RESEARCH ARTICLE

Legume Research- An International Journal



Residual Effect of Foliar Application of Nano Fertlizers and Organic Source of Nitrogen on the Productivity and Economics of Zero Tilled Green Pea in Rice-green Pea Cropping Sequence

Kshetrimayum Manishwari Devi¹, K. Nandini Devi¹, Tabuiliu Abonmai¹, Thoudam Anupama Devi¹, N. Anando Singh¹

10.18805/LR-5228

ABSTRACT

Background: After rice harvest, the majority of farmers in Manipur leave their land fallow without planting anything, despite the fact that it has a great deal of potential for growing a second crop in the *rabi* season with the remaining moisture and nutrients. One of the most crucial options for Manipur's farmers is green pea, which not only boosts their revenue but also makes use of the nutrients and residual moisture in the soil. The current fertilizer application system ignores the carryover effect of the manure or fertilizer applied to the previous crop and instead bases its decisions on the nutritional requirements of the specific crop. Applying organic sources of nutrients to the previous crop greatly benefits the following crop. In light of the aforementioned considerations, an experiment was set up to determine whether the foliar application of nano fertilizers and organic source of nitrogen in the previous *kharif* rice crop had any lasting effects on the productivity of the following green pea crop.

Methods: A field experiment was conducted during the *kharif* and *rabi* season of 2020-21 and 2021-22 at Hiyanglam Mayai Leikai in the Kakching district of Manipur, in order to research the residual effect of foliar application of nano fertilizers and organic source of nitrogen on the productivity and economics of zero tilled green pea in rice- green pea cropping sequence. A factorial randomized block design (FRBD) with three replications was used to set up the experiment.

Result: The pooled data showed that vermicompost @100% RDN had the highest growth and yield characterictics over the vermicompost @ 75% RDN and 50% RDN. The combinations of nano NPK @ 1.5% + 100% RDN through vermicompost resulted in the highest increase growth and yield attributing characters of green pea and lowest was observed in the control + @ 50% RDN treated plot.

Key words: Crop residues, Economics, Nano fertilizers, Nutrient concentration, Vermicompost.

INTRODUCTION

Green pea (Pisum sativum L.) is one of the important pulse crop of the Fabaceae family, which ranks fourth in the economic importance of the Fabaceae family and comes in second place after the tomato crop as raw material for canning factories. It is an annual herbaceous plants limited or unlimited growth and adapted to wet climatic conditions. Pea are grown for their fresh green seeds or dry seeds and sugary pods, it is consumed as cooked or canned or frozen food, it is a vegetables rich in protein and carbohydrates and nutrients, on the agricultural side pea production plays an important role in the agricultural cycle as it is a crop that contributes to the stabilization of atmospheric nitrogen and improves soil fertility. After rice harvest, the majority of farmers in Manipur leave their land fallow without planting anything, despite the fact that it has a great deal of potential for growing a second crop in the rabi season with the remaining moisture and nutrients. One of the most crucial options for Manipur's farmers is green pea, which not only boosts their revenue but also makes use of the nutrients and residual moisture in the soil. The current fertilizer application system ignores the carryover effect of the manure or fertilizer applied to the previous crop and instead bases its decisions on the nutritional requirements of the

¹Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal-795 004, Manipur, India.

Corresponding Author: Kshetrimayum Manishwari Devi, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal-795 004, Manipur, India.

Email: ahenbisanakshetri@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Devi, K.M., Devi, K.N., Abonmai, T., Devi, T.A. and Singh, N.A. (2024). Residual Effect of Foliar Application of Nano Fertlizers and Organic Source of Nitrogen on the Productivity and Economics of Zero Tilled Green Pea in Rice-green Pea Cropping Sequence. Legume Research. DOI: 10.18805/LR-5228

specific crop. The development of nanotechnology has made it possible to mass-produce metal nanoparticles that are physiologically important, which can be utilized to improve fertilizer formulations for improved uptake in plant cells and nutrient conservation. Additionally, nano fertilizers can lessen nutrient losses through leaching, enhancing the effectiveness of nutrient use while addressing environmental issues brought on by the extensive use of fertilizer (Raliya et al., 2016). Targeted delivery and controlled or slow release mechanisms in nanostructured fertilizers can increase the

Volume Issue

efficiency of nutrient usage. They could precisely release their active substances in response to biological needs and environmental cues. Additionally, through accelerating the rates of photosynthesis, seed germination, seedling growth, carbohydrate and protein synthesis and nitrogen metabolism, nano fertilizers have shown improved crop productivity (Solanki et al., 2015). According to Hedge (1998), applying organic sources of nutrients to the previous crop greatly benefits the following crop. In light of the aforementioned considerations, an experiment was set up to determine whether the foliar application of nano fertilizers and organic source of nitrogen in the previous *kharif* rice crop had any lasting effects on the productivity of the following green pea crop.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

To investigate the long-term effects of organic materials, crop residues and vermicompost applied to the cropping system on the performance of succeeding green pea crops, a field experiment was carried out during the kharif and rabi season of 2020-21 and 2021-22 at Hiyanglam Mayai Leikai in the Kakching district of Manipur, which is about at 24.48°N and 93.98°E in terms of latitude and longitude and is situated at an altitude of approximately 2545 meters above mean sea level. The soil was clay, had a soil pH of 5.36, high levels of organic carbon (1.35%), medium availability of nitrogen (313.64 kg/ha), phosphorus (36.8 kg/ha) and potassium (240.53 kg/ha). A factorial randomized block design (FRBD) with three replications was used to set up the experiment. The treatments given in the preceding *kharif* rice comprised of four levels of foliar application of nano NPK (19:19:19) were control (F₁), nano NPK (19:19:19) @ 0.5% (F₂), nano NPK (19:19:19) @ 1% (F₃) and nano NPK (19:19:19) @ 1.5% (F₄) and three levels of organic source of nitrogen N₄vermicompost @ 100% RDN, N₂- Vermicompost @75% RDN and N₂- Vermicompost @ 50% RDN. A foliar spray was used during active tillering (25 DAS) and panicle initiation stage (75 DAS). N:P:K @ 60:40:30 kg/ha of fertilizer was recommended for rice. Vermicompost was used as a per treatment together with full doses of single super phosphate (SSP) and muriate of potash (MOP) and incorporated with the last land preparation. Green pea seeds were sown in lines with 20 cm row to row distance between the rows of stubbles left by previous rice crop with a plant to plant distance of 10 cm. Sowing was done in the second week of November. Growth parameters were recorded at 30 days interval and yield was recorded at the time of harvest.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth parameter

The pooled data in Table 1 showed that the different foliar applications of nano fertilizers and organic nitrogen sources utilized in the previous *kharif* rice had a residual effect on the green pea's growth characteristics. The application of nano NPK (19:19:19) @ 1.5% resulted in the highest plant height (40.50 cm), which was statistically higher than all other treated

plots. This was followed by the application of nano NPK @ 1% (34.30 cm) and nano NPK @ 0.5% (31.44 cm) and lowest was observed in control plot (19.34 89 cm). It might be due to reducing nutrient leaching and improving nutrient use efficiency, which releases nutrients gradually over a longer period of time. This can help conserve nutrients in the soil for succeeding crops. This finding was similar to (Raliya *et al.*, 2016).

With regard to organic source of nitrogen, green pea plots receiving a combination of nano NPK fertilizers and organic sources of nutrients, such as vermicompost, showed the highest development features (Table 1). The application of vermicompost @ 100% RDN recorded a 95 vermicompost @ 50% RDN (29.47 cm). It might be due the application of vermicompost provided the highest availability of N, P, K and organic carbon in soils on soil sampling (after harvesting rice), helping to provide the best direct and residual effect on the availability of soil nutrients, plant nutrient uptake and soil enrichment of the rhizosphere with micro and macronutrients. A similar trend was found in (Nurhidayati *et al.*, 2018).

The subsequent green pea crop's plant height was significantly influenced by the interactions between nano NPK and organic source of nitrogen used in kharif rice. The interaction between several NPK and organic source of nitrogen used in the prior kharif rice crop, on the other hand, had a substantial influenced on the crop growth rate of green pea (Table 1). The interaction between foliar application of nano NKP @ 1.5% + vermicompost @ 100% RDN, (N₁F₂) with a value of (42.59 cm) recorded the highest plant height among the various treatment combinations The lowest plant height was recorded in control + vermicompost @ 50% RDN (17.45 cm). The accumulation of more nutrient residues that were made available to the succeeding crop may have led to better crop growth characteristics of green pea. This may have occurred through the mineralization of organic manures i.e vermicompost or the solubilization of nutrients from native sources during the process of decomposition.

Yield attributes

The no. of pods/plant, pod length (cm) and no. of seeds/plant in the following green pea crop significantly increased as a result of various nano NPK fertilizers and organic source of nitrogen (Table 2). The pooled data revealed that application of nano NPK @ 1.5%, recorded maximum no. of pods/plant (3.27), pod length (cm) (9.26cm) and no. of seeds/plant (8.57) which was comparable with the plot receiving nano NPK @ 1% and nano NPK @ 0.5%, respectively but they were significantly higher than control plot. The lowest no. of pods/plant (1.40), pod length (cm) (6.51) and no. of seeds/plant (4.9) were also observed in control plot.

The pooled data in Table 2 showed that among the various sources of organic nitrogen, the plot receiving vermicompost @ 100% RDN had the statistically highest no. of pods/plant (2.73), pod length (cm) (8.49) and no. of seeds/plant (7.55) while the plot receiving 50% RDN (N₃) had the least residual effect on no. of pods/plant (2.20), length (cm) (7.73) and no. of seeds/plant (6.47). This might be due to the application of vermicompost enhanced the

physical, chemical and biological characteristics of the soil, contributed plant development factors and had a favorable impact on the production of dry matter both directly on the first crop and indirectly on the second crop. Application rate and quality both affected the residual effect of vermicompost, so the higher the rate of application, the more residual effect should be on the soil. Hence, it had a positive effect on the vegetative growth of the plants and improved the yield of crops. Similar findings were reported by Jat and Ahlawat (2004) and Atiyeh (1999).

The no. of pods/plant, pod length (cm) and no. of seeds/plant in the following green pea crop were significantly affected by the interaction between nano NPK and organic source of nitrogen (Table 2). The interaction between foliar application of nano NKP @ 1.5% + vermicompost @ 100% RDN, (N_1F_4) recorded the highest no. of pods/plant, pod length (cm) and no. of seeds/plant among the various treatment combinations. The lowest no. of 50% RDN Table 2.

Yield

Table 2 makes it clear that the various foliar applications of nano NKP fertilizers and organic source of nitrogen used in the prior kharif rice crop had a considerable lasting residual effect on the production of the following green pea crop. Higher green pod yield was achieved in the plots receiving F, or nano NKP @ 1.5% (4131 kg/ha), F_3 i.e. nano NKP @ 1% (3612 kg/ha) and they were significantly difference with one another. F, or control plot (2030 kg/ha) gave considerably decreased green pod yield across the treatment plots. Application of nano-NPK fertilizers, alternatively, decreased soil pH and EC while increasing soil organic matter and N, P, K, Fe, Mn and Zn concentrations. The findings unmistakably show that the green pea's pod yield and nutritional value were boosted by the nano-fertilizer. As a result, our study has demonstrated that nano fertilizers release nutrients gradually, increasing soil fertility and improving green pod yield. Similar finding was also reported by El-Sayed et al. (2020).

Table 1: Plant height (cm) for green pea in relation to the residual effect of nano fertilizers and organic sources of nitrogen.

| Treatment | | 30 DAS | | | 60 DAS | | | 90 DAS | | | |
|--------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--|--|
| Foliar application | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | Pooled | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | Pooled | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | Pooled | | |
| F ₁ | 10.05 | 9.44 | 9.74 | 19.79 | 18.89 | 19.34 | 19.79 | 18.89 | 19.34 | | |
| F ₂ | 14.54 | 17.78 | 16.16 | 27.57 | 35.31 | 31.44 | 27.57 | 35.31 | 31.44 | | |
| F ₃ | 15.70 | 19.07 | 17.39 | 31.59 | 37.02 | 34.30 | 31.59 | 37.02 | 34.30 | | |
| F ₄ | 17.14 | 20.39 | 18.77 | 36.65 | 44.35 | 40.50 | 36.65 | 44.35 | 40.50 | | |
| S.E (d) (±) | 0.078 | 0.078 | 0.055 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.006 | | |
| CD (p=0.05) | 0.162 | 0.161 | 0.121 | 0.017 | 0.016 | 0.013 | 0.017 | 0.016 | 0.013 | | |
| Organic nitrogen | | | | | | | | | | | |
| N ₁ | 15.38 | 17.58 | 16.48 | 32.79 | 34.96 | 33.88 | 32.79 | 34.96 | 33.88 | | |
| N_2 | 14.72 | 16.55 | 15.63 | 27.52 | 34.16 | 30.84 | 27.52 | 34.16 | 30.84 | | |
| N_3 | 12.97 | 15.89 | 14.43 | 26.39 | 32.56 | 29.47 | 26.39 | 32.56 | 29.47 | | |
| S.E (d) (±) | 0.068 | 0.067 | 0.048 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.005 | | |
| CD (p-0.05) | 0.141 | 0.140 | 0.105 | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.011 | | |
| Treatment combin | nations | | | | | | | | | | |
| N_1F_1 | 10.58 | 9.49 | 10.04 | 22.51 | 20.32 | 21.42 | 22.51 | 20.32 | 21.42 | | |
| N_1F_2 | 15.97 | 18.41 | 17.19 | 33.63 | 36.28 | 34.95 | 33.63 | 36.28 | 34.95 | | |
| N_1F_3 | 16.12 | 20.08 | 18.10 | 35.32 | 37.77 | 36.55 | 35.32 | 37.77 | 36.55 | | |
| N_1F_4 | 18.86 | 22.34 | 20.60 | 39.71 | 45.47 | 42.59 | 39.71 | 45.47 | 42.59 | | |
| N_2F_1 | 10.16 | 9.48 | 9.82 | 19.23 | 19.08 | 19.16 | 19.23 | 19.08 | 19.16 | | |
| N_2F_2 | 15.18 | 18.40 | 16.79 | 25.22 | 35.03 | 30.12 | 25.22 | 35.03 | 30.12 | | |
| N_2F_3 | 16.17 | 18.57 | 17.37 | 29.82 | 37.54 | 33.68 | 29.82 | 37.54 | 33.68 | | |
| N_2F_4 | 17.36 | 19.73 | 18.54 | 35.80 | 44.97 | 40.39 | 35.80 | 44.97 | 40.39 | | |
| N_3F_1 | 9.40 | 9.35 | 9.38 | 17.63 | 17.26 | 17.45 | 17.63 | 17.26 | 17.45 | | |
| N_3F_2 | 12.46 | 16.53 | 14.50 | 23.87 | 34.62 | 29.25 | 23.87 | 34.62 | 29.25 | | |
| N_3F_3 | 14.80 | 18.56 | 16.68 | 29.62 | 35.74 | 32.68 | 29.62 | 35.74 | 32.68 | | |
| N_3F_4 | 15.21 | 19.11 | 17.16 | 34.43 | 42.62 | 38.53 | 34.43 | 42.62 | 38.53 | | |
| S.E (d) (±) | 0.136 | 0.135 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.010 | | |
| CD (p=0.05) | 0.281 | 0.280 | 0.210 | 0.030 | 0.028 | 0.022 | 0.030 | 0.028 | 0.022 | | |

 F_1 : Control; F_2 : Nano NPK (19:19:19) @ 0.5% at tillering and panicle initiation stage; F_3 : Nano NPK (19:19:19) @ 1% at tillering and panicle initiation stage; F_4 : Nano NPK (19:19:19) @ 1.5% at tillering and panicle initiation stage; F_4 : Vermicompost @ 100% RDN; F_4 : Vermicompost @ 75% RDN; F_4 : Vermicompost @ 50% RDN.

Volume Issue

The plot receiving N_1 , which was application of 100% RDN (3567 kg/ha), had the highest green pod yield among the various organic source of nitrogen and that was followed by N_2 , *i.e* application of vermicompost @ 75% RDN (3212 kg/ha). The lowest green pod yield was obtained with 50% RDN application (N_3), which resulted in yields of (2978 kg/ha) (Table 2). This could be owing to the vermicompost's microbial stimulation and the N given through slow mineralization. Hence application of vermicompost significantly improved the soil chemical properties such as pH, electrical conductivity, organic matter and nutrient status and resulted in better plant growth and yield (Senthamizhkumaran *et al.*, 2021 and Nagavallemma *et al.*, 2004).

The green pod yield of the succeeding green pea crop was significantly affected by the interactions between the various foliar applications of nano NKP fertilizers and organic source of nitrogen (Table 2). The interaction between foliar

application of nano NKP @ 1.5% + vermicompost @ 100% RDN, (N_1F_4) recorded the highest green pod yield among the various treatment combinations, with a total of (4761) kg/ha. The green pod yield with the lowest value (1881 kg/ha) was observed in control + vermicompost @ 50% RDN.

Economics

The pooled data in Table 3 revealed that the foliar application of nano NKP @ 1.5% + vermicompost @ 100%RDN (N₁F₄), gave the highest gross returns (Rs.238073), net returns (Rs. 213373) and B:C ratio (8.6), respectively followed by the treatment combination of N₂F₄ *i.e* nano NKP @ 1.5% + vermicompost @ 75% RDN (Rs. 199535), (Rs. 174835) and (7.1) and N₁F₃ *i.e* nano NKP @ 1% + vermicompost @ 100% RDN (Rs.185025), (Rs. 160325) and B:C ratio of (6.5). The N₃F₁ plot, which was given 50% RDN through vermicompost + control, produced the lowest gross returns (Rs. 94082), net

Table 2: Yield and yield attributing parameters for green pea in relation to the residual effect of nano fertilizers and organic sources of nitrogen.

| Treatment | No. of pods/plant | | | Pod length (cm) | | | No. of seeds /pod | | | Green pod yield kg/ha | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------|--------|-----------------|-------|--------|-------------------|-------|--------|-----------------------|------|--------|
| Foliar application | 2020 | 2021 | Pooled | 2020 | 2021 | Pooled | 2020 | 2021 | Pooled | 2020 | 2021 | Pooled |
| F ₁ | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 6.57 | 6.45 | 6.51 | 5.20 | 4.80 | 4.9 | 2100 | 1961 | 2030 |
| F ₂ | 2.33 | 2.47 | 2.40 | 8.02 | 8.26 | 8.14 | 7.07 | 7.27 | 7.17 | 2962 | 3512 | 3237 |
| F ₃ | 2.60 | 2.80 | 2.70 | 8.38 | 8.85 | 8.62 | 7.47 | 7.67 | 7.57 | 3404 | 3819 | 3612 |
| F ₄ | 3.20 | 3.33 | 3.27 | 8.89 | 9.64 | 9.26 | 8.40 | 8.73 | 8.57 | 3910 | 4353 | 4131 |
| S.E (d) (±) | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 1.40 | 1.16 | 0.91 |
| CD (p=0.05) | 0.014 | 0.016 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 2.90 | 2.41 | 2 |
| Organic nitro | gen | | | | | | | | | | | |
| N ₁ | 2.65 | 2.80 | 2.73 | 8.34 | 8.65 | 8.49 | 7.50 | 7.60 | 7.55 | 3451 | 3684 | 3567 |
| N_2 | 2.35 | 2.45 | 2.40 | 8.09 | 8.25 | 8.17 | 7.15 | 7.25 | 7.20 | 2953 | 3471 | 3212 |
| N_3 | 2.15 | 2.25 | 2.20 | 7.46 | 7.99 | 7.73 | 6.45 | 6.50 | 6.47 | 2879 | 3078 | 2978 |
| S.E (d) (±) | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 1.21 | 1 | 0.79 |
| CD (p-0.05) | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 2.51 | 2.08 | 1.73 |
| Treatment co | mbinations | | | | | | | | | | | |
| N_1F_1 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 6.96 | 6.81 | 5.20 | 6.89 | 5.00 | 5.20 | 2293 | 2130 | 2212 |
| N_1F_2 | 2.60 | 2.80 | 2.70 | 8.48 | 8.60 | 7.70 | 8.54 | 7.80 | 7.70 | 3347 | 3843 | 3595 |
| N_1F_3 | 2.80 | 3.00 | 2.90 | 8.55 | 8.97 | 7.90 | 8.76 | 8.00 | 7.90 | 3521 | 3880 | 3700 |
| N_1F_4 | 3.60 | 3.80 | 3.70 | 9.38 | 10.21 | 9.40 | 9.79 | 9.60 | 9.40 | 4642 | 4881 | 4761 |
| N_2F_1 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 6.40 | 6.30 | 5.00 | 6.35 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 2033 | 1963 | 1998 |
| N_2F_2 | 2.20 | 2.40 | 2.30 | 8.42 | 8.50 | 7.50 | 8.46 | 7.60 | 7.50 | 2696 | 3813 | 3254 |
| N_2F_3 | 2.60 | 2.80 | 2.70 | 8.50 | 8.81 | 7.70 | 8.65 | 7.80 | 7.70 | 3364 | 3846 | 3605 |
| N_2F_4 | 3.20 | 3.20 | 3.20 | 9.04 | 9.40 | 8.60 | 9.22 | 8.80 | 8.60 | 3718 | 4264 | 3990 |
| N_3F_1 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 6.33 | 6.23 | 4.80 | 6.28 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 1974 | 1789 | 1881 |
| N_3F_2 | 2.20 | 2.20 | 2.20 | 7.17 | 7.67 | 6.30 | 7.42 | 6.40 | 6.30 | 2843 | 2879 | 2861 |
| N_3F_3 | 2.40 | 2.60 | 2.50 | 8.10 | 8.76 | 7.10 | 8.43 | 7.20 | 7.10 | 3327 | 3732 | 3530 |
| N_3F_4 | 2.80 | 3.00 | 2.90 | 8.24 | 9.31 | 7.70 | 8.78 | 7.80 | 7.70 | 3370 | 3913 | 3642 |
| S.E (d) (±) | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 2.42 | 2.01 | 1.57 |
| CD (p=0.05) | 0.024 | 0.027 | 0.019 | 0.020 | 0.023 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.016 | 0.013 | 5.02 | 4.17 | 3.46 |

 F_1 : Control; F_2 : Nano NPK (19:19:19) @ 0.5% at tillering and panicle initiation stage; F_3 : Nano NPK (19:19:19) @ 1% at tillering and panicle initiation stage; F_4 : Nano NPK (19:19:19) @ 1.5% at tillering and panicle initiation stage; F_4 : Vermicompost @ 100% RDN; F_4 : Vermicompost @ 75% RDN; F_4 : Vermicompost @ 50% RDN.

Table 3: Economics for green pea in relation to the residual effect of nano fertilizers and organic sources of nitrogen.

| Treatment combinations | Total cost of cultivation | Gross return | | | Net return | | | B:C ratio | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|-----------|------|--------|
| | | 2020 | 2021 | Pooled | 2020 | 2021 | Pooled | 2020 | 2021 | Pooled |
| N_1F_1 | 24700 | 114666 | 106517 | 110591 | 89966 | 81817 | 85891 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| N_1F_2 | 24700 | 167334 | 192167 | 179750 | 142634 | 167467 | 155050 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 6.3 |
| N_1F_3 | 24700 | 176050 | 194000 | 185025 | 151350 | 169300 | 160325 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 6.5 |
| N_1F_4 | 24700 | 232080 | 244067 | 238073 | 207380 | 219367 | 213373 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 8.6 |
| N_2F_1 | 24700 | 101667 | 98127 | 99897 | 76967 | 73427 | 75197 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| N_2F_2 | 24700 | 134800 | 190633 | 162717 | 110100 | 165933 | 138017 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 5.6 |
| N_2F_3 | 24700 | 168220 | 192317 | 180269 | 143520 | 167617 | 155569 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 6.3 |
| N_2F_4 | 24700 | 185884 | 213186 | 199535 | 161184 | 188486 | 174835 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 7.1 |
| N_3F_1 | 24700 | 98713 | 89452 | 94082 | 74013 | 64752 | 69382 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| N_3F_2 | 24700 | 142168 | 143933 | 143050 | 117468 | 119233 | 118350 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| $N_3^2F_3$ | 24700 | 166371 | 186600 | 176486 | 141671 | 161900 | 151786 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 6.1 |
| N_3F_4 | 24700 | 168483 | 195667 | 182075 | 143783 | 170967 | 157375 | 5.8 | 6.9 | 6.4 |

 F_1 : Control; F_2 : Nano NPK (19:19:19) @ 0.5% at tillering and panicle initiation stage; F_3 : Nano NPK (19:19:19) @ 1% at tillering and panicle initiation stage; F_4 : Nano NPK (19:19:19) @ 1.5% at tillering and panicle initiation stage; F_4 : Vermicompost @ 100% RDN; F_4 : Vermicompost @ 75% RDN; F_4 : Vermicompost @ 50% RDN.

return (Rs. 69382) and a B:C ratio of (2.8). Higher net returns and a better B:C ratio might have been caused by the production of more yield in combination with a higher market price for greenpea seeds. The outcome of Ajithkumar *et al.* (2021) is consistent with the outcomes of this study.

CONCLUSION

The use of nanotechnology in agriculture is still in its infancy. However, it has the ability to completely transform agricultural systems, particularly when it comes to concerns about the use of fertilizer. Application of nanofertilizers encouraged green pea growth, development and has the potential to enhance crop productivity and plant nutrition. On the other hand, applying organic sources of nutrients to the previous crop greatly benefits the following crop by improving the availability of N, P, K and organic carbon in soils, helping to provide the best direct and residual effect on the availability of soil nutrients, plant nutrient uptake and soil enrichment of the rhizosphere with micro and macronutrients, so the higher the rate of application, the more residual effect should be on the soil. The results of this study will be useful for future investigations into the use of vermicompost and nanotechnology in agriculture.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest within them.

REFERENCES

Ajithkumar, K., Kumar, Y., Savitha, A.S., Ajayakumar, M.Y., Narayanaswamy, C., Raliya, R., Krupashankar, M.R. and Bhat, S.N. (2021). Effect of IFFCO nanofertilizer on growth, grain yield and managing turcicum leaf blight disease in maize. Int. J. Plant Soil Sci. 33(16): 19-28.

Atiyeh, R.M., Subler, S., Edwards, C.A., Metzger, J. (1999). Growth of tomato plants in horticultural potting media amended with vermicompost. Pedobiologia. 43: 1-5.

El-Sayed, S.A., Algarni, A.A. and Shaban, K.A.H. (2020). Effect of NPK nano-fertilizers and compost on soil fertility and root rot severity of soybean plants caused by *Rhizoctonia* solani. Plant Path. J. 19: 140-150.

Hedge, DM. (1998). Long-term sustainability of productivity in rice (*Oryza sativa*) wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) system in subhumid ecosystem through integrated nutrient supply. Indian J. Agron. 43(2):189-198.

Jat, R.S. and Ahlawat, I.P.S. (2004). Effect of vermicompost biofertilizer and phosphorus on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by gram (*Cicer arientinum*) and their residual effect on fodder maize (*Zea mays*). Indian J. Agric .Sci. 74(7): 359-361

Nagavallemma, K.P., Wani, S.P., Stephane, L., Padmaja, VV., Vineela, C., Baburao, M. and Sahrawat, K.L. (2004). Vermicomposting: Recycling Wastes into Valuable Organic Fertilizer. In: Global Theme on Agrecosystems Report No. 8. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, India. p. 20.

Nurhidayati, N., Machfudz, M. and Murwani, I. (2018). Direct and residual effect of various vermicompost on soil nutrient and nutrient uptake dynamics and productivity of four mustard pak-coi (*Brassica rapa* L.). Int. J. Rec. Org. Waste Agri. 7: 173-181.

Raliya, R., Franke, C., Chavalamane, S., Nair, R., Reed, N., Biswas, P. (2016). Quantitative understanding of nanoparticle uptake in watermelon plants. Front. Plant Sci. 7: 1288. DOI:10.3389/fpls.2016.01288.

Senthamizhkumaran, V.R., Santhy, P., Selvi, D., Maragatham, N., Kalaiselvi, T. and Sabarinathan, K.G. (2021). Effect of organic and inorganic nutrients on rice (*Oryza sativa var*. CO 51) productivity and soil fertility in the Western zone of Tamil Nadu, India. J. Appl. Natu. Sci. 13(4): 1488-1498.

Solanki, P., Bhargava, A., Chhipa, H., Jain, N., Panwar, J. (2015).
Nano-fertilizers and their smart delivery system. In Nano tech. Food Agri. Springer: Cham, Switzerland. 81-101.

Volume Issue