



puk QI y eaQyh Nnd dhV *Helicoverpa armigera* L.½dk tñod fu; æ.k

ckwkyk tW] fuf/lj xkhp fl g] i qik dëkor

—f'k foKku dñh] ukxj&ij ukxj —f'k fo"fo |ky;] tñkij&342 304] jktLFkk] HkkjrA

iklr%tu 2021

Lohdr%tu 2021

I kjlk

puk QI y eaQyh Nnd dhV *Helicoverpa armigera* L.½fu; æ.k dk v/; ; u ukxj ftysdsdñ fdl kuka ds [kr ij vkñ QkeZijh{k.k dsek/; e l scgrj i ksj kfxdh ½Pheromone trap @ 5/ha + Coriander row (7/8:1) + HaNPV @ 250 LE/ha½ dk i Hkko tkuusdsfy; } o'kZ 2020&21 eaf; k x; ka bl ~kksk eairk pyk fd in"ku i ksj kfxdh ea 197.83 Doh/y@gDV\$ j puk mRiknu gq/ka in"ku i ksj kfxdh dsdkj.k puk QI y mRiknu ea 34.11 çfr"kr dh c<krjh gñA çn"ku çkSj kfxdh ea "kq ykHk #i; s67036@gDV\$ j iklr gq/ka fdl ku i) fr ea "kq ykHk #i; s44525@gDV\$ j iklr gq/ka ykxr ykHk vuq kr Hk çn"ku çkSj kfxdh ea 3.26 iklr gq/ka fdl ku i) fr eaykxr ykHk vuq kr 2.60 iklr gq/ka 'kñ dëkor] Qyh Nnd dhVA

Bhartiya Krishi Anushandhan Patrika, 36(1): 29-31

Bio-rational Management of Pod Borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* L.) in Chickpea Crop

B.L. Jat, Nidhi, Gopichand Singh, Pushpa Kumawat

Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Nagaur-I, Nagaur Agriculture University, Jodhpur-342 304, Rajasthan, India.

Received: June 2021

Accepted: June 2021

ABSTRACT

On Farm Testing of Pheromone trap @ 5/ha + Coriander row (7/8:1) + HaNPV @ 250 LE/ha against pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* L.) management was assessed on farmers field in Nagaur district during 2020-21. Trial was conducted on 10 farmer's field to know the impact of said technology on chickpea pod borer management. In the demonstrated technologies the chickpea seed production 19.83 q/ha. The percent seed yield increase over the farmer's practices was 34.11%. The net return in demonstration technology was ` 67036/ha and the same was ` 44525/ha. The incremental benefit cost ratio was 3.26 in demonstration technology as compared to farmer's/ local check 2.60 respectively.

Key words: Chickpea, *Helicoverpa armigera*, Pod borer.

çLrkouk

Hkkjr nsk eanyguh QI yka eam rFkk vjgj QI y ds ðkn puk ,d eç; mi; kxh nky okyh QI y gñ puk QI y nsk dsfofHku Hkxkaeal nh] oaxehznkuka__r/kae ckbZ tkh gñ fo"o ds puk {ks= eaHkkjr nsk dk fks=Qy ½0.6 yk[k gDV\$ j ½rFkk mRiknu ½8.83 yk[k Vu½dh nf'V l s l cl sT; knk fgLI k gñ Hkkjr nsk ea puk QI y dh vk\$ r mi t 940 fdyks i fr gDV\$ j vkdh xbZgñ fo"o ds

dy mRiknu ea 65 i fr"kr mRiknu vdyk Hkkjr nsk mRiknu djrk gñ nsk eafofHku nyguh QI yka dsmRiknu eapuk QI y dk Hkx l cl sT; knk 46 çfr"kr gñ nsk dsfofHku jkT; kaeajktLFkk jkT; dk fgLI k djhc 14 çfr"kr gñ puk QI y dsde mRiknu dk dkj.k —kdkaeuohure rdudka dsKku dk vHkko gñk çedk gñ bl ds l kFk gh puk QI y eaQyh Nnd dhV dk i dki gñk ,d eç; dkj.k gñ puk QI y eaQyh Nnd dhV dsi dki dsdkj.k mRikndrk

*Corresponding author's E-mail: bljat.hau@gmail.com

eaHkkjh deh n[^h xbzgs¹/l g vls ; kno] 2009 1/4pk^hkh] oa vU;] 2013/1 Qyh Nnd dhV ijsn^sk eaizdki djrk gs rFkk bl dsizdki dsdkj.k 50.60 ifr"kr rd dh deh vk tkrh gs%ckfydkb] oavU;] 2001/1 Qyh Nnd dhV fujrj [kkrk gsvls cht vldj.k l sydj Ql y idusrd uq^ll ku djrk gA Qyh Nnd dhV dh o; Ld yV vius^thou dky eayxHkx 30 l s40 Qfy; kadks [kkdj u'V dj nrh gs%dekj , oajk?ko] 2018/1 —'kd rduhdkaI spuk mRiknu eacgr gh deh vkrh gStksfd cgrj rduhd viukdj nj dh tk l drh gA blghal c ckrk^lcdks/; ku eaj [kdj vkUu Qkezi jh{k.k dsek/; e l spuk Ql y eaQyh Nnd dhV 1/4*Helicoverpa armigera* L.1/2 fu; a.k dk v/; ; u cgrj iks] kfxdh 1/4Pheromone trap @ 5/ha + Coriander row (7/8:1) + HaNPV @ 250 LE/ha1/2 dsek/; e l sçLrkfor fd; k x; kA

l lexh , oai jh{k.k fof/k

; g v/; ; u —f'k foKku d[æ] ukx^lg&l ds }kjk ukx^lg ftyseao'kz2020&21 dsnk^lku vkUu Qkezi jh{k.k dsek/; e l spuk Ql y eaQyh Nnd dhV 1/4*Helicoverpa armigera* L-) fu; a.k dk v/; ; u cgrj iks] kfxdh (Pheromone trap @ 5/ha + Coriander row (7/8:1)1/4HaNPV @ 250 LE/h1/2 ds ek/; e l sfd; k x; kA bl v/; ; u eafdl ku rduhdka dsfo:) cgrj rduhd dk eW; kadu fd; k x; kA —f'k foKku d[æ] }kjk çnf"kr dh xbzrduhdarFkk fdl ku }kjk viukbz xbz rduhdafuEuku^l kj g&&

—f'k foKku dæ }kjk çnf"kr dh xbzrduhda

- Qyh Nnd dhV fu; a.k dsfy, t[od dhVuk"kd 1/4Pheromone trap @ 5/ha + Coriander row (7/8:1) + HaNPV @ 250 LE/h1/2 —f'k fuonuk dk mi; kx
- mPp xqkoUkk ; eä cht 1/4th , u th&1958%dh f"kQkfj "ka
- cht dh drkjkaeagh c^lkbz djuk rFkk drkj l sdrkj dh njh 30 l 1/4hehVj j [kus dh f"kQkfj "ka
- tM+xyu , oam[kV^l jkx dsçHkkoh , oat[od fu; a.k grqVtbzdkMekz t[od QQn 1/6&8 xke çr fdys^lxke cht1/2 l scht mipkj djus dh f"kQkfj "ka
- jkbtksç; e thok.kq l 1/4kU l scht dk mipkj djus dh f"kQkfj "ka
- ih&, l &ch& l 1/4kU l scht mipkj djus dh f"kQkfj "ka
- Qyh Nnd dhV fu; a.k dsfy, , ekesDVu catk, V 5 , l &th& 1/4100 xke çr gDV^s j 1/2 dk mi; kx

fdl kula }kjk viukbz tkusokyh rduhda

- Qyh Nnd dhV fu; a.k dsfy, vl e^llr nokbz dk mi; kx djukA

- ijkuk vls fuEu x.koUkk ; eä cht dk mi; kx djukA
- cht dksfNVd dj chtkbz djukA
- chtki pkj dh tkudkj u gkukA
- tM+xyu , oam[kV^l jkx l scplo dsfy, chtki pkj u djukA
- jkbtksç; e vls ih&, l &ch& thok.kq l 1/4kU l scht mipkj u djukA
- [kjirokj uk"kd nokbz dk mi; kx u djukA

ifj. ke , oafopuk

—f'k foKku dæ }kjk o'kz 2020&21 eafd, x, v/; ; u l sirk Kkr g^lrk dh vkUu Qkezi jh{k.k çn"ku dsek/; e l s cgrj çnf"kr rduhd eafdl ku dh vls r mit 19.83 fDvY ifr gDV^s j 1/4rkfydk 1% iklr g^lz rFkk bl ds foijhr fdl ku i) fr eavls r mit 14.85 fDvY ifr gDV^s FkA i fu; k , oafi fFk; k 1/2011% rFkk tkV , oavU; 1/2019% dsvu^l kj ; fn ubzrduhdka t[od sQyh Nnd dhV fu; a.k dsfy, t[od dhVuk"kdka dk mi; kx fd; k tkos rks fdl ku vf/kd l s vf/kd mit çklr dj l drs gA vkfFkd fo"ysk.k ds v/; ; u dsvk/kkj ij ; g Kkr g^lrk dh çnf"kr rduhd eafdl ku dh d^ly l dy vk; çr gDV^s j ea: i ; s96681 çr gDV^s j FkA ; gh l dy vk; fdl ku i) fr ea: i ; s72369 çr gDV^s j FkA çnf"kr rduhd ea Qyh Nnd dhV dk çdki Hkh fdl ku i) fr dh rgyuk ea cgr de 1/409&07 ifr"kr1/2 Fkk ft l dsdkj.k "kq ykHk Hkh çnf"kr rduhd eafdl ku i) fr l sdghaT; knk FkA çnf"kr rduhd ea ; g "kq ykHk #i ; s67036 çr gDV^s j FkA 1/4rkfydk 1/4 fdl ku i) fr ea ; g "kq ykHk : i ; s44525 çr gDV^s j FkA pk^lkh o l pu 1/4995% ds v/; ; u l s ; g fl) gkrk gsfD Qyh Nnd dhV dk l e^lpr fu; a.k djus ij vls r mit rFkk "k) ykHk eac<krjh glrh gA fdl ku dks viuh rduhd l s ; g ykHk çr gDV^s j de iklr g^lrk tksfd çnf"kr rduhd dh rgyuk eadghade FkA çnf"kr rduhd eaykx^lr ykHk vu^lqr 3.26 FkA oghabl dsfoijhr ; g ykx^lr ykHk vu^lqr fdl ku rduhd ea2.60 FkA bl h çdkj dekjh , oavU; 1/2015% rFk i Vy , oavU; 1/2002% usHk i k; k dh Qyh Nnd dhV dk çdki Pheromone trap @ 10/ha + Bt + HaNPV @ 250 LE/ha mipkj eacgr gh de 1/3&63 ifr"kr1/2 gkrk gS rFkk puk Ql y mRiknu eac<krjh glrh gA

mi l gkj

mijka v/; ; u l sKkr g^lrk dh —f'k foKku d[æ] }kjk o'kz 2021&21 eavkUu Qkezi jh{k.k dsek/; e l spuk Ql y ea Qyh Nnd dhV 1/4*Helicoverpa armigera* L.1/2 fu; a.k dk

Table 1: Evaluation of pheromone trap and *HaNPV* against chickpea pod borer.

S. No.	Technology option	No. of trials	Infestation of pod borer (%)	Yield (q/ha)	% Increase in yield over farmer's practice	Cost of cultivation (₹/ha)	Gross return (₹/ha)	Net return (₹/ha)	Benefit cost ratio
T ₁	Spray of insecticides (Monocrotophos 36SL @ 2 ml/lit; Farmers Practice)	10	27.06	14.85	-	27844	72369	44525	2.60
T ₁	Installation of pheromone @ 5/ha + foliar application of <i>HaNPV</i> @ 250LE + Coriander row (7/8:1)		09.07	19.83	34.11	29645	96681	67036	3.26

v/; ; u cgrj i kS kfxdh ½Pheromone trap @ 5/ha + Coriander row (7/8:1) + *HaNPV* @ 250 LE/ha dsek/; e l sQyh Nnd dhV dk i dki cgr de glrk gSrFkk Ql y mRi knu eac<krjh glrk gA cñf"kr rduhd eafdl kukadh puk Ql y dh mit eadkQh btkQk gvk tksfd ykxr ykthk vuqkr l sKkr gksjgk gA bl v/; ; u l s; g irk pyrk gSdh fdl ku -f'k foKku dñæ dsoKkfudka }kjk cñf"kr dh tkusokyh rduhdka dks viukdj o crkbz tkus okyh fl Qkfj"ka dks [kr ea ykxq djds vf/kd l s vf/kd mRi knu çktr dj l drs gSrFkk n'sk eapush Ql y dk mRi knu c<k l drsgft l l sfid n'sk nygu l Ei wZcu l dA

l mHk

- Balikai, R.A., Biradar, A.P., Yelshetty, S. and Teggelli, R.G. (2001). Relative efficacy of some selected insecticides against chickpea pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.). Kar J. Agril Sci. 14: 346-348.
- Choudhary, R.R.P. and R.B. Sachan (1995). Comparative efficacy and economics of some insecticides against gram pod borer, *Heliothis armigera* (Hubner) in chickpea in western plain of Uttar Pradesh. Bharatiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika. 10(4): 159-164.
- Choudhury, R.A., Rizvi, P.Q., Ali, A. and Ahmad, S.K. (2013). Age specific life table of *Helicoverpa armigera* on *Cicer arietinum* under natural conditions. Ann Plant Prot. Sci. 21: 57-61.
- Jat, B.L., Jat, A.S., Nidhi, Choudhary, H.R. and Sharma, B. (2019). Impact of improved technology on chickpea production through cluster front line demonstration on farmers field. Bharatiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika. 34(3-4): 260-262.
- Kumar, U. and Raghav, R.S. (2018). Integrated Pest Management of chickpea pod borer-A study. Bharatiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika. 33(4): 275-278.
- Kumari, K., Kumar, A., Saha, T., Goswami, T.N. and Singh, S.N. (2015). Biointensive management of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) in chickpea. J. Eco. Fri. Agric. 10(1): 50-52.
- Patel, M.G., Bharopoda, T. M., Patel, J.J., Chavda, A.J. and Patel, J.R. (2002). Evaluation of various modules for IPM in pigeonpea. Indian J. Ent. 64: 39-43.
- Poonia, T.C. and Pithia, M.S. (2011). Impact of front line demonstrations on chickpea in Gujarat. Legume Research. 34 (4): 304-307.
- Singh, S.S. and Yadav, S.K. (2009). Comparative efficacy of insecticides, biopesticides and neem formulations against *Helicoverpa armigera* on chickpea. Ann Plant Prot. Sci. 15: 299-302.