



Recycling of Leaf Litters: Biowaste Management for Resource Conservation

Sheeba Rebecca Isaac, Amala Mary George

10.18805/ag.R-2433

ABSTRACT

The search for viable agricultural production systems in the era of unpredictable climate events has elevated the prospects of agroforestry practices for resilience and sustainability. The litter biomass in these ecosystems govern the biogeochemical nutrient cycling prevalent, but can also serve as organic inputs in crop production under situations where these are considered as menace and cumbersome to dispose. When left to decay under natural conditions litter takes a considerably long time for decomposition and release of nutrients entrapped in them. With the increasing need to conserve natural resources and energy, recycling of organic wastes assumes major significance. Leaf litters are untapped sources of nutrients and are generated in substantial quantities in most of the agroforestry systems. Among the different alternatives available for recycling biomass residues, composting is considered most efficient and viable option, but recent innovations such as biochar production and thermochemical digestion have also been attempted. Organic farming strategies call for *in situ* production of nutrient inputs for economic viability of the practice and hence information on alternative technologies to enrich the repository of organic manures in the form of litter compost will be of immense importance. These composts could be recycled to soil for enriching soil organic carbon, plant nutrients and to sustain soil health in the long run. Proper utilization of the biowastes in the tree based systems will also ensure reduction in environmental pollution and forest fire incidents that otherwise threaten life. The review unveils the possible technologies for recycling the litter and use as a nutrient source but emphasizes the need for a suitable environment favouring the rapid decomposition.

Key words: Biochar, Compost, Nutrient, Organic, Quality.

Global agriculture is largely constrained by the vagaries of weather elements and production is significantly influenced by biotic and abiotic stresses that occur as a sequel to the climate change effects. To add to the unpredicted events of the climate change and pest and disease incidence, the technologies of intensive chemical use have hampered the soil health and quality, the net result being a downward trend in productivity compared to the genetic potential of the crop and variety. The flagship cultivation practice of every nation is more emphasised on organic inputs and integration of natural farming practices so as to maintain and sustain resources for improved productivity. Search for alternatives to chemical inputs has enhanced the production of eco-friendly and organic inputs in bulk quantities to meet the nutrient requirements as well as the repeated applications warranted to keep away the pests that can cause severe damage and crop losses. The biowastes - crop residues and animal wastes are potential inputs for use in agriculture. However, a major fraction is treated as a waste and incinerated.

Agroforestry systems are widely proclaimed as climate resilient, sustainable practices that offer solutions to current needs of product and ecosystem services (Parthibhan *et al.*, 2018). These are the traditional practices of tree- crop/ livestock combinations which dates back to years of shifting cultivation. They have transcended over the years from the primitive forms of shifting cultivation to agri silvicultural, silvo pastoral and agri silvo pastoral systems. With the inclusion of horticultural perennials, agri horticulture systems are also in vogue. Diverse species of crops are included alongside the trees. Livestock, poultry and other allied enterprises can

College of Agriculture, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram-695 522, Kerala, India.

Corresponding Author: Amala Mary George, College of Agriculture, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram-695 522, Kerala, India.
Email: amalamarygeorge6@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Isaac, S.R. and George, A.M. (2022). Recycling of Leaf Litters: Biowaste Management for Resource Conservation. Agricultural Reviews. DOI: 10.18805/ag.R-2433.

Submitted: 20-11-2021 **Accepted:** 06-06-2022 **Online:** 16-08-2022

also be components, but the woody perennials, trees, form the backbone of the system.

The multifaceted benefits rendered by the trees ranging from nutritional, economic and ecosystem services and climate change mitigation have been deliberated and illustrated by several workers (Nair, 1983; Verchot *et al.*, 2007). In agricultural systems, the litter fall surmises relevance on account of its potential as an organic input. Trees are characterised by an almost continuous litterfall which contributes significantly to the organic matter accretion in the system. The pattern of litterfall is unimodal or bimodal (Das and Das, 2010; Moosa, 1997) with peak falls during winter and or in summer. The tree litter is considered as a menace in lawns, ornamental gardens, courtyards and porches. According to Vasanthi *et al.* (2013), leaf litter accumulating in the urban and suburban locations adds to

the overall problem of municipal solid wastes. Hence, removal of the litter conjectures importance so as to maintain the premises clean. Disposal of autumn foliage is not only cost intensive but an organisational challenge. Litter are removed for fuel, landfilling and/or burnt. From the agricultural point of view, the above mentioned methods lead to a considerable loss of a potential input that can otherwise be utilised in farming. The situation calls for a proper technology for safe disposal and utilisation of the biowastes.

The biogeochemical nutrient cycling in tree based systems is dominated by litter production and decomposition (O'Connell and Sankaran, 1997). Natural decomposition of the litter is constrained by a slow rate of decay due to the intrinsic properties of the litter, summarised as litter quality. As litter is the matured senescent part of the plant, it mostly contains more of recalcitrant substances such as lignin and polyphenols that make them less amenable to the soil organisms that initiate the decomposition process. Isaac and Nair (2005) enumerated the long time taken for the decay and release of nutrients under natural conditions. The presence of a soil cover is often considered advantageous (Abbasi and Ramasamy, 2001) but, in agroforestry systems, the litter can have negative implications such as harbouring pests and pathogens, allelopathic effects interfering with the germination of associated crop seeds *etc.* This has brought to focus the school of thought - hastening the decomposition process with proper additives, *i.e.* recycling of biowastes.

Leaf litter decomposition

Litter during decomposition is subjected various processes. It is initially fragmented by soil fauna, water soluble compounds are leached and later, carbon is mineralised and respired as CO₂ through the action of microorganisms. Thus, the entire process is reckoned to be controlled by the nature/ quality of the litter material, the microenvironment at soil surface and the qualitative and quantitative composition of the decomposer organisms. The significant role of the litter quality: initial N, lignin, polyphenols and the ratios of C/N, lignin/N and polyphenol/N (Liu *et al.*, 2007), moisture content (Das, 2019; Thomas *et al.*, 2012), physical characteristics of litter material (Sundarapandian and Swamy, 1999) as the main variables controlling rates of decomposition have been elucidated. In addition, the soil properties, soil temperature (Hobbie, 1996), moisture (Naik *et al.*, 2018) and chemical properties (Coleman and Crossley, 1996; Cuevas and Medina, 1986) influence the degradation directly and/ indirectly through their influence on soil macro and microfauna regimes. Manipulating the environment favouring the activity of the soil decomposers or the physico-chemical processes that bring about the decomposition have been attempted. Further, recycling is widely proclaimed as an appealing alternative for the safe disposal and utilisation of biowastes.

A wide array of technologies have been evaluated and recommended for the conversion of litter waste into beneficial products. The different methods that can be competently adopted for litter recycling are reviewed herewith.

Litter recycling techniques

Composting

Recycling of biowastes via composting is regarded as an efficient technology to combat the problem of agricultural waste management. Composting is the controlled biological decomposition of organic materials and conversion to forms that can be used in soil. The anticipated benefits of composting include cost effective and sustainable procurement of a substitute for synthetic fertilizers (Moss *et al.*, 2002), conversion of objectionable wastes into stable benign substance with less volume (Dickson *et al.*, 1991) and reduction in odour (Dougherty, 1998). Sajnanath and Sushama (2004) opined that composting depends on the microorganisms which utilize decomposable organic waste both as an energy and food source. Under natural conditions, macroorganisms *viz.*, earthworms, nematodes and soil insects (mites, ants, millipedes and beetles) initially breakdown the organic materials into smaller particles, which are then exposed to microbial degradation. Consequently, the composts can be used in crop production. Based on the organisms involved and/or materials used for the degradation process, composting may be:

Vermicomposting

Hastening the decomposition process involves addition of organisms or materials that augment the nutrient/ microbial population inherent in the biowaste. Earthworms are regarded potential accelerators of organic matter decomposition. Use of earthworms for composting, termed as vermicomposting is a practice that was started in the middle of twentieth century and is still popular as a rapid biodegradation technology. The final product of the organic material degraded by the earthworms is called vermicompost. Ndegwa and Thompson (2001) described vermicompost as a homogenous substance that tend to hold more nutrients over longer periods with desirable aesthetics, plant growth hormones and high levels of soil enzymes, while enhancing microbial populations and without causing any adverse effect on the environment. According to Hari and Sushama (2005), worm casts produced by earthworms have significantly higher nutrient composition, nearly five times more organic matter, total N and exchangeable cations than soil. Shouche *et al.* (2014) reported that degradation is the result of combined activity of microorganisms and earthworms wherein the primary decomposition take place outside and secondary decomposition takes place inside the earthworm. Earthworms are voracious feeders and consume approximately food amounting to one third of their body weight per day and achieve higher mass reduction than conventional composting (Pattnaik and Reddy, 2010).

According to Biddappa *et al.* (1996), plantation crops produce huge amount of biomass for recycling which accounts for more than 30-50% of the produce. Coconut, a predominant tree in homestead based agroforestry systems adds on an average 6-8 Mg biomass ha⁻¹ as wastes annually (Thomas *et al.*, 2012) while in arecanut it was quantified as

8 Mg ha⁻¹ (Nampoothiri, 2001). The high lignin content (30-40%) in the coconut leaves and petioles impedes the decay in soil and hence remains as a recalcitrant material in the garden for long, nearly 18 months are required for their unassisted degradation (Thomas *et al.*, 1998). In this form it has the virtue of providing a soil cover and acts as mulch material. Nutrient contents in coconut leaves grown under adequate management have been estimated as 1.74, 0.10 and 1.24% N, P and K respectively (Maheswarappa *et al.*, 2014). The decomposition of coconut leaves under natural condition takes on an average 238 days (Harishma, 2017) and hence efforts were made to ensure recycling of the material through composting.

Prabhu *et al.* (1998) identified an indigenous strain of *Eudrilus* sp., capable of decomposing the highly lignified coconut leaves within a period of 75-90 days. The *in situ* vermicomposting technology developed at CPCRI ensures vermicompost from coconut leaves with a nutrient content of 1.80% N, 0.21% P, 0.16% K, 17.84% organic C and a C:N ratio of 9.95.

Vermicomposting of organic wastes (apple residue and leaf litter) from cashew orchards yielded a quality manure with 1.69% N, 0.44% P, 0.58% K in a period of 95 days (Mini *et al.*, 2005). Jayanthi (2010) illustrated that cashew leaf litter can serve as feed stock for earthworms and get converted into nutrient and microbial rich organic manure/vermifertilizer by the action of *Perionyx excavates* and other species of earthworms, particularly indigenous earthworms. Annual leaf litter fall in cashew amounts to 25-30 kg per plant (Parthasarathi *et al.*, 2016) which if not properly managed, can cause environmental pollution problems.

Vermicompost from leaf litter biowastes has been attempted in different trees, leaf litters of sandalwood (Bhati *et al.*, 2019), rubber (Chaudhuri *et al.*, 2016), mango (Das *et al.*, 2020; Vasanthi *et al.*, 2013), coconut and jack (Harishma, 2017), asoka, teak and neem (Jayanthi *et al.*, 2010), tendu (Mushan and Rao, 2012), teak (Nagalakshmi and Prakash, 2016), eucalyptus (Nagar *et al.*, 2017), black plum (Nagar *et al.*, 2018) and guava (Vasanthi *et al.*, 2013).

Leaf litter with cow dung in the ratio of 1:1 was identified most suitable for composting in palash (Nagar *et al.*, 2019), cashew (Parthasarathi *et al.*, 2016), mango (Prakash and Karmegam, 2010) and Indian beech (Thangaraj, 2015). It needs to be borne in mind that the chemical properties of the litter greatly influences the activity of the earthworms. Exploring the leaf litter preference shown by the earthworm *Pontoscolex corethrurus* (Muller) for the wastes as food source, Ganihar (2003) illustrated that maximum preference was for *Phyllanthus reticulatus* and then on declined in the order, *Tamarindus indica* > *Anacardium occidentale* > *Casuarina equisetifolia* > *Acacia auriculiformis* > *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*. Increased mortality of the worms has been reported by Gajalakshmi *et al.* (2005) when mango litter was used as such as for the earthworms. Similar observations were documented with acacia (Ganesh *et al.*, 2009) and the results emphasized that leaf litter rich in polyphenols and lignin are not preferred by most species of earthworm.

The time taken for compost production varied with the quality of the litter and Harishma (2017) observed a reduction of nearly 50% in the time taken compared to natural decomposition.

Microbial composting

Microorganisms are primarily responsible for composting and converting the wastes into a nutrient rich substance (Novinsak *et al.*, 2008). During composting, a succession of fungi and bacteria, including actinomycetes, chemically alter the compost constituents. Fungi and bacteria, the most important group among microbial agents of decomposition can colonize very quickly and they play an important role in the degradation of lignocellulosic organic waste. Several fungal and bacterial species such as *Rhizopus oryzae*, *Aspergillus oryzae*, *Aspergillus fumigates*, *Aspergillus terreus*, *Paecilomyces fusisporous*, *Bacillus* sp., *Trichonympha*, *Clostridium* and their consortium are capable of this function (Borah *et al.*, 2016; Goyal and Sindhu, 2011; Sinegani *et al.*, 2005).

According to Chander *et al.* (2018), maintaining a higher microbial population through added culture and systematic turnings at 10 day interval hastened the decomposition in aerobic composting. Gurumurthy (2018) reported that the characteristics of the inoculated population of microbes determine decomposition rate of organic matter throughout the process. Higher microbial population enhance the plant growth by production of growth hormones and also act as biological control agents against several soil borne diseases.

Co- composting

Biowastes with wide C:N ratio are slow to degrade and often necessitates the inclusion of N supplements and lignin degrading microbial cultures. Co- composting is a technique adopted for composting organic materials with low N content and wide C:N ratio, in which organic substrate with a higher N content and lower C:N ratio is added as a supplement to facilitate proper microbial activity. Poultry manure has been successfully used in the stabilization of organic wastes particularly high in lignin and cellulose, including wood wastes (Guerra-Rodriguez, 2001), barley waste (Guerra-Rodriguez *et al.*, 2000), wheat straw (Petric *et al.* 2009), coir pith (Thomas *et al.*, 2013), pineapple residues (Ch'ng *et al.*, 2014) and jack and coconut leaves (Harishma, 2017). Mango leaves were enriched in N with the green leaf manure, Glyricidia leaves before composting and this could significantly accelerate the rate of decay (Das *et al.*, 2020).

Time taken for maturation of compost varies with the litter species as well as the method used for composting. The variation in time taken for composting with different litters are given in Table 1.

Biochar production

Biochar is a carbon-rich product defined as "a solid material obtained from the thermo-chemical conversion of biomass in an oxygen limited environment" (IBI, 2012). It is generated through pyrolysis, *i.e.* a thermal process carried out at temperatures that range from 250°C to >900°C and under

limited oxygen availability. Experiments on biochar production reveal that the entire process can be completed in 5-6 days and application in soil endorses many positive effects viz., suppress soil borne pathogens (Bonanomi *et al.* 2015), increased water retention capacity (Novak *et al.* 2012), capability to adsorb phytotoxic organic molecules (Oleszczuk *et al.* 2012), liming effect (Van Zwieten *et al.*, 2010) and stimulate the activity of beneficial microbes (Warnock *et al.*, 2007). It is carbon-enriched, in most cases more than 60% and is recalcitrant to decomposition in comparison to the original biomass and hence can remain in the pedosphere for 100-1000 years. Biochar addition to ecosystems therefore has great potential in terms of carbon sequestration

Konaka *et al.* (2019) investigating the effects of leaf biochar as a soil amendment developed a simplified carbonizer from a used oil drum of 200 L capacity. The drum lid was replaced with a custom-made steel lid that contained a funnel in the centre and provisions for ventilation (holes at 10-15 cm interval) were given at the bottom of the drum. It was placed on top of four bricks to ensure air flow from the bottom at the beginning of ignition. Fallen leaves of *Jatropha* were pyrolysed in these drums and the final product had a porous surface structure characteristic of biochar which could effectively be used as a soil amendment in an acidic and undernourished soil for Swiss chard cultivation. Hashmi *et al.* (2019) attempted biochar production from pongamia leaves in which the leaves were air dried, hand-crushed, ground to a size of ≤ 0.45 mm and pyrolyzed (thermal decomposition) in a pyrolyzer at 380°C for 42 minutes under semi-anaerobic condition. The biochar had a pH of 8.15 and total nutrient contents, 0.83, 7.13 and 5.60% N, P and K respectively.

Leaf litter biochar was produced by carbonization of maple leaf litter under open fire using open burn kiln with

pyrolysis temperature of approximately 500-600°C and the final product had a pH of 9.3, EC 2.1 mS cm⁻¹, total N 5.1 g kg⁻¹, total C 381.2 g kg⁻¹ and C:N ratio of 74.8 (Oo *et al.*, 2020). It was also observed that the application of the leaf litter biochar in vegetable fields could reduce N₂O emissions and maintain vegetable yields leading to the conclusion that conversion of accumulated autumn leaf litter into biochar might be one of the solutions for municipal waste disposal and reducing N₂O emissions after its amendment to soils.

Gopal *et al.* (2020) documented the potential of pyrolysis as an innovative technology for quick recycling of highly recalcitrant coconut palm biomass residues, husk, leaf petiole and coir pith to biochars as a local source of soil amendment to aid regenerative agriculture in humid tropics. The effect of pyrolysis temperature on the characteristics of tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) pruning litter biochar was studied by Borgohain *et al.* (2020) and the results demonstrated that yield, total nitrogen, H:C and O:C decreased steadily with increasing pyrolysis temperature whereas, water holding capacity, ash content, fixed carbon, C:N, NH₄⁺-N, NO₃⁻-N, trace elements, total P and K and germination index increased consistently with increasing pyrolysis temperature. All the prepared biochars were found suitable for field application as the H:C and O:C ratios were less than 0.6 and 0.4 respectively. The low pyrolysis temperature (300°C) was found optimal for a more stable biochar from the prunings.

In addition, many scientists have explored the possibility of accelerating decomposition of leaf litters in soil with biochar. Bonanomi *et al.* (2017) reported antagonistic interactions when mixed with leaf litter, on the contrary, Minamino *et al.* (2019) observed that biochar addition enhanced litter decomposition rather than changing the decomposition pattern. This was attributed to the increased microbial activity with increased moisture content, the

Table 1: Time taken for composting in different leaf litter species.

Litter species	Composting method	Time taken for the decay (days)	Reference
Coconut	Vermicomposting (<i>Eudrillus sp.</i>)	90	Prabhu <i>et al.</i> (1998)
	Co- composting	121	Gokavi <i>et al.</i> (2016) Harishma (2017)
Mango	Microbial pre treatment + vermicomposting	110	Das <i>et al.</i> (2020)
Jack	Co- composting	105	Harishma (2017)
Guava	Vermicomposting	60	Vasanthi <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Cashew	Vermicomposting Microbial pre treatment + vermicomposting	120-180	Kalaivanan <i>et al.</i> (2017)
		144	Das <i>et al.</i> (2020)
<i>Neolamarkia cadamba</i> ,	Microbial composting	45	Divakar and Prasanthrajan (2019)
<i>Acrocarpus fraxinifolius</i> ,	fruit based Effective	60	
<i>Dalbergia sissoo</i> and	Microorganisms @ 3	>60	
<i>Grewia tiliifolia</i>	L/ Mg of litter		
<i>Tectona grandis</i> , <i>Madhuca indica</i> and <i>Butea monosperma</i>	Vermicomposting	85	Manna <i>et al.</i> (2003)
Coconut coir pith	Co- composting	45	Thomas <i>et al.</i> (2013)

resultant of the moisture retention capacity of biochar. The carbon emission through changing leaf litter decomposition was small when compared with the carbon addition by biochar, indicating that biochar could be an effective material for carbon sequestration in forest ecosystems.

Thermochemical digestion

Organic materials can be degraded rapidly when subjected to hydrolytic degradation by treatment with acids and alkalis and the reaction can be further accelerated by heat (Sharma *et al.*, 2013). Based on this concept a novel technology for the rapid conversion of organic waste materials into value added fertilizers through chemical decomposition at 100°C was developed in the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Vellayani. It was observed that chemical treatment of well ground, fresh organic waste with HCl (0.25 N, 50 mL kg⁻¹) for 30 minutes followed by KOH (0.5 N, 100 mL kg⁻¹) for 30 minutes at 100°C and ambient pressure yielded a product that could substitute the conventional organic manure (Sudharmaidevi *et al.*, 2016). The thermochemical treatment required only 8-14 hours to produce a dried balanced organic fertilizer, including the time taken for sun-drying to attain moisture content suitable for packing. The technique was exploited for the degradation of leaf litters (Harishma, 2017) and it was observed that thermochemical composting of jack and coconut leaf litter resulted in comparatively higher NPK content than in the fallen litter.

Anaerobic composting

The products of anaerobic composting of organic residues include CO₂, methane, NH₃, organic acids and trace amounts of other gases, which adds to the global warming issues that are alarmingly on the rise. However, the higher amounts of methane released makes possible the utilisation of these as biogas for fuel and for production of slurry that could be used as manure in crop production. It has also opened vistas for a safe disposal and recycling of leaf litters in agroforestry systems. Chanakaya *et al.* (2005) opined that biogas plants that use non-dung herbaceous biomass residues such as leaf litter and agro-residues have a large potential and promise for the future. Stimulatory effects of powdered leaves of *Gulmohar*, *Leucacena leucocephala*, *Acacia auriculiformis*, *Dalbergia sisoo* and *Eucalyptus tereticornis* in biogas production (18-40%) have been elucidated by Kumar *et al.* (2013). It was also reported that alkali treated (1% NaOH for 7 days) apple and peach leaf litter added as supplements to the cattle dung could double the biogas and CH₄ production. This was in agreement with reports of Liew *et al.* (2011) who reported simultaneous alkali treatment and anaerobic digestion was suitable for enhanced anaerobic digestion and methane production from fallen leaves. Arisutha *et al.* (2014) evaluated the methane production from sisal and palash leaf litters mixed with different bulky materials such as vegetable market waste, hostel kitchen waste and digested biogas slurry in a laboratory scale anaerobic reactor in 1:1 proportion. Maximum methane

content of 320 mL day⁻¹ was observed in the case of sisal leaf residue mixed with vegetable market waste as the feed and the lowest when palash leaf litter was used as feed owing to the higher lignin and polyphenol contents making them less amenable to the microorganisms. The potentials of biogas slurry, the spent waste leaving the bio gas unit as a source of nutrient has been illustrated (Kumar *et al.*, 2015).

Muhammad and Chandra (2021) assessed the possibility of enhancing biogas and methane production from leaf litter of neem by co-digestion with vegetable waste and documented that the introduction of neem leaf litter to vegetable wastes improved biogas and methane yields by 87.27 and 91.47% and reduced H₂S by 146.73% compared to the mono digestion of the wastes. The methane content was sufficient for electricity and heat generation. They concluded that this could comprehend the management of leaf litter which is considered as an organic waste, trashing the environment.

Quality of litter compost

Several authors have opined that the quality and stability of compost are entirely dependent on its raw materials (Benito *et al.*, 2003; Ranalli *et al.* 2001; Wang *et al.*, 2004). Sushama *et al.* (2010) based on their study emphasised that the nature of substrate used has a major influence over the ultimate composition of the matured compost. The parameters that indicate the quality and degree of stability include nutrient content, pH, moisture content, lignin, C: N ratio, time taken for composting and presence of any potential pathogens (Sanmanee *et al.*, 2011; Vikman *et al.*, 2002; Wu and Ma, 2002; Steger *et al.*, 2007).

Significant reduction in C: N ratio and increase in nutrient contents were reported in the composts and vermicomposts prepared from leaf litters (*Shorea robusta*, *Pinus roxburghii*, *Populus deltoides*, *Eucalyptus* hybrid) mixed with municipal solid waste (Aalok and Tripathi, 2010) and of the two, vermicomposted litter was superior in quality. Priya and Prabha (2011) assessed the physico-chemical parameters: pH, EC, moisture content, organic carbon and C: N ratio in vermicomposted leaf litter by the species *Lampito mauritii* and reported that there was an increase in all the parameters except the C: N ratio, with the progress of composting process.

Nutrient rich vermicompost from leaf litter of *Polyalthia* and sugarcane trash co-composted with pressmud was elucidated by Karmegam *et al.* (2012). The microbial counts and enzymes in the worm worked compost were ascertained to be significantly higher. Vasanthi *et al.* (2013) reported that vermicompost ensuing from mango (*Mangifera indica*) leaves was fine and odour-free with considerable amount of N, P, K and optimum C: N ratio that could be used as suitable organic soil amendment. Harishma (2017) studied the recycling of leaf litters of jack and coconut by different composting methods and recorded significantly higher amounts of nutrients and lower C: N ratio in the final compost. Sandeep *et al.* (2017) evaluated the nutrient content of vermicompost prepared from leaf litters using the earthworm

species, *Eisenia foetida*. They recorded a significant decrease in C: N ratio upto 32.6 per cent and organic carbon upto 10.8 per cent. Nutrient contents increased by 17.9, 44.7 and 18.2 per cent respectively for N, P and K on 90th day.

Gopal *et al.* (2009) documented an amplification in nine out of 15 microbial communities, particularly the plant beneficial ones in the vermicompost produced from coconut leaves + cow manure mixture compared to the five in the case of pure cow manure. The coconut leaves + cow manure mixture contained significantly high population of fungi, free-living N fixers, phosphate solubilizers, fluorescent pseudomonads and silicate solubilizers. Similar reports on enhanced microflora in composts have been documented (Ditta *et al.*, 2018; Karmegam *et al.*, 2012).

Agronomic effects of litter compost in crops

Composting provides as a fruitful disposable technology of the biowastes but the ultimate potential lies in its suitability as an organic input in crop production. Kale (1982) reported that vermicompost of guava leaf waste showed accelerated germination (100%), increased root length, shoot length, fresh and dry weight of shoots and roots and other yield responses in turmeric. Improved yields and nutrient uptake were documented in spinach with application of leaf litter compost (Chamle and Jadhav, 2007).

Experiments on the use of coconut leaf vermicompost as nutrient source in cowpea, bhindi and maize revealed that the application not only added nutrients to the soil, but also enriched the large and diverse pool of plant beneficial microorganisms (Gopal *et al.*, 2010). It was also documented that mixing coconut leaf vermicompost @ 20 per cent with soil helps in production of robust coconut seedlings (Thomas *et al.*, 2012).

Vermicomposted leaf litters were found ideal for inclusion in potting mixture for Eucalyptus (Aalok and Tripathi, 2013). Increased seedling germination and plant growth were recorded in soil to compost ratio of 1:2, while a higher proportion of litter compost decreased plant growth. In red gram, a higher plant dry weight and plant N uptake were recorded when mango leaf litter compost was used as the nutrient source (Vasanthi *et al.*, 2013). Similarly, Naikwade (2014) reported better uptake of nutrients and hence significant increase in growth and yield of fodder maize, reducing sugar content, N and crude protein content with application of leaf litter compost. In coconut, 25-50% of the N requirement of the palms could be effectively substituted with the vermicompost from coconut leaves (Maheswarappa *et al.*, 2014). Significant increases in the nut yield, nutritional status of the fronds and favourable influence on soil organic C and microbial population were illustrated.

Vermicomposted rubber litter was evaluated for its efficacy as nutrient source in pineapple (Chaudhuri *et al.*, 2016) and the results indicated the positive influence on the pineapple productivity. Nevertheless, the authors added that for better yields, an optimum amount of vermicompost is required beyond the level of which no further growth and yield occur inspite of increasing the amounts of

vermicompost. Thus plots fertilized with 20 Mg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ had significantly higher growth and yield than that in plots fertilized with 30 Mg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. Soil analysis post the application revealed increase in pH, EC, organic C and nutrient contents and lowered C: N in accordance with the increasing quantity of the compost applied.

According to Harishma (2017), substituting 50 % recommended dose of N (RDN) with coconut leaf litter, composted with liquid composting inoculum and earthworm, could realise higher yields and economic returns in Amaranthus. The thermochemical digests of jack and coconut leaves though recorded lower yields when compared to microbial/vermicomposted litters, could significantly improve the K content in soil. Premalatha *et al.* (2017) found that leaf litter compost @ 2.5 t ha⁻¹ and 75 % of recommended inorganic fertilizers in black gram could realise profitable yields and also reduced the cost of production. Yield attributes and vegetable pod yields (7.82 t ha⁻¹) were maximum with the inclusion of mango leaf litter composted with glyricidia leaves and earthworms in the integrated nutrient management strategy of cowpea, nearly 9.85% greater than the package of practices recommendation for the crop (Das *et al.*, 2020).

Isaac (2020) documented that recycling of the litter as vermicompost would ensure productive disposal of the biowaste and can be recommended in elephant foot yam as a nutrient source at 50% substitution of the RDN along with P and K as chemical fertilizers.

The documented literature provides adequate evidence on the potentials of leaf litter composts as a supplementary nutrient source in crop production.

CONCLUSION

Sustainable production calls for the use of renewable resources in agriculture minimizing environmental damages and maintaining soil health for better yields. Agroforestry systems on account of the woody perennials included offer multifaceted benefits and ecosystem services which uphold the practice as a solution to the ever rising risks of climate change effects. Leaf litter continues to be an untapped resource that has immense potential as an organic input in crop production. The physical and chemical properties of the litter assume prime concern and thus the efficacy of the recycling method will vary with the species. Nevertheless, it brings focus the vistas of using the neglected resource in agriculture as organic and eco-friendly management practices are being popularized globally.

Conflict of interest: None.

REFERENCES

- Aalok, A. and Tripathi, A.K. (2010). Composting- vermicomposting of different leaves using earthworm species *Eisenia fetida*. Dynamic Soil, Dynamic Plant. 4(1):139-144.
- Aalok, A. and Tripathi, A.K. (2013). Influence of different leaf litter vermicompost substitution on the growth of eucalyptus hybrid (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis* Dehn x *E. tereticornis*

- Sm). The Asian and Australian Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology. 6(1): 63-66.
- Abbasi, S.A. and Ramasamy, E.V. (2001). Solid Waste Management with Earthworms. Discovery Publishing House, New Delhi, India.
- Arisutha, S., Baredar, D., Deshpande, D.N., Suresh, S. (2014). Evaluation of methane from sisal leaf residue and palash leaf litter. Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India). Series E. 95: 105-110.
- Benito, M., Masaguer, A., Moliner, A., Arrigo, N., Palma, R.M. (2003). Chemical and microbiological parameters for the characterization of the stability and maturity of pruning waste compost. Biology and Fertility of Soils. 37: 184-189.
- Bhati, P., Nagar, R., Titov, A. (2019). Physiochemical analysis of sandalwood (i) leaf litters degraded by *Eisenia fetida* and *Eudrilus eugeniae*. International Annals of Science. 7(1): 6-11.
- Biddappa, C.C., Upadhyay, A.K., Hegde, M.R., Palaniswami, C. (1996). Organic matter recycling in plantation crops. Journal of Plantation Crops. 24(2): 71-85.
- Bonanomi, G., Ippolito, F., Scala, F. (2015). A "black" future for plant pathology? Biochar as a new soil amendment for controlling plant diseases. Journal of Plant Pathology. 97: 223-234.
- Bonanomi, G., Ippolito, F., Cesarano, G., Nanni, B., Lombardi, N., Rita, A., Saracino, A., Scala, F. (2017). Biochar as plant growth promoter: Better off alone or mixed with oaganic Amendments? Frontiers in Plant Science. 8: 1570. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01570.
- Borah, N., Barua, R., Nath, D., Hazarika, K., Phukon, A., Goswami, K. (2016). Low energy rice stubble management through *in situ* decomposition. Procedia Environmental Sciences. 35: 771-780.
- Borghain, A., Konwar, K., Buragohain, D., Varghese, S., Dutta, A.K., Paul, R.K., Khare, P., Karak, T. (2020). Temperature effect on biochar produced from tea [*Camellia sinensis* (L.)] pruning litters: A comprehensive treatise on physico-chemical and statistical approaches. Bioresource Technology. 318(11). Available: 10.1016/j.biortech. 2020.124023.
- Chamle, D.R. and Jadhav, B. (2007). Evaluation of leaf litter compost on yield and nutrient uptake of spinach. Journal of Phytological Research. 20: 219-223.
- Chanakya, H.N., Boghle, S., Arun, R.S. (2005). Field experience with leaf litter-based biogas plants. Energy for Sustainable Development. 9(2): 49-62.
- Chander, G., Wani, S.P., Gopalakrishnan, S., Mahapatra, A., Chaudhury, S., Pawar, C.S., Kaushal, M., Rao, A.V.R.K. (2018). Microbial consortium culture and vermi-composting technologies for recycling on-farm wastes and food production. International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture. 7: 99-108.
- Chaudhuri, P.S., Paul, T.K., Dey, D., Datta, M., Dey, S.K. (2016). Effects of rubber leaf litter vermicompost on earthworm population and yield of pineapple (*Ananas comosus*) in West Tripura, India. International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture. 5: 93-103.
- Ch'ng, H.Y., Ahmed, O.H., Majid, N.M.A. (2014). Biochar and compost influence the phosphorus availability, nutrients uptake and growth of maize [*Zea mays* (L.)] in tropical acid soil. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 51(4): 156-159.
- Coleman, D.C. and Crossley, D.A. (1996). Essentials of Soil Ecology. Academic Press Inc, Cambridge.
- Cuevas, E. and Medina, E. (1986). Nutrient Dynamics with in Amazonian Forests: Part 1, Nutrient Flux in Fine Litter Fall and Efficiency of Nutrient Utilization. Oecologia. 68: 466-472.
- Das, R. (2019). Nutrient Recycling of Selected Tree Leaf Litters in Homesteads. MSc thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur.
- Das, R., Isaac, S.R., Thampatti, M.K.C. (2020). Efficacy of enriched leaf litter compost as nutrient sources in vegetable cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* subsp. *unguiculata*). Journal of Soils and Crops. 30(1): 63-68.
- Das, T. and Das, A.K. (2010). Litter production and decomposition in the forested areas of traditional homegardens: A case study from Barak Valley, Assam, northeast India. Agroforestry Systems. 79: 157-170.
- Dickson, N., Richard, T., Kozlowski, R. (1991). Composting to Reduce the Waste Stream - A Guide to Small Scale Food and Yard Waste Composting. Northeast Regional Agricultural Engineering Service (NRAES), Cooperative Extension, Ithaca, New York.
- Ditta, A., Imtiaz, M., Mehmood, S., Rizwan, M.S., Mubeen, F., Aziz, O., Qian, Z., Ijaz, R., Tu, S. (2018). Rock phosphate-enriched organic fertilizer with phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms improves nodulation, growth and yield of legumes. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 49(21): 2715-2725.
- Divakar, M. and Prasanthrajan, M. (2019). Composting of tree leaf litter using fruit based effective microorganisms. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 8(4): 2663-2667.
- Dougherty, M. (1998). Composting for Municipalities: Planning and Design Considerations. Natural Resource, Agriculture and Engineering Service (NRAES), Corporate Extension, Ithaca, New York.
- Gajalakshmi, S., Ramasamy, E.V., Abbasi, S.A. (2005). Composting-vermicomposting of leaf litter ensuing from the trees of mango (*Mangifera indica*). Bioresource Technology. 96: 1057-1061.
- Ganesh, P.S., Gajalakshmi, S., Abbasi, S.A. (2009). Vermicomposting of the leaf litter of acacia (*Acacia auriculiformis*): Possible roles of reactor geometry, polyphenols and lignin. Bioresource Technology. 100: 1819-1827.
- Ganihar, S.R. (2003). Nutrient mineralization and leaf litter preference by the earthworm *Pontoscolex corethrurus* on iron ore mine wastes. Restoration Ecology. 11(4): 475-482.
- Gokavi, N., Sudharani, N., Sunilkumar, M.K., Ravi, Y., Pujari, R., Akshay K.R. (2016). Organic recycling - A new approach for nutrient management in coconut [*Cocos nucifera* (L.)] Research in Environment and Life Sciences. 9(1): 134-136.
- Gopal, M., Gupta, A., Hameed, K.S., Sathyaseelan, N., Rajeela, T.H.K., Thomas, G.V. (2020). Biochars produced from coconut palm biomass residues can aid regenerative agriculture by improving soil properties and plant yield in humid tropics. Biochar. 2: 211-226.
- Gopal, M., Gupta, A., Palaniswami, D.R., Thomas, G.V. (2010). Coconut leaf vermiwash: A bio-liquid from coconut leaf vermicompost for improving the crop production capacities of soil. Current Science. 98(9): 1202-1210.

- Gopal, M., Gupta, A., Sunil, E., Thomas, G.V. (2009). Amplification of plant beneficial microbial communities during the conversion of coconut leaf substrate to vermicompost by *Eudrilus* sp. *Current Microbiology*. 59: 15-20.
- Goyal, S. and Sindhu, S.S. (2011). Composting of rice straw using different inocula and analysis of compost quality. *Microbiology Journal*. 4: 126-138.
- Guerra-Rodríguez, E., Vazquez, M., Diaz-Ravina, M. (2000). Co-composting of barley waste and solid poultry manure. *Bioresource Technology*. 75: 223-225.
- Guerra-Rodríguez, E., Vazquez, M., Diaz-Ravina, M. (2001). Dynamics of physicochemical and biological parameters during the co-composting of chest nut burr/leaf litter with solid poultry manure. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 81: 648-652.
- Gurumurthy, S.B., Patil, S.V., Shankarappa, T.H., Prashantha, A. (2018). Bioconversion of arecanut husk; residue to compost via microbial consortia. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 7(8): 2883-2888.
- Hari, T.K. and Sushama, P.K. (2005). Application of vermitechnology. *Agrobios News Letter*. 4(1): 27-28.
- Harishma, S.J. (2017). Leaf Litter Recycling in Homestead Agroforestry Systems. MSc thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur.
- Hashmi, S., Younis, U., Danish, S., Munir, T.M. (2019). [*Pongamia pinnata* (L.)] leaves biochar increased growth and pigments syntheses in [*Pisum sativum* (L.)] exposed to nutritional stress. *Agriculture*. 9(7): 153. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture.9070153>.
- Hobbie, S.E. (1996). Effects of plant species on nutrient cycling. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*. 7: 336-339.
- International Biochar Initiative [IBI]. (2012). Standardized product definition and product testing guidelines for biochar that is used in soil. Ibi Biochar Standards. <http://www.biochar-international.org/characterizationstandard>.
- Isaac, S.R. (2018). Nutrient management in elephant foot yam (*Amorphophallus paeoniifolius* Dennst. Nicolson) with vermicomposted leaf litter. *Journal of Research ANGRAU*. 46(3): 84-87.
- Isaac, S.R. and Nair, M.A. (2005). Biodegradation of leaf litter in the warm humid tropics of Kerala, India. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 37: 1656-1664.
- Jayanthi, B., Ambiga, G., Neelanarayanan, P. (2010). Utilization of mixed leaves litter for converting into vermicompost by using an epigeic earthworm *Eudrilus eugeniae*. *Nature Environment and Pollution Technology*. 9(4): 763-766.
- Kalaivanan, D., Adiga, J.D., Ramapura, R.L. (2017). Compost from cashew leaf litter and cashew apple waste. *Kisan World*. 44(11): 48-50.
- Kale, R.D., Bano, K., Krishnamoorthy, R.V. (1982). Potential of *Perionyx excavatus* for utilizing organic wastes. *Pedobiologia*. 23: 419-425.
- Karmegam, N., Karthikeyan, V., Ambika, D. (2012). Vermicomposting of sugarcane trash and leaf litter in combination with pressmud using the earthworm, *Perionyx ceylanensis*. *Dynamic Soil, Dynamic Plant*. 6(1): 57-64.
- Konaka, T., Yabuta, S., Mazereku, C., Kawamitsu, Y., Tsujimoto, H., Ueno, M., Akashi, K. (2019). Use of carbonized fallen leaves of [*Jatropha curcas* (L.)] as a soil conditioner for acidic and undernourished soil. *Agronomy*. 9(5): 236. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9050236>.
- Kumar, K.V., Sridevi, V., Rani, K., Sakunthala, M., Kumar, C.S. (2013). A review on production of biogas, fundamentals, applications and its recent enhancing techniques. *Elixir Chemical Engineering*. 57(2013): 14073-14079.
- Kumar, S., Malav, L.C., Malav, M.K., Khan, S.A. (2015). Biogas slurry: Source of nutrients for eco-friendly agriculture. *International Journal of Extensive Research*. 2: 42-46.
- Liew, L.N., Shi, J., Li, Y. (2011). Enhancing the solid-state anaerobic digestion of fallen leaves through simultaneous alkaline treatment. *Bioresource Technology*. 102(19): 8828-8834.
- Liu, P., Sun, O.J., Huang, J., Li, L., Han, X. (2007). Non additive effects of litter mixtures on decomposition and correlation with initial N and P concentrations in grassland plant species of northern China. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 44(2): 211-216.
- Maheswarappa, H.P., George, V., Thomas, S., Gupta, A., Bhat, R., Palniswami, P. (2014). Productivity and nutrient status of coconut (*Cocos nucifera*) as influenced by integrated nutrient management with vermicomposted coconut leaves. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 59(3): 455-459.
- Manna, M.C., Jha, S., Ghosh, P.K., Acharya, L. (2003). Comparative efficacy of three epigeic earthworms under different deciduous forest litters decomposition. *Bioresource Technology*. 88(3): 197-206.
- Minamino, Y., Fujitake, N., Suzuki, T., Yoshitake, S., Koizumi, H., Tomotsune, M. (2019). Effect of biochar addition on leaf litter decomposition at soil surface during three years in a warm temperate secondary deciduous forest, Japan. *Scientific Reports*. 9: 16961. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53615-2>.
- Mini, C., John, P.S., Sushama, P.K. (2005). Vermicompost preparation from the organic wastes of cashew garden. In: Proc. of First Indian Horticulture Congress. New Delhi, November 6-9, 2004, pp. 263.
- Moosa, P.P. (1997). Leaf litter dynamics in Acacia and Eucalyptus plantations. PhD thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur.
- Moss, L.H., Epstein, E., Logan, T. (2002). Evaluating Risks and Benefits of Soil Amendments Used in Agriculture. WERF Report (99-PUM-1), Water Environment Research Foundation. 90p.
- Muhammad, M.B. and Chandra, R. (2021). Enhancing biogas and methane production from leaf litter of neem by co-digestion with vegetable waste: Focus on the effect of tannin. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 147: 106007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2021.106007>.
- Mushan, L.C. and Rao, K.R. (2012). Physico-chemical analysis of tendu leaf litter vermicompost processed by *Eudrilus eugeniae*. *DAV International Journal of Science*. 1(2): 100- 102.
- Nagalakshmi, P.K. and Prakash, M.A. (2016). Microcosm study of cast and gut of an epigeic earthworm *Perionyx ceylanensis* reared on different substrates. *International Journal of Current Trends in Pharmacobiology and Medical Sciences*. 1(2): 45-51.
- Nagar, R., Titov, A., Bhati, P. (2017). Vermicomposting of green eucalyptus leaf litter by *Eisenia foetida* and *Eudrilus eugeniae*. *International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology*. 2(6): 2811-2818.

- Nagar, R., Titov, A., Bhati, P. (2018). Prolific utilization of earthworm species to convert green leaf of jamun (Black Plum) into soil nutrient. *Academy of Agriculture Journal*. 3(2): 240-245.
- Nagar, R., Titov, A., Bhati, P. (2019). Vermicomposting of dry leaf litter of Palash (Bastard teak) tree (*Butea monosperma*). *International Journal of Advanced Science and Research*. 4(1): 1-6.
- Naik, S.K., Maurya, S., Mukherjee, D., Singh, A.K., Bhatt, B.P. (2018). Rates of decomposition and nutrient mineralization of leaf litter from different orchards under hot and dry sub-humid climate. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*. 64(4): 560-573.
- Naikwade, P. (2014). Effect of litter compost on yield and nutrient content of [*Zea mays* (L.)]. *Science Research Reporter*. 4(1): 79-84.
- Nair, P.K.R. (1983). Agroforestry with Coconut and Other Tropical Plantations Crops. In: *Plant Research and Agroforestry* (ed. Huxley, P.A.). ICRAF, Nairobi, pp. 79-102.
- Nampoothiri, K.U.K. (2001). Organic farming- Its relevance to plantation crops. *Journal of Plantation Crops*. 29(1): 1-9.
- Ndegwa, P.M. and Thompson, S.A. (2001). Integrating composting and vermicomposting in the treatment of bioconversion of biosolids. *Bioresource Technology*. 76: 107-112.
- Novak, J.M., Busscher, W.J., Watts, D.W., Amonette, J.E., Ippolito, J.A., Lima, I.M., Gaskin, J., Das, K.C., Steiner, C., Ahmedna, M., Rehrh, D., Schomberg, H. (2012). Biochars impact on soil-moisture storage in an ultisol and two aridisols. *Soil Science*. 177(5): 310-320.
- Novinsak, A., Surette, C., Allain, C., Filion, M. (2008). Application of molecular technologies to monitor the microbial content of biosolids and composted biosolids. *Water Science and Technology*. 57: 471-477.
- O'Connell, A.M. and Sankaran, K.V. (1997). Organic Matter Accretion, Decomposition and Mineralisation. In: *Management of Soil, Nutrient and Water in Tropical Plantation Forests* [(eds.) Nambiar, E.K., Sadanadan, Brown, A.G.]. ACIAR Monograph No. 43: 443-480.
- Oleszczuk, P., Rycaj, M., Lehmann, J., Cornelissen, G. (2012). Influence of activated carbon and biochar on phytotoxicity of air-dried sewage sludges to *Lepidium sativum*. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*. 80: 321-326.
- Oo, A.Z, Win, K.T., Basalirwa, D., Gonai, T., Sudo, S. (2020). Autumn leaf litter and its biochar amendment on soil nitrous oxide emission, plant growth and nutrient uptake of komatsuna and spinach grown in potted soils. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*. 12(2): 26-37.
- Parthasarathi, K., Balamurugan, M., Prashija, K.V., Jayanthi, L., Basha, S.A. (2016). Potential of *Perionyx excavatus* (Perrier) in lignocellulosic solid waste management and quality vermifertilizer production for soil health. *International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture*. 5: 65-86.
- Parthibhan, K.T., Krishnakumar, N., Karthick, M. (2018). *Introduction to Forestry and Agroforestry*. Scientific Publishers, Jodhpur, India.
- Pattnaik, S. and Reddy, M.V. (2010). Nutrient status of vermicompost of urban green waste processed by three earthworm species - *Eisenia foetida*, *Eudrilus eugeniae* and *Perionyx excavatus*. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science*. 13: 652-671.
- Petric, I., Sestan, A., Sestan, I. (2009). Influence of wheat straw addition on composting of poultry manure. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*. 87(3): 206-212.
- Prabhu, S.R., Subramanian, P., Bidappa, C.C., Boppaiah, B.M. (1998). Prospects of improving coconut productivity through vermiculture technologies. *Indian Coconut Journal*. 29: 79-84.
- Prakash, M. and Karmegam, N. (2010). Dynamics of nutrients and microflora during vermicomposting of mango leaf litter (*Mangifera indica*) using *Perionyx ceylanensis*. *International Journal of Global Environmental Issues*. 10: 339-353.
- Premalatha, N., Sundaram, S.P., Anandham, R., Krishnamoorthy, R., Gopal, N.O. (2017). Composting of organic waste materials using the bacterial consortium and its effect on plant growth promotion. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 7(3): 4751-4756.
- Priya, M.S. and Prabha M.L. (2011). Vermicomposting of leaf litter by *Lampito mauritti* with a note on its physico-chemical features. *International Journal of ChemTech Research*. 3: 1956-1961.
- Ranalli, G., Botturea, G., Taddei, P., Garavni, M., Marchetti, R., Sorlini, G. (2001). Composting of solid and sludge residues from agricultural and food industries. Bioindicators of monitoring and compost maturity. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health*. 36(4): 415-436.
- Sajnanath, K. and Sushama, P.K. (2004). Recycling of bio-waste through vermicomposting. *Agrobios News Letter*. 3(3): 33-35.
- Sandeep, Singh, D., Yadav, J., Urmila. (2017). Assessment of nutrient status of vermicompost of leaf litter using *Eisenia fetida*. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 5(2): 1135-1137.
- Sanmanee, N., Panishkan, K., Obsuwan, K., Dharmvanij, S. (2011). Study of compost maturity during humification process using UV-spectroscopy. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*. 80: 403-405.
- Sharma, R., Palled, V., Sharma-Shivappa, R.R. (2013). Potential of potassium hydroxide pretreatment of switch grass for fermentable sugar production. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*. 169(3): 761-772.
- Shouche, S., Bhati, P., Jain, S.K. (2014). Recycling wastes into valuable organic fertilizer: Vermicomposting. *International Journal for Researches in Biosciences, Agriculture and Technology*. 2(2): 520- 527.
- Sinegani, S., Emtiazi, G., Hajrasuliha, S., Shariatmada, H. (2005). Biodegradation of some agricultural residues by fungi in agitated submerged cultures. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 4: 1058-1061.
- Steger, K., Sjogren, A.M., Jarvis, A., Jansson, J.K., Sundh, I. (2007). Development of compost maturity and actinobacteria populations during full-scale composting of organic household waste. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. 103: 487-498.
- Sudharmaidevi, C.R., Thampatti, K.C.M., Saifudeen, N. (2016). Rapid production of organic fertilizer from degradable waste by thermochemical processing. *International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture*. 6: 1-11.
- Sundarapandian, S.M. and Swamy, P.S. (1999). Litter production and leaf-litter decomposition of selected tree species in

- tropical forests at Kodayar in the western ghats, India. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 123(2-3): 231-244.
- Sushama, P.K., Smitha, J.K., Gopinathan, R. (2010). Chemistry of Composting. Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur.
- Thangaraj, R. (2015). Leaf litter waste management by vermicomposting using local and exotic earthworm species. *Journal of Science*. 5: 603-607.
- Thomas, G.V., Palaniswami, C., Prabhu, S.R., Gopal, M., Gupta, A. (2013). Co-composting of coconut coirpith with solid poultry manure. *Current Science*. 104: 246-250.
- Thomas, G.V., Palaniswami, C., Gopal, M., Gupta, A. (2012). Recycling coconut leaf-agro wastes mixture using *Eudrilus* sp. and growth promotion properties of coconut leaf vermicompost. *International Journal of Innovative Horticulture*. 1: 113-117.
- Thomas, G.V., Prabhu, S.R., Reeny, M.Z., Bopaiah, B.M. (1998). Evaluation of lignocellulosic biomass from coconut palm as substrate for cultivation of *Pleurotus sajor-caju* (Fr) Singer. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 14: 879-882.
- Van Zwieten, L., Kimber, S., Morris, S., Chan, K.Y., Downie, A., Rust, J., Joseph S., Cowie, A. (2010). Effects of biochar from slow pyrolysis of paper mill waste on agronomic performance and soil fertility. *Plant and Soil*. 327: 235-246.
- Vasanthi, K., Chairman, K., Singh, R. (2013). Vermicomposting of leaf litter ensuing from the trees of mango (*Mangifera indica*) and guava (*Psidium guajava*) leaves. *International Journal of Advanced Research*. 1(3): 33-38.
- Verchot, L.V., Van Noordwijk, M., Kandji, S., Tomich, T., Ong, C., Albrecht, A., Mackensen, J., Bantilan, C., Anupama, K.V., Palm, C. (2007). Climate change: Linking adaptation and mitigation through agroforestry. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*. 12: 901-918.
- Vikman, M., Karjomaa, S., Kapanen, A., Wallenius, K., Itavaara, M. (2002). The influence of lignin content and temperature on the biodegradation of lignocellulose in composting conditions. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 59(4): 591-598.
- Wang, C.M., Changa, C.M., Watson, M.E., Dick, W.A., Chen, Y., Hoitink, H.A.J. (2004). Maturity indices of composted dairy and pig manures. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 36: 767-776.
- Warnock, D.D., Lehmann, J., Kuyper, T.W., Rillig, M.C. (2007). Mycorrhizal responses to biochar in soil - concepts and mechanisms. *Plant and Soil*. 300: 9-20.
- Wu, L. and Ma, L. Q. (2002). Relationship between compost stability and extractable organic carbon. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 31: 1323-1328.