. The chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) test was used to determine the association between non-genetic factors and conception success. The chi-square statistic was calculated using the formula,

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

Where,

$O_i$  = Observed frequency.

$E_i$  = Expected frequency.

In addition, logistic regression analysis was employed to assess the probability of conception based on the non-

genetic factors considered. The logistic regression model was defined as:

$$\log(p/p - 1) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2$$

Where,

$p$  = Represents the probability of conception.

$\beta_0$  = Intercept.

$\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  = Regression coefficients.

$X_1$  and  $X_2$  = Represent the independent variables (month and year).

The significance of each variable in predicting conception success was evaluated and odds ratios were calculated to interpret the likelihood of conception under different conditions.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To ensure data integrity, records of repeat breeders and cows subjected to artificial insemination were excluded, retaining only natural service conception records. After refinement, 868 valid breeding records from 2011 to 2024 were analysed. It is important to note that data from 2024 was only available up to August, which may have introduced bias in year-wise comparisons.

Table 1 shows that the time of breeding-whether in the forenoon or afternoon-had no significant impact on conception rates, as indicated by the chi-square analysis

**Table 1:** Effect of time of breeding (Forenoon vs. Afternoon) on conception rates.

| Time | Positive | Total breeding | Conception rate (%) |
|------|----------|----------------|---------------------|
| AN   | 115      | 225            | 51.1                |
| FN   | 106      | 219            | 48.4                |

Non-significant:  $p > 0.05$ .

**Table 2:** Monthly conception rates and their statistical significance (2011-2024).

| Month | Positive | Negative | Total breeding | Conception rate (%) |
|-------|----------|----------|----------------|---------------------|
| Jan   | 43       | 35       | 78             | 55.1                |
| Feb   | 45       | 48       | 93             | 48.4                |
| Mar   | 50       | 31       | 81             | 61.7                |
| Apr   | 33       | 29       | 62             | 53.2                |
| May   | 29       | 34       | 63             | 46                  |
| Jun   | 41       | 43       | 84             | 48.8                |
| Jul   | 36       | 33       | 69             | 52.2                |
| Aug   | 26       | 54       | 80             | 32.5                |
| Sep   | 26       | 26       | 52             | 50                  |
| Oct   | 38       | 30       | 68             | 55.9                |
| Nov   | 42       | 27       | 69             | 60.9                |
| Dec   | 37       | 32       | 69             | 53.6                |
| Total | 446      | 422      | 868            | 51.4*               |

Significant:  $p < 0.05$ .

( $p>0.05$ ). This lack of variation could be attributed to the controlled management conditions under which the breeding bulls were maintained. Regular health monitoring and reproductive evaluations ensured optimal fertility, while shade management and housing conditions helped mitigate the effects of diurnal temperature fluctuations.

A significant difference in conception rates was observed across months ( $p<0.05$ ), with the lowest rate recorded in August (32.10%) and the highest in March (61.7%) (Table 2). This decline coincides with peak monsoon conditions in Kerala, where high humidity, reduced sunlight exposure and lower-quality fodder availability may negatively impact reproductive performance. No significant variations were found among the remaining months, suggesting that apart from August, monthly fluctuations do not play a major role in conception success.

Year-wise analysis showed significant variation ( $p<0.05$ ) in conception rates across different years (Table 3). The highest rates were observed in 2012 and 2021, while 2013 and 2024 recorded the lowest. Favourable climatic conditions, improved herd management and optimized nutritional strategies could explain the higher conception rates in 2012 and 2021. Additionally, the lack of a consistently increasing trend in conception rates across years can be explained by the average inter-calving period of 514 days, meaning that cows do not calve every year, leading to natural fluctuations in breeding data. Moreover, nearly 30% of the recorded data corresponds to the last four months of the previous calving cycle, meaning that a significant proportion of animals from the later part of one year would not conceive again in the immediately following year. This pattern results in inherent variations in annual conception rates rather than a steady increasing trend.

**Table 3:** Year-wise conception rates of cattle and their statistical significance (2011-2024).

| Year  | Positive | Total | Conception rate (%) |
|-------|----------|-------|---------------------|
| 2011  | 37       | 76    | 48.7                |
| 2012  | 39       | 58    | 67.2                |
| 2013  | 12       | 43    | 27.9                |
| 2014  | 36       | 69    | 52.2                |
| 2015  | 46       | 86    | 53.5                |
| 2016  | 34       | 57    | 59.6                |
| 2017  | 34       | 54    | 63                  |
| 2018  | 20       | 33    | 60.6                |
| 2019  | 32       | 53    | 60.4                |
| 2020  | 18       | 44    | 40.9                |
| 2021  | 37       | 54    | 68.5                |
| 2022  | 33       | 73    | 45.2                |
| 2023  | 38       | 82    | 46.3                |
| 2024  | 30       | 86    | 34.9                |
| Total | 446      | 868   | 51.4*               |

Significant:  $p<0.05$ .

To ensure statistical reliability, bulls with fewer than five recorded breeding were excluded and only those with more than five breeding records were analysed (Table 4). The chi-square test showed no significant variation in conception rates across different bulls ( $p>0.05$ ). This uniformity is likely due to the rigorous semen quality evaluations, which ensured that only bulls with optimal fertility parameters were selected and maintained for breeding.

**Table 4:** Conception rates across different bulls (Excluding bulls with  $\leq 5$  breedings).

| Animal no | Positive | Total breeding | Conception rate (%) |
|-----------|----------|----------------|---------------------|
| 450       | 3        | 9              | 33.3                |
| 485       | 5        | 10             | 50                  |
| 530       | 9        | 21             | 42.9                |
| 552       | 12       | 23             | 52.2                |
| 553       | 5        | 10             | 50                  |
| 562       | 3        | 7              | 42.9                |
| 563       | 1        | 6              | 16.7                |
| 585       | 8        | 13             | 61.5                |
| 586       | 1        | 7              | 14.3                |
| 631       | 22       | 41             | 53.7                |
| 659       | 27       | 49             | 55.1                |
| 673       | 10       | 14             | 71.4                |
| 692       | 13       | 21             | 60                  |
| 739       | 3        | 7              | 42.9                |
| 756       | 18       | 40             | 45                  |
| 776       | 7        | 12             | 58.3                |
| 794       | 9        | 17             | 52.9                |
| 867       | 21       | 42             | 50                  |
| 890       | 23       | 39             | 59                  |
| 907       | 5        | 14             | 35.7                |
| 913       | 5        | 11             | 45.5                |
| 924       | 5        | 19             | 26.3                |
| 929       | 44       | 75             | 58.7                |
| 959       | 3        | 15             | 20                  |
| 962       | 24       | 39             | 61.5                |
| 963       | 6        | 16             | 37.5                |
| 964       | 16       | 32             | 50                  |
| 965       | 19       | 37             | 51.4                |
| 970       | 4        | 7              | 57.1                |
| 974       | 4        | 8              | 50                  |
| 978       | 4        | 8              | 50                  |
| 987       | 17       | 27             | 63                  |
| 988       | 11       | 20             | 55                  |
| 1003      | 7        | 10             | 70                  |
| 1017      | 3        | 9              | 33.3                |
| 1025      | 16       | 33             | 48.5                |
| 1060      | 8        | 16             | 50                  |
| 1122      | 17       | 29             | 58.6                |
| 1181      | 10       | 14             | 71.4                |
| Total     | 428      | 827            | 51.8                |

Non-Significant:  $p>0.05$ .

**Table 5:** Logistic regression analysis of year-wise conception rate variations (Baseline: 2024).

| Year | P value | Exp   |
|------|---------|-------|
| 2011 | .075    | 1.824 |
| 2012 | .000    | 3.900 |
| 2013 | .337    | .667  |
| 2014 | .032    | 2.070 |
| 2015 | .022    | 2.090 |
| 2016 | .004    | 2.829 |
| 2017 | .003    | 3.096 |
| 2018 | .027    | 2.600 |
| 2019 | .006    | 2.906 |
| 2020 | .514    | 1.290 |
| 2021 | .000    | 3.913 |
| 2022 | .212    | 1.514 |
| 2023 | .085    | 1.770 |

**Table 6:** Logistic regression analysis of monthly conception rate variations (Baseline: December).

| Month | P value | Exp   |
|-------|---------|-------|
| Jan   | .985    | .994  |
| Feb   | .556    | .819  |
| Mar   | .356    | 1.380 |
| Apr   | .983    | 1.008 |
| May   | .577    | .817  |
| Jun   | .833    | .931  |
| Jul   | .942    | .974  |
| Aug   | .008    | .397  |
| Sep   | .441    | .744  |
| Oct   | .794    | 1.097 |
| Nov   | .574    | 1.221 |

Logistic regression was used to quantify the influence of non-genetic factors on conception probability. Using 2024 as the baseline year, the model estimated whether conception rates in previous years significantly increased or decreased (Table 5). Similarly, with December as the baseline month, the likelihood of significant variation in conception across different months was assessed (Table 6).

Heat stress negatively affects fertility by disrupting oestrous cycles, reducing oocyte quality, increasing embryonic loss, altering the uterine environment and lowering semen quality (Dash *et al.*, 2016; Hansen, 2020; Roth, 2021). High temperatures suppress GnRH secretion, impair follicular development and increase oxidative stress, leading to lower conception rates (Wolfenson and Roth, 2019; Schüller *et al.*, 2017). Bulls also experience reduced sperm motility and viability during heat stress (Yeste, 2016). To mitigate these effects, breeding programmes should aim mating during cooler months and provide shade, ventilation, misting and electrolyte supplementation to reduce heat stress. Nutritional adjustments, improved oestrus detection methods and genetic selection for heat resilience are

essential strategies. Regular monitoring of semen quality and rotational use of bulls can further optimise fertility outcomes (Dash *et al.*, 2016; Hansen, 2020).

## CONCLUSION

The study revealed that the overall conception rate in Vechur cattle over a 14-year period was 51.40%. Among the non-genetic factors evaluated, significant variations were observed across months and years. The lowest conception rate was recorded in August, coinciding with the peak monsoon period in Kerala, suggesting that high humidity and associated climatic stress may adversely affect fertility. In contrast, no significant effect was observed for the time of breeding (forenoon vs. afternoon) and conception rates remained consistent across different breeding bulls, likely due to rigorous selection and regular evaluation of semen quality. These findings reveal the critical role of non-genetic factors in determining reproductive efficiency in dwarf indigenous cattle breeds. Aligning breeding schedules with favourable climatic windows and minimising heat and humidity stress through improved management practices can enhance fertility outcomes. Furthermore, the uniformity observed across bulls highlights the effectiveness of proper sire selection and monitoring programs. The insights from this study helps in formulating breeding strategies for Vechur cattle, supporting their sustainable conservation and improved productivity under tropical conditions.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The present study was supported by funding from Kerala Veterinary and Animal Sciences University.

## Disclaimers

The views and conclusions expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of their affiliated institutions. The authors are responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the information provided, but do not accept any liability for any direct or indirect losses resulting from the use of this content.

## Informed consent

All animal procedures for experiments were approved by the Committee of Experimental Animal Care and handling techniques were approved by the University Animal Care Committee.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article. No funding or sponsorship influenced the design of the study, data collection, analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- Anjali, K.B. and Senthilkumar, R. (2020). Constraints as perceived by Vechur cattle farmers of Kerala. *J. Krishi Vigyan.* 8(2): 29-34. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2349-4433.2020.00006.9>.

- Bartlett, P.C., Kirk, J.H. and Mather, E. (1986). Repeated insemination in michigan holstein friesland cattle: Incidence, descriptive epidemiology and estimated economic impact. *Theriogenology*. 26: 309-322.
- DAHDF. (2019). 20<sup>th</sup> Livestock Census-Key Results. Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying, Ministry of Fisheries, Animal Husbandry and Dairying, Government of India, New Delhi.
- Dash, S., Chakravarty, A.K., Singh, A., Upadhyay, A., Singh, M. and Yousuf, S. (2016). Effect of heat stress on reproductive performances of dairy cattle and buffaloes: A review. *Veterinary World*. 9(3): 235-244.
- Elayadeth-Meethal, M., Thazhathu Veetil, A., Asaf, M., Pramod, S., Maloney, S.K., Martin, G.B., Rivero, M.J., Sejian, V., Naseef, P.P., Kuruniyan, M.S. and Lee, M.R. (2021). Comparative expression profiling and sequence characterization of ATP1A1 gene associated with heat tolerance in tropically adapted cattle. *Animals*. 11: 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11082368>.
- Elayadeth-Meethal, M., Thazhathu Veetil, A., Maloney, S.K., Hawkins, N., Misselbrook, T.H., Sejian, V., Rivero, M.J. and Lee, M.R. (2018). Size does matter: Parallel evolution of adaptive thermal tolerance and body size facilitates adaptation to climate change in domestic cattle. *Ecology and Evolution*. 8: 10608-10620. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4550>.
- Gupta, S.K., Gupta, S., Panigrahy, K., Panda, S., Shinde, K.P. and Dey, D. (2022). Importance of livestock in Indian scenario: A review. *Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika*. 32(4): 245-249.
- Hansen, P.J. (2020). Effects of heat stress on mammalian reproduction. *Philosophical transactions of the royal society B: Biological Sciences*. 375(1810): 20190166.
- Lafi, S.Q., Kaneene, J.B., Black, J.R. and Lloyd, J.W. (1992). Epidemiological and economic study of the repeat breeder syndrome in michigan dairy cattle, II economic modeling. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*. 14: 99-114.
- Lees, A.M., Sejian, V., Wallage, A.L., Steel, C.C., Mader, T.L., Lees, J.C. and Gaughan, J.B. (2019). The impact of heat load on cattle. *Animals*. 6: 322. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9060322>.
- Manoj, M., Prasada Rao, G.S.L.H.V. and Aravindakshan, T.V. (2017). Seasonal influence on conception rate in vechur and kasargod dwarf cows. *Journal of Agrometeorology*. 19 (Special Issue - AGMET 2016). 123-125.
- Mitchell, D., Snelling, E.P., Hetem, R.S., Maloney, S.K., Strauss, W.M. and Fuller, A. (2018). Revisiting concepts of thermal physiology: Predicting responses of mammals to climate change. *Journal of Animal Ecology*. 87: 956-973. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12818>.
- Patel, A.K., Kachhawah, S.C., Patil, N.V. and Chopra, A. (2022). Effects of non-genetic factors on production and reproduction traits in Tharparkar cattle under arid region of Rajasthan. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 56(6): doi: 10.18805/IJAR.B-4906.
- Pauler, C.M., Isselstein, J., Berard, J., Braunbeck, T. and Schneider, M.K. (2020). Grazing allometry: Anatomy, movement and foraging behavior of three cattle breeds of different productivity. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*. 7: 494. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2020.00494>.
- Rai, A., Gangwar, C., Upadhyay, P.K. and Mishra, S. (2023). Indigenous cattle (A2 cattle) conservation and development: A crucial socioeconomic component. *Asian Journal of Dairy and Food Research*. 42(2). doi: 10.18805/ajdfr.DR-2041 .
- Roth, Z. (2021). Impact of heat stress on reproduction in dairy cows- Insights into the cellular and molecular responses of the oocyte. *Annual Review of Animal Biosciences*. 9: 409-428.
- Schüller, L.K., Burfeind, O. and Heuwieser, W. (2017). Impact of heat stress on estrus expression and follicle size in dairy cows. *Theriogenology*. 102: 48-53.
- Wolfenson, D. and Roth, Z. (2019). Impact of heat stress on cow reproduction and fertility. *Animal Frontiers*. 9(1): 32-38.
- Yeste, M. (2016). Sperm cryopreservation update: Cryodamage, markers and factors affecting the success of sperm banking. *Animal Reproduction Science*. 169: 56-75.