Cicer arietinum L. (Chickpea): A Mini Review

Saeed Mohsenzadeh¹

10.18805/ag.RF-269

ABSTRACT

Cicer arietinum (chickpea) is an annual herbaceous crop and the world's third most important food legume, belonging to the genus *Cicer*. Chickpea is rich in carbohydrates, proteins and bioactive compounds. A variety of climatic and environmental conditions influence chickpea growth, development and grain yield. Its significance and utilization for several health diseases have been cited in ancient manuscripts and the Ayurvedic system of medicine. Determining the origin and dispersal routes of this plant has been one of the most interesting issues among botanists. This review gives an outline of the available literature on morphological characteristics, origin, habitat features, nutritional compositionand medicinal uses of the chickpea. Chickpea is cultivated in a wide variety of agroecological conditions worldwide, especially in arid and semi-arid climates. It is susceptible to soil type, soil pH, waterlogging, temperature (drought and cold), annual rainfall, salinity, high boron, insect and pathogen attacks, herbicidesand weeds, especially broad-leafed weeds. Chickpea originated in the Mediterranean/Fertile Crescent from *Cicer reticulatum* through mutants and spread to Central Asia and likely in parallel from Central Asia to South Asia (India) and East Africa (Ethiopia). It is a chief source of sustainable, inexpensive protein, also abundant in complex carbohydrates, fatty acids, isoflavones, vitamins, minerals and dietary fiber. *Cicer arietinum* possesses several medicinally significant activities such as antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-hypercholesterolemia, anti-hepatotoxicity, anti-hyperglycemia, anti-cancer and nephrolithiasis.

Key words: Botany, Cicer arietinum, Habitat, Medicinal uses, Nutritional composition, Origin.

Cicer arietinum L. (chickpea) is an annual herbaceous crop (OGTR, 2019) and the world's third most important food legume (after the common bean and filed pea) belonging to the genus Cicer L. (Nathawat et al., 2024). The Latin words Cicer and arietinum were taken from the Greek words Kikus meaning 'force of strength' and Krios referring to ram, respectively (Van Der Maesen, 1972, Sajja et al., 2017). The English word, chickpea, was derived from chickpea, referring to Cicer-pea (Sajja et al., 2017). Also, chickpea is called nakhut, naut, or nohot in Iran, Afghanistan, Turkey, Romania, Bulgaria and in parts of the Soviet Union, garbanzo in Spanish-speaking countries and the US and chana or (Bengal) gram in India (Van Der Maesen, 1987; OGTR, 2019). It is a self-pollinating diploid (2n=2x=16) pulse crop with a 738 Mbp genome size (Madurapperumage et al., 2021). Insects such as bumblebees (Bombus spp.), honey bees (Apis spp.) and wild bees are reported to visit chickpea flowers, but rarely mediate cross-pollination (OGTR, 2019). Seeds in chickpea are dispersed by humans, animals (e.g. pig feces), insects (e.g. ants and dung beetles), birds (e.g. emus), strong winds and heavy rains (OGTR, 2019).

The chickpea is the only domesticated and cultivated species in the genus *Cicer* (Arriagada *et al.*, 2022). The chickpea is believed to have originated in the Mediterranean/ Fertile Crescent, where the chickpea was domesticated and later spread to the secondary centers of diversity: Central Asia, South Asia (India) and East Africa (Ethiopia) (Varshney *et al.*, 2019). It is now widely distributed, being grown in different regions of the world, including the West, South and Center of Asia, Australia, North and East of Africa, Southern Europe, North and South and Center of America (Croser *et al.*, 2003a). ¹Department of Plant Sciences and Biotechnology, Faculty of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran.

Corresponding Author: Saeed Mohsenzadeh, Department of Plant Sciences and Biotechnology, Faculty of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran. Email: s mohsenzadeh@yahoo.com

How to cite this article: Mohsenzadeh, S. (2024). *Cicer arietinum* L. (Chickpea): A Mini Review. Agricultural Reviews. 45(3): 430-438. doi: 10.18805/ag.RF-269.

Submitted: 01-05-2023 Accepted: 22-05-2024 Online: 22-07-2024

The chickpea is cultivated in a wide variety of agroecological conditions worldwide, especially in arid and semi-arid climates (Gayacharan et al., 2020). Chickpea production faces various abiotic stresses during its life cycle such as drought, cold, terminal heat, salinity, water logging, acidity and metal toxicity stresses (Jha et al., 2014) and biotic stresses such as uncontrolled weeds (e.g. Chenopodium album L. and Phalaris minor Retz.) (Singh et al., 2020) and insect (e.g. Helicoverpa armigera L.) (Jat et al., 2021) and pathogen attacks (e.g. Ascochyta rabiei and Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. ciceris) (Reddy and Singh, 1984, Sankar et al., 2022). Chickpea is now farmed on over 13.7 million hectares, with an annual production of 14.3 million tons in 2019 (Xiao et al., 2022) and India is the largest producer and consumer of chickpea in the World with a cultivable area of 8.84 million ha and 8.29 million tons of production (Jayalakshmi et al., 2019) and is credited for about 67% of the world's chickpea production (Bhardwaj et al., 2022). There are two distinct types of chickpea genotypes, kabuli and desi, primarily based on shape, color and seed size (Kalve and Tadege, 2017).

The production is high in desi type, about 80 per cent and 20 per cent in *kabuli* (Karthikeyan *et al.*, 2021). Desi chickpea is mainly produced in countries like India, Australia, Pakistan, Bangladesh and Myanmar, while kabuli chickpea is mainly produced in Iran, Canada, Turkey, Ethiopia and Mexico (Karthikeyan *et al.*, 2021).

Cicer arietinum is highly valued in the cropping system for its effects on soil health, especially in rotation with cereals (Kagan and Kayan, 2014, Asati *et al.*, 2024) and as a legume, it improves soil fertility by fixing atmospheric nitrogen (N2), meeting up to 85% of its N requirement from symbiotic N2 fixation (Zorawar and Guriqbal, 2018, Kaur *et al.*, 2022). It leaves notable amounts of producing N for subsequent crops and adds organic matter to preserve and augment soil health and fertility (Gaur *et al.*, 2010).

Chickpea is one of the earliest grain crops cultivated by man (Croser *et al.*, 2003b). It is traditionally commercialized as seed, flour, or canned foods (Boukid, 2021). Chickpea is a source of dietary protein and is utilized as a protein supplement in many countries, for example, India, Pakistan and European countries (Gao *et al.*, 2015). Its seed contains 59% carbohydrate, 29% protein (rich in lysine and arginine), 5% oil, 4% ash and 3% fiber (Yadav *et al.*, 2022). Chickpea chemical composition can fluctuate due to either intrinsic factors (mainly genetics) or extrinsic factors, such as types of soil, climatic factors, agronomic methods, technological treatmentsand storage (Yegrem, 2021). It can also be used as fodder for livestock (Kagan and Kayan, 2014).

An electronic search of published articles was conducted from 1883 to 2024 through PubMed, Google Scholar, Scopus, Web of Science and local databases. Additional sources were identified by cross-references. Search terms included combinations of *Cicer arietinum*, chickpea, morphology, origin, habitat, ecology, chemical compound, nutritional composition, traditional medicine, therapeutic, pharmacological and biological activity.

Morphological characteristics

Cicer arietinum is a short annual herb (usually less than a meter) and the plant assumes a 'prostrate, spreading, semi-spreading, semi-erect and erect' growth habit. All external surfaces of the plant, except for the corolla, are covered with glandular and non-glandular hairs. Stems are erect, branched, or dispersed, at times shrubby and much branched, pubescent, bluish green, or dark green in color, up to 150 cm. Three kinds of branches are produced in chickpea: primary, secondary and tertiary. Depending on the genotype and growing conditions, tertiary branches may or may not be present. Leaves are compound, uni imparipinnate and petiolate. The rachis is 25-60 (75) mm long and each rachis supports 11-13 (15-17) leaflets, each with a small pedicel. The leaflets are obovate to elliptical, opposite or alternate, pubescent, serrated, 8-17 mm long and 5-14 mm wide. Stipules ovate to oblique-triangular in shape and serrated, 2-4(6) teeth, 3-5 (11) mm long, (1) 2-4 (6) mm wide, in some cases up to 14 mm long. The inflorescence is an axillary raceme with naturally a single

papilionaceous flower. Occasionally, there are 2 or 3 flowers on the same node. Such flowers have both a peduncle and a pedicel. The peduncle is about 6-30 mm long, while the pedicel is about 6-13 mm long. Flowers are complete, zygomorphic (the flower is bilaterally symmetrical), bisexualand have a papilionaceous corolla. Bract is small triangular or tripartite perules, up to 2 mm. The calyx consists of five sepals with deep lanceolate teeth. The calyx tube is obligue, 3-4 mm long and the teeth are 5-6 mm long. The corolla consists of five petals (pink, white, purple, or blue in color) in a typical papilionaceous arrangement with a big standard (vexillum), two wingsand two keels. The vexillum is obovate, nearly 8-11 mm long, 7-10 mm wide and either glabrous or pubescent with no glandular hair on its outer surface. The wings are also obovate with short pedicels (nails), about 6-9 mm long and 4 mm wide, with an auriculate base. The keel is 6-8 mm long, rhomboid, with a pedicel 2-3 mm long. The androecium is 10 stamens in diadelphous (9+1) condition. The ovary is ovate, pubescent (glandular hairs predominate), superior, monocarpellary, unilocular and with marginal placentation. The ovary is around 2-3 mm long and 1-15 mm wide. There are 1-3 ovules, rarely 4 per flower. Style is roughly 3-4 mm long, linear, upturned and glabrous, except at the base. The stigma is globose and capitate. The pod shape changes from rhomboid and oblong to ovateand its size ranges 15 to 30 mm in length, 2-15 mm in width and 7-14 mm in thickness. The number of seeds pod ranges from one to two, with the maximum being three. Seeds are distinctly beaked and often ram's head shaped and strongly wrinkled or ribbed. Occasionally quasi-spherical and intermediate shapes are also observed. The length and width of the seed can vary between 4-12 and 4-8 mm, respectively (Van Der Maesen, 1972; Singh, 1997; Singh and Diwakar; 1995; Gaur et al., 2012; Al-Snafi, 2016; OGTR, 2019).

There are two types of cultivated chickpea based on seed morphology (seed size, shape and color)-desi and kabuli (GRDC, 2016, 2017; Madurapperumage et al., 2021). Desi type (Microsperma): Chickpea with colored and thick seed coat are named desi type. The seeds are generally small (around 0.2 g per seed) and angular, with a rough surface. The seeds have a combination of brown, light brown, yellow, green and black colors. There are 2-3 ovules in each pod, but 1-2 seeds are produced per pod. The plants are short with small leaflets. The flowers are naturally pink or purple and the plants show various degrees of anthocyanin pigmentation. The desi type was reported for 80-85% of the chickpea area. The split seeds (dal) and floor (besan) are consistently made from desi-type chickpea (Singh, 1997; GRDC, 2016; Sajja et al., 2017; OGTR, 2019). Kabuli type: (Macrosperma): The kabuli-type chickpea is distinguished by a white, cream, or beige-colored seed with a ram's head shape, smooth seed surface and thin seed coat. The seeds are naturally large (about 0.3-0.5 g per seed) to extra-large (more than 0.5 g per seed). The plant is medium to tall, with white flowers, large leaflets and

contains no anthocyanin. As compared to the desi type, the kabuli type has higher levels of sucrose and lower levels of fiber (GRDC, 2017; Sajja *et al.*, 2017; OGTR, 2019).

Origin

Cicer arietinum is an ancient cool season food legume crop cultivated by man in more than 50 countries such as Argentina, Australia, Burma, Canada, Ethiopia, India, Iran, Mexico, Myanmar, Pakistan, Russia, Spain, Syria, Tanzania, Turkey, Yemen and United States and has been found in Middle Eastern archaeological sites dated 7500-6800 BC (Croser et al., 2003b; Merga and Alemu, 2019; Rani et al., 2020). Prior to the phylogenetic study of chickpea by Varshney et al. (2019), earlier botanists had hypothesized several different origins for this food species. De Candolle (1883) outlined the origin of chickpea in a region south of the Caucasus and Northern Persia. Vavilov (1926) designated two primary centers of origin, the Mediterranean and southwest Asia and Ethiopia as one secondary center. Then, Vavilov (1951) recognized five centers of origin for cultivated chickpea, including the Near East Center, the Mediterranean, Central Asia, the Indian Center and a secondary center in Ethiopia, but Van Der Maesen (1984) noted that the core of the centers, southeast Turkey, is likely the original and earliest one and it is in the Near East center. However, Zeven and de Wet (1982) proposed that chickpea has different secondary centers of diversity found in at least four regions, including the Mediterranean region (including Palestine and Lebanon), the Near East region (comprising the Fertile Crescent), the Hindustani region (basically the current India and East Pakistan) and Central Asian region (with Afghanistan, Western Pakistan, Iran and the South of the former USSR). Van der Maesen (1987) has described the origin and history of chickpea, which most probably originated in an area of present-day southeastern Turkey and Northern Syria, around the upper reaches of the Tigris and Euphrates rivers. Harlan (1992) stated that chickpea has one definable center of origin, wide dispersaland one or more secondary centers of diversity and the crop most probably originates from the area of present-day southeastern Turkey and adjoining Syria (Harlan, 1992). Also, Harlan (1992) proposed India and Ethiopia as secondary centers of diversity of cultivated chickpea. Lately, a comprehensive investigation based on whole-genome resequencing of 429 lines sampled from 45 countries proposes the Eastern Mediterranean as the primary center of origin and migration route of chickpea from the Mediterranean/Fertile Crescent to Central Asia and likely in parallel from Central Asia to South Asia (India) and East Africa (Ethiopia). In addition, the migration to the New World (Americas) occurred straight from Central Asia or East Africa rather than exclusively from Iberia or the Mediterranean and Ethiopia (East Africa) was approved as the secondary center of diversity (Varshney et al., 2019). Three wild annual species of Cicer including C. reticulatum Lad., C. echinospermum P.H. Davisand C. bijugum K.H. Rech. in south-eastern Turkey have high affinity to the

chickpea, but it is now generally believed that C. reticulatum is its wild progenitor, based on karyotype (Ahmad et al., 1987), seed storage protein profiles (Kabir and Singh, 1988), interspecific hybridization (Ahmad, 1988), isozyme markers (Labdi et al., 1996), molecular markers including amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLP) (Sudupak et al., 2004) and random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) studies (Iruela et al., 2002). Two types of chickpea cultivars are admitted globally-kabuli and desi (GRDC, 2016). It is commonly accepted that the large-seeded domestic 'kabuli' chickpea originated from the small-seeded 'desi' chickpea, but Toker (2009) noted that the domestic 'kabuli' chickpea could have directly originated from C. reticulatum in ancient Eastern Turkey through mutants. The kabuli type is generally grown in the Mediterranean and temperate regions, including Western Asia, Southern Europe and Northern Africa, while the desi type is grown mainly in the semiarid tropics, such as the Indian subcontinent and Ethiopia (Singh et al., 2022). There are linguistic indications that the kabuli type reached India only two centuries ago, apparently through Afghanistan, as its Hindi name is Kabuli chana (chana= chickpea), an allusion to the Afghanistan capital Kabul (Singh, 1997; Varshney et al., 2019).

Habitat features

Cicer arietinum is grown across a wide range of environments, from the subtropics of India and northeastern Australia to Mediterranean-climatic areas around the Mediterranean basin and in Southern Australia (Hosseini *et al.*, 2009). Smithson *et al.* (1985) categorized chickpea-growing regions into four major geographical regions, including 1) the Indian subcontinent, 2) West Asia, North Africa and Southern Europe, 3) Ethiopia and East Africa and 4) The Americas and Australia.

Chickpea needs adequate nutrition such as nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), sulfur (S), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg) and micronutrients such as boron (B), cobalt (Co), iron (I), copper (Cu), manganese (Mn), molybdenum (Mo) and zinc (Zn) to achieve optimum yields (OGTR, 2019). Although micronutrients such as boron, cobalt, molybdenum and zinc contribute substantially to reaching higher production through their effects on the symbiotic nitrogen-fixing process, excess levels of these cause toxicity limiting crop production (Singh et al., 2010; GRDC, 2017). For example, boron is an essential micronutrient for chickpea growth, but high boron in the soil causes marginal necrosis of old leaflets, later the necrotic leaflets become dry and shed (Singh et al., 2010). Chickpea can be cultivated in a wide range of soils, but the best yield is reached on fertile sandy, loam soils with good drainage (Parwada et al., 2022). Acid soils are unsuitable for chickpea production because acidic conditions increase aluminum toxicity, which reduces nodulation and nitrogen fixation in chickpea (GRDC, 2017). The maximum nutrient availability from the soil is at a pH range of 5.7 to 7.2 (Singh and Diwakar, 1995).

Chickpea is prone to waterlogging, especially during flowering and seed filling (Worku, 2016). Waterlogging

declined extremely nitrogen fixation by Rhizobia (Worku, 2016). Also, waterlogging can lead to nutrient deficiency (especially iron and potassium), dying roots, decreasing photosynthesis, chlorosis and shedding of leaves by interfering with absorption and translocation (GRDC, 2017; OGTR, 2019).

The chickpea is highly susceptible to salinity and sodicity in the soil (Singh and Diwakar, 1995). It is more sensitive to salinity than some crops, such as wheat, barleyand canola (Flowers et al., 2010). The chickpea is intrinsically sensitive to salinity during the reproductive stages (Kaashyap et al., 2022). An increase in salinity (sulfate or chloride) leads to decreased germination, plant growth, photosynthesis, nodulation, nodule size, N2fixation capacity, flowering, number and weight of pods, the number of seeds per pod, 100 seed weightand seed filling (Ram et al., 1989; Flowers et al., 2010, Jha et al., 2014, Dudhe et al., 2018). Chickpea tolerance to sodicity in the root zone (to 90 cm) is less than 1% exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) on the surface and less than 5% ESP in the subsoil (GRDC, 2017). The yield loss in the chickpea due to salinity has been assessed to be about 8-10% of total global production (Mann et al., 2019).

The chickpea is well suited as a winter crop for medium rainfall (300-500 mm) areas (GRDC, 2017). The desi type requires above 350 mm of annual rainfall and the kabuli type needs more than 450 mm (Pulse Australia, 2016). In general, the desi type is more tolerant than the kabuli type because the desi genotype has shown lesser yield reduction than the kabuli chickpea genotype when grown in the field under limited water stress (Nisa *et al.*, 2020). Water stress increases chickpea susceptibility to insect and pathogen attacks and herbicide residues (GRDC, 2017).

Low light intensities, as experienced during cloudy weather, have been shown to affect chickpea yield by reducing the number of pods per plant, the number of seeds per podand the average seed weight (Van Der Maesen, 1972). The greatest impact of shading on yield happens at the beginning of flowering, peaking about 20 days after flowering (OGTR, 2019). The effect of low light on chickpea yield is aggravated under well-watered conditions, which increase aborted flowers and reduce seed yields (Verghis *et al.*, 1999).

Chickpea is a poor competitor to weeds because of their slow growth rate at the early stages of crop growth and establishment (Gaur *et al.*, 2013, Merga and Alemu, 2019). Uncontrolled weeds reduce grain yield (over 85%) in chickpea because they reduce plant dry weight, number of branches, pods per plant and 100-seed weight (Mohammadi *et al.*, 2005; Frenda *et al.*, 2013; GRDC, 2017). The critical period of crop weed competition (CPWC) is vital to prevent unacceptable yield loss of crop species (Frenda *et al.*, 2013) and depends on the density of weed infestation, crop species characteristics and climatic and environmental conditions (Singh *et al.*, 2020). The critical period for controlling weeds in chickpea is during the

seedling stage to early flowering or about 17-60 days after emergence, depending on the environmental condition (Mohammadi et al., 2005; Frenda et al., 2013). For example, Mohammadi et al. (2005) estimated a CPWC of 17 to 49 days after emergence (DAE) or between four-leaf and beginning of flowering stages, but in a second location, the CPWC was between 24 and 48 DAE or between fiveleaf and the full flowering stages. The slow-growing nature of the chickpea in winter makes it vulnerable to weeds, specifically when invaded by broadleaf weeds (Mahajan et al., 2022). The important weeds that infest the chickpea crop under rained conditions are Anagallis arvensis L., Lathyrus aphaca L., Convolvulus arvensis L., Cyperus rotundus L., Fumaria indica (Hausskn.) Pugsley, Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers, Medicago ploymorpha L.and Carthamus oxycantha L. (Ahmad Khan et al., 2018). Furthermore, it is reported that the Lantana camera L., an invasive shrub species, inhibits the growth and germination of the chickpea by allelopathic effects (Lallianpuii and Rai, 2023). Herbicides, hand weedingand mechanical wood control are the three methods of weed control for chickpea (Gaur et al., 2013). Sheep and cattle grazing may also be used to control some weeds (Osten et al., 2007).

Drought and cold are the common abiotic stress that reduces the yield of chickpea (Croser et al., 2003a; OGTR, 2019). Heat stress (over 35°C) significantly reduces germination rate, soil osmotic potential, stomatal conductance, leaf water content, chlorophyll, membrane integrity, photosynthesis, photochemical efficiency and nodulation (Kaushal et al., 2013; Jha et al., 2014; Maqbool et al., 2017). Furthermore, it impairs sucrose metabolism and transports it to developing pollen grains, resulting in reduced pollen function, impaired fertilization and poor pod set (Wang et al., 2006; Kaushal et al., 2013). The chickpea yield losses have increased to 100% in many chickpea genotypes with increasing temperatures (Rani et al., 2020). On the other hand, a major constraint to chickpea production is cool temperatures at flowering because low temperature (less than 15°C) affects both the development and function of reproductive structures in the chickpea flower (Clarke and Siddique, 2004; Jha et al., 2014, Rani et al., 2020). Pollen development is inhibited when plants are exposed to low-temperature stress (3°C) during two temperature-sensitive stages of pollen development at 9 and 4-6 days before anthesis (Clarke and Siddique, 2004). In genotypes that do not have chilling tolerance (between 1.5°C and 15°C), low temperatures can also reduce fertilization by inhibiting the growth of pollen tubes in the style (Croser et al., 2003a). About half of the productivity losses in chickpea are because of exposure to low temperatures (Rani et al., 2020).

Chemical compounds

Chickpea seed is an excellent repository of protein, carbohydrate, lipid, fiber, isoflavone, vitamin and mineral contents (Elango *et al.*, 2022, Xiao *et al.*, 2022).

Carbohydrates in chickpea seed are classified into available (mono- and disaccharides) and unavailable (oligosaccharides, resistant starch, non-cellulosic polysaccharides, pectins, hemicellulose and cellulose) carbohydrates (Jukanti *et al.*, 2012; Kishor *et al.*, 2017). Chickpea seed contains monosaccharides (ribose, glucose, galactose and fructose), disaccharides (sucrose and maltose) and oligosaccharides (stachyose, ciceritol, raffinose, melibiose and verbascose (Kishor *et al.*, 2017; Elma Mathew and Shakappa, 2022).

Chickpea seed proteins are composed of globulin (salt soluble; 56%), glutelin (acid/alkali-soluble; 18.1%), albumin (water-soluble; 12%), a prolamin (alcohol soluble; 2.8%) and residual proteins (Soto-Madrid *et al.*, 2023). The amino acid composition of chickpea seed includes essential amino acids (valine, isoleucine, leucine, methionine, phenylalanine, threonine, histidine, lysineand tryptophan) and non-essential amino acids (alanine, tyrosine, serine, cysteine, glycine, proline, arginine, aspartic acid and glutamic acid) (Elma Mathew and Shakappa, 2022; Xiao *et al.*, 2022).

The lipid components of chickpea seeds contain polyunsaturated (62-67%), monounsaturated (19-26%) and saturated (12-14%) fatty acids (Elma Mathew and Shakappa, 2022). Saturated fatty acids are palmitic, tricosanoic, stearic, arachidic, henicosanoi, heptadecanoic, myristic, behenic, pentadecanoic, lignoceric and unsaturated fatty acids are palmitoleic, oleic, cis-11-eicosenoic, linoleic, linolenic, gadoleic and erucic (Zia-UI-Haq *et al.*, 2007; Jukanti *et al.*, 2012; Elma Mathew and Shakappa, 2022; Xiao *et al.*, 2022). The oil from chickpea seed has sterols such as campesterol, D7-avenasterol, stigmasterol, β -sitosterol, clerosterol, D5-avenasterol, tocopherols α , β , γ , δ and Tocotrienols γ (Zia-uI-HAQ *et al.*, 2009; Jukanti *et al.*, 2012).

The mineral composition of chickpea seed includes macroelements such as sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, phosphorusand microelements such as manganese, zinc, copper, iron, molybdenum, nickel and boron (Kose and Mut, 2020; Elma Mathew and Shakappa, 2022). Also, trace elements (aluminum, chromium, cobalt, lead, lithium, mercuryand selenium) are present in chickpea seeds (Elma Mathew and Shakappa, 2022).

The vitamin composition of chickpea seed includes water-soluble, including vitamin C, vitamin B1 (thiamine), vitamin B2 (riboflavin), vitamin B3 (niacin), vitamin B5 (pantothenic acid), vitamin B6 (pyridoxine), vitamin B7 (biotin), vitamin B9 (folic acid), Vitamin B12 (cobalamin)and Fat soluble, including vitamin A (carotene), vitamin E and vitamin K (phylloquinone) (Jukanti *et al.*, 2012, Elma Mathew and Shakappa, 2022).

Forty-six flavonoids in chickpea seeds were detected by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with triple quadrupole mass spectrometry (UPLC-QqQ-MS) analysis (Xiao *et al.*, 2022). Chickpea seed is rich in isoflavones such asdaidzin, biochanin A, genistin, troxerutin, isorhamnetin, astilbin, L-epicatechin, astragalin, acacetin, hyperoside and myricitrin (Xiao *et al.*, 2022). Finally, the dietary fiber composition of chickpea seed includes polysaccharides like lignin, cellulose, hemicellulose and pectin (Vasishtha and Srivastava, 2011).

Biological activity

Besides being a good source of nutrition, chickpea seeds have been used in traditional medicine as tonics, stimulants and aphrodisiacs (Zia-UI-Hag et al., 2007; Jukanti et al., 2012). In the Ayurvedic system of medicine, chickpea preparations are used to treat a variety of ailments such as throat problems, bronchitis, blood disorders, skin diseases and liver- or gall bladder-related problems (biliousness) (Jukanti et al., 2012). Chickpea have also been extensively utilized in traditional Uighur medicine to treat and control hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, itchy skin, flatulence, low libido, tumor formation and osteoporosis (Al-Snafi et al., 2016). In addition to these applications, chickpea seeds are also used for the treatment of the burning sensation in the stomach, acne, insufficient milk, abdominal pain, nausea, constipation, diarrhea, diabetes, menstrual pain, headache, stomatitis, inflammations, hepatomegaly, blood enrichment, skin ailments, ear infections, liver and spleen disorders, kidney stones and urinary problems (Zia-UI-Haq et al., 2007; Jukanti et al., 2012, Kaur et al., 2019, Koul et al., 2022). Finally, the studies showed that malic and oxalic acids are discovered in the glandular secretions of chickpea leaves, stemsand pods and have some traditional medicinal features (Kaur et al., 2019, Koul et al., 2022). These sourtasting acid exudates can be used as vinegar or as medicine (Koul et al., 2022, Elma Mathew and Shakappa, 2022). These exudates can treat several diseases such as hypercholesterolemia, bronchitis, sunstroke, dyspepsia, cholera, catarrh, constipation, diarrhea, snakebite, flatulence and wart (Koul et al., 2022).

Pharmacological studies showed that chickpea possessed various biological effects ranging from antioxidant (Mahbub *et al.*, 2021), anti-hypercholesterolemia (Pittaway *et al.*, 2006), anti-hyperglycemia (Chen and Huang, 2020), anti-inflammatory (Mahbub *et al.*, 2021), anticonvulsant (Sardari *et al.*, 2015), antimicrobial (Kan *et al.*, 2010, Kumar *et al.*, 2014, Heymich *et al.*, 2021), antihepatotoxicity (Mekky *et al.*, 2016), anti-cancer (Kumar *et al.*, 2014, Chino *et al.*, 2017; Gupta *et al.*, 2018) and nephrolithiasis (Biglarkhani *et al.*, 2019). Some reported pharmacological activities of chickpea are summarized here:

Kan (2010) showed that chickpea seed extract has antibacterial activity against gram-negative strains (*Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) in the MIC range 16-64 µg/mL as well as antifungal action towards Cand*ida albicans* at a concentration of 8 µg/mL. Using the antimicrobial peptides Leg1 and Leg2 in chickpea, Heymich *et al.* (2021) showed that Leg1/Leg2 with sodium benzoate have antibacterial activity against *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* at concentrations of 0.625 and 0.75, respectively. They ascertained that Leg1/Leg2 have antifungal activity, with minimum inhibitory concentrations of 250/125 µM against Zygosaccharomyces bailii and 500/250 µM against Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Additionally, Heymich et al. (2021) cited that Leg1 and Leg2 have no cytotoxic effects against human Caco-2 cells at concentrations below 2000 µM and 1000 µM, respectively. Kumar et al. (2014) isolated C-25 (an antifungal protein) from Cicer arietinum and tested it against oral cancer cells in the MIC range of 9-60 5 µg/mL. and human pathogenic fungi such as Candida krusei, Candida tropicalis and Candida parapsilosis in the MIC range 1.56-12.5 5 µg/mL. They observed that the C-25 protein reduced the cell proliferation of human oral carcinoma cells at the concentration of 37.5 5 µg/mL and exhibited strong antifungal activities against human pathogens: Candida krusei, Candida tropicalis and Candida parapsilosis of MIC values 1.56-12.5 5 µg/L. Therefore, Kumar et al. (2014) documented that C-25 can be regarded as an effective anti-mycotic as well as an antiproliferative agent against human oral cancer cells. Mahbub et al. (2012) showed that desi chickpea hull phenolic extract reduced the production of inflammatory markers (such as nitric oxide (NO) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) and increased the activity of catalase and glutathione peroxidase (GPx). They suggest that chickpea hull phenolic extract may alleviate oxidative stress and inflammation by regulating pro-inflammatory markers and antioxidant enzymes associated with chronic inflammation. Chen and Huang (2020) found that chickpea (CP) improved hyperlipidemia, hepatic lipid accumulation and kidney function in obese mice by modulating the composition of the gut microbiota. Using 330 mg of chickpea seed extract (desi type) three times a day for 30 days, Biglarkhani et al. (2019) concluded that Cicer arietinum extract could be an adequate and safe therapeutic alternative for patients with 6-10 mm renal stones. Chino et al. (2017) showed that consumption of 2% or 10% cooked chickpea in mice decreases the expression of inflammatory enzymes (COX-2 and iNOS), b-catenin (one of the most important oncogenic proteins in colon cancer) and lipid, proteinand DNA oxidation. Therefore, they concluded that the addition of cooked chickpea seeds (2% and 10%) to the daily diet is proposed as a chemopreventive agent against colon cancer. Also, Gupta et al. (2018) demonstrated that chickpea lectin has anticancer activity and could be used as a vital source of medicine leading to the therapy of breast cancer.

CONCLUSION

Cicer arietinum is the only domesticated and cultivated species in the genus *Cicer* and is grown in different regions of the world, especially in arid and semi-arid climates. Chickpea originated in the Middle East (area between south-eastern Turkey and adjoining Syria) from *Cicer reticulatum* through mutants and spread to the Old World (Asia and Africa) and New World (Americas). Chickpea studies confirmed that environmental factors influence growth, development and grain yield. Conservation of

Volume 45 Issue 3 (September 2024)

the Cicer arietinum should be done since planting in the field by considering adequate nutrition, suitable soil and pH, inhibiting of salinity, high microelements especially high boron, water stress, waterlogging, low light, drought, cold, weeds, herbicides and pest attacks. After the common bean and field pea, chickpea is the most consumed pulse in the world. It is a rich source of protein, carbohydrates, fatty acids, isoflavones, vitamins, minerals and dietary fiber and conveys a massive role in human nutrition. Scientists documented that it possessed various biological effects ranging from antioxidant, anti-hypercholesterolemia, antihyperglycemia, anti-inflammatory, anticonvulsant, antimicrobial, anti-hepatotoxicity, anti-cancer and nephrolithiasis. Chickpea studies suggest that chickpea seed extract is a safe chemo-preventive/therapeutic agent with the potential to cure several cancers such as colon cancer and breast cancer through decreases in the expression of inflammatory enzymes (COX-2 and iNOS), b-cateninand lipid, protein and DNA oxidation. However, further experimental and clinical studies are required to better understand the role of chickpea in the chemoprevention and treatment of various types of cancer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I have not received any financial support, technical assistance, or other contributions for writing this article.

Conflict of interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, F., Slinkard, A.E. and Scoles, G. (1987). Karyotypic analysis of annual *Cicer* L. species. The Genetics Society of Canada Bulletin. 18(1): 130.
- Ahmad, F. (1988). Interspecific hybridization and genetic relationships among annual *Cicer* L. species. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Saskatchewan, Canada.
- Ahmad, Khan, I., Rahamdad, K., Jan, A. and Shah, S.M.A. (2018). Studies on tolerance of chickpea to some pre and postemergence herbicides. Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture. 30(9): 725-731.
- Al-Snafi, A.E. (2016). The medical Importance of *Cicer arietinum*-A review. IOSR Journal of Pharmacy. 6(3): 29-40.
- Arriagada, O., Cacciuttolo, F., Cabeza, R.A., Carrasco, B. and Schwember, A.R.A. (2022). Comprehensive review on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) breeding for abiotic stress tolerance and climate change resilience. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 23: 6794.
- Asati, R., Tripathi, M.K., Tiwari, S., Yadav, R.K., Chauhan, S., Tripathi, N., Solanki R.S. and Sikarwar R.S. (2024). Screening of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes against drought stress employing polyethylene glycol 6000 as selecting agent. International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 35(19): 2155-2169.
- Bhardwaj, R., Panwar, R.K., Gaur, A.K. and Verma, S.K. (2022). Studies on inheritance of *Botrytis* grey mould resistance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika. 37(4): 387-390. doi: 10.18805/BKAP516.

- Biglarkhani, M., Zargar, M.A.A., Hashem-Dabaghian, F., Behbahani, F.A., Meyari, A. and Sadeghpour, O. (2019). *Cicer arietinum* in the treatment of small renal stones: A double-blind, randomized and placebo-controlled trial. Research Journal of Pharmacognosy. 6(1): 35-42.
- Boukid, F. (2021). Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) protein as a prospective plant-based ingredient: A review. International Journal of Food Science and Technology. 56(11): 5435-5444.
- Chen, Y.H. and Huang, C. (2020). Effects of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) on Metabolic Dysfunction by Modulation of Gut Microbiota in Dietinduced Obese Mice. In: Canada-taiwan Bilateral Conference on Nutrition, Health Benefit and Innovative Processing of Whole Grains and Pulses, 22nd September 2020, Taichung, Taiwan.
- Chino, X.M.S., Martínez, C.J., Garzón, V.R.V., González, I.Á., Treviño, S.V., Bujaidar, E.M., Ortiz, G.D. and Hoyos, R.B. (2017). Cooked chickpea consumption inhibits colon carcinogenesis in mice induced with azoxymethane and dextran sulfate sodium. Journal of the American College of Nutrition. 36(5): 391-398.
- Clarke, H.J. and Siddique, K.H.M. (2004). Response of chickpea genotypes to low temperature stress during reproductive development. Field Crops Research. 90: 323-334.
- Croser, J.S., Clarke, H.J., Siddique, K.H.M. and Khan, T.N. (2003a) Low-temperature stress: Implications for chickpea (*Cicer* arietinum L.) improvement. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences. 22(2): 185-219.
- Croser, J.S., Ahmad, F., Clarke, H.J. and Siddique, K.H.M. (2003b). Utilisation of wild *Cicer* in chickpea improvementprogress, constraintsand prospects. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research. 54: 429-444.

De Candolle, A. (1883). Origine des Plantes Cultivées. Paris: 258-260.

- Dudhe, M.Y. and Kumar, J. (2018). Combining ability studies under salinity stress and unstressed condition in chickpea. Legume Research. 41(2): 239-245. doi: 10.18805/ijar.v0iOF.7650.
- Elango, D., Wang, W., Thudi, M., Sebastiar, S., Ramadoss, B.R. and Varshney, R.K. (2022). Genome-wide association mapping of seed oligosaccharides in chickpea. Frontiers in Plant Science. 13: 1024543.
- Elma Mathew, S. and Shakappa, D. (2022). A review of the nutritional and antinutritional constituents of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) and its health benefits. Crop and Pasture Science. 73(4): 401-414.
- Flowers, T.J., Gaur, P.M., Gowda, C.L.L., Krishnamurthy, L., Samineni, S., Siddique, K.H.M., Turner, N.C., Vadez, V., Varshney, R.K. and Colmer, T.D. (2010). Salt sensitivity in chickpea. Plant, Cell and Environment. 33: 490-509.
- Frenda, A.S., Ruisi, P., Saia, S., Frangipane, B., Di Miceli, G., Amato, G. and Giambalvo, D. (2013). The critical period of weed control in faba bean and chickpea in Mediterranean areas. Weed Science. 61: 452-459.
- Gao, Y., Yao, Y., Zhu, Y. and Ren, G. (2015). Isoflavone content and composition in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) sprouts germinated under different conditions. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 63: 2701-2707.
- Gaur, P.M., Tripathi, S., Gowda, C.L.L., Ranga Rao, G.V., Sharma, H.C., Pande, S. and Sharma, M. (2010). Chickpea seed production manual. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, India.

- Gaur, P.M., Jukanti, A.K., Srinivasan, S. and Gowda, C.L.L. (2012). Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). In: Breeding of Field Crops. 8: 165-194.
- Gaur, P.M., Jukanti, A.K., Samineni, S., Chaturvedi, S.K., Singh, S., Tripathi, S., *et al.* (2013). Large genetic variability in chickpea for tolerance to herbicides imazethapyr and metribuzin. Agronomy. 3: 524-536.
- Gayacharan, Rani, U., Singh, S., Basandrai, A.K., Rathee, V.K., et al., (2020). Identification of novel resistant sources for ascochyta blight (Ascochyta rabiei) in chickpea. PLoS ONE. 15(10): e0240589.
- GRDC (2016). Grownotes chickpea Northern Region. Grains Research and Development Corporation, Australia. 1-382.
- GRDC (2017). Grownotes chickpea Southern Region. Grains Research and Development Corporation, Australia. 1-542.
- Gupta, N., Bisen, P.S. and Bhagyawant, S.S. (2018). Chickpea lectin inhibits human breast cancer cell proliferation and induces apoptosis through cell cycle arrest. Protein and Peptide Letters. 25: 1-8.
- Harlan, J.R. (1992). Crops and Man. American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, Madison.
- Heymich, M.L., Nißl, L., Hahn, D., Noll, M. and Pischetsrieder, M. (2021). Antioxidative, antifungal and additive activity of the antimicrobial peptides Leg1 and Leg2 from chickpea. Foods. 10: 585. doi: 10.3390/foods10030585.
- Hosseini, N.M., Palta, J.A., Berger, J.D. and Siddique, K.H.M. (2009). Sowing soil water content effects on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): Seedling emergence and early growth interaction with genotype and seed size. Agricultural Water Management. 96: 1732-1736.
- Iruela, M., Rubio, J., Cubero, J.I., Gil, J. and Millán, T. (2002). Phylogenetic analysis in the genus *Cicer* and cultivated chickpea using RAPD and ISSR markers. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 104: 643-651.
- Jat, B.L., Nidhi, Singh, G. and Kumawat, P. (2021). Bio-rational management of pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* L.) in chickpea crop. Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika. 36(1): 29-31. doi: 10.18805/BKAP294.
- Jayalakshmi, V., Trivikrama Reddy, A. and Nagamadhuri, K.V. (2019). Genetic diversity and variability for protein and micro nutrients in advance breeding lines and chickpea varieties grown in Andhra Pradesh. Legume Research. 42(6): 768-778. doi: 10.18805/LR-3933.
- Jha, U.C., Chaturvedi, S.K., Bohra, A., Basu, P.S., Kham, M.S. and Barh, D. (2014). Abiotic stresses, constraints and improvement strategies in chickpea. Plant Breeding. 133: 163-178.
- Jukanti, A.K., Gaur, P.M., Gowda, C.L.L. and Chibbar, R.N. (2012). Nutritional quality and health benefits of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): A review. British Journal of Nutrition. 108: S11-S26.
- Kaashyap, M., Ford, R., Mann, A., Varshney, R.K., Siddique, K.H.M. and Mantri, N. (2022). Comparative flower transcriptome network analysis reveals DEGs involved in chickpea reproductive success during salinity. Plants. 11: 434. doi: 10.3390/plants11030434.
- Kabir, G. and Singh, R.M. (1988). Seed Protein Electrophoresis in Six Species and Two F1s of *Cicer*. Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences. 98: 183-189.

- Kagan, S. and Kayan, N. (2014). The influence of inoculation and nitrogen treatments on yield and yield components in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars. Legume Research. 37(4): 363-371. doi: 10.5958/0976-0571.2014.00645.6.
- Kalve, S. and Tadege, M. (2017). A comprehensive technique for artificial hybridization in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). Plant Methods. 13: 52.
- Kan, A., Özçelik, B., Kartal, M., Özdemir, Z.A. and Özgen, S. (2010). In vitro antimicrobial activities of Cicer arietinum L. (chickpea). Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. 9(5): 475-481.
- Karthikeyan, M., Pandey, S., Synrem, G. and Saravanan, K.R. (2021). Assessment of genetic diversity of chickpea genotypes (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Using D² statistics. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology. 40(24): 51-56.
- Kaur, G., Sanwal, S.K., Sehrawat, N., Kumar, A., Kumar, N. and Mann, A. (2022). Identification of salt tolerant chickpea genotypes based on yield and salinity indices. Legume Research. 45(11): 1381-1387. doi: 10.18805/LR-4519.
- Kaur, P., Kaur, L. and Singh, A. (2019). Ethnobotanical and pharmaceutical properties of medicinal herb *Cicer arietinum* - A review. International Journal of Life Sciences Research. 7(2): 467-480.
- Kaushal, N., Awasthi, R., Gupta, K., Gaur, P., Siddique, K.H.M. and Nayyar, H. (2013). Heat-stress induced reproductive failures in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) are associated with impaired sucrose metabolism in leaves and anthers. Functional Plant Biology. 40: 1334-1349.
- Kishor, K., David, J., Tiwari, S., Singh, A. and Rai, B.S. (2017). Nutritional composition of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) milk. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 5(4): 1941-1944.
- Kose, Ö.D.E. and Mut, Z. (2020). Mineral contents of chickpea cultivars (*Cicer arietinum* L.) grown at different locations of Turkey. Sains Malaysiana. 49(2): 293-303.
- Koul, B., Sharma, K., Sehgal, V., Yadav, D., Mishra, M. and Bharadwaj, C. (2022). Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) biology and biotechnology: From domestication to biofortification and biopharming. Plants. 11: 2926.
- Kumar, S., Kapoor, V., Gill, K., Singh, K., Xess, I., Das, S.N. and Dey, S. (2014). Antifungal and antiproliferative protein from *Cicer arietinum*: A bioactive compound against emerging pathogens. BioMed Research International. 387203.
- Labdi, M., Robertson, L.D., Singh, K.B. and Charrier, A. (1996). Genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships among the annual *Cicer* species as revealed by isozyme polymorphism. Euphytica. 88: 181-188.
- Lallianpuii, S. and Rai, P.K. (2023). Allelopathic Effects of Invasive Alien Plant *Lantana camara* on Seed Germination and Growth of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). In: landuse and Bioresource Management for Sustainable Livelihood, [Tripathi, O.P., Lalzarzovi, S.T., Lalnuntluanga and Mishra, B.P. (Eds.)]. 143-151.
- Madurapperumage, A., Tang, L., Thavarajah, P., Bridges, W., Shipe,
 E., Vandemark, G. and Thavarajah, D. (2021). Chickpea
 (*Cicer arietinum* L.) as a source of essential fatty acidsA biofortification approach. Frontiers of Plant Science.
 12: 734 -980.
- Mahajan, G. and Chauhan, B.S. (2022). The first report of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Frontiers in Agronomy. 4: 969960.

- Mahbub, R., Francis, N., Blanchard, C.L. and Santhakumar, A.B. (2021). The anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties of chickpea hull phenolic extracts. Food Bioscience. 40: 100850.
- Mann, A., Kaur, G., Kumar, A., Sanwal, S.K., Singh, J. and Sharma, P.C. (2019). Physiological response of chickpea (*Cicer* arietinum L.) at early seedling stage under salt stress conditions. Legume Research. 42(5): 625-632. doi: 10.18805/LR-4059.
- Maqbool, M.A., Aslam, M. and Ali, H. (2017). Breeding for improved drought tolerance in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Plant Breeding. doi: 10.1111/pbr.12477.
- Mekky, R.H., Fayed, M.R., El-Gindi M.R., Abdel-Monem, A.R., Contreras, M.M., et al. (2016). Hepatoprotective effect and chemical assessment of a selected Egyptian chickpea cultivar. Frontiers in Pharmacology. 7: 344. doi: 10.3389/ fphar.2016.00344.
- Merga, B. and Alemu, N. (2019). Integrated weed management in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Cogent Food and Agriculture. 00: 1620152.
- Mohammadi, G., Javanshir, A., Khooie, F.R., Mohammadi, S.A. and Salmasid, S.Z. (2005). Critical period of weed interference in chickpea. Weed Research. 45: 57-63.
- Nathawat, B.D.S., Sharma, O.P., Kumari, M. and Shivran, H. (2024). Effect of nutrients on wilt in chickpea. Legume Research. 47(1): 152-155. doi: 10.18805/LR-4490.
- Nisa, Z.U., Arif, A., Waheed, M.Q., Shah, T.M., Iqbal, A., Siddiqui, A.J., Choudhary M.I., El Seedi, H.R. and Musharraf, S. (2020). A comparative metabolomic study on desi and kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes under rainfed and irrigated field conditions. Scientific Reports. 10: 13919.
- OGTR (2019). The biology of Cicer arietinum L. (chickpea). 1-53.
- Osten, V.A., Walker, S.R., Storrie, A., Widderick, M., Moylan, P., Robinson, G.R. and Galea, K. (2007). Survey of weed flora and management relative to cropping practices in the north-eastern grain region of Australia. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture. 47: 57-70.
- Parwada, C., Parwada, T. F., Chipomho, J., Mapope,N., Chikwari, E. and Mvumi, C. (2022). Evaluation of *cicer arietinum* (chickpea) growth performance and yield in different soil types in Zimbabwe. Journal of Current Opinion in Crop Science. 3(1): 16-27.
- Pittaway, J.K., Ahuja, K.D.K., Cehun, M., Chronopoulos, A., Robertson, I.K, Nestel, P.J. and Ball, M.J. (2006). Dietary Supplementation with chickpeas for at least 5 weeks results in small but significant reductions in serum total and low-density lipoprotein cholesterols in adult women and men. Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism. 50: 512-518.
- Pulse Australia (2016). Chickpea production: Northern Region.
 Ram, P.C., Garg, O.P., Singh, B.B. and Maurya, B.R. (1989). Effect of salt stress on nodulation, fixed nitrogen partitioning and yield attributes of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L). Indian Journal of Plant Physiology. 32(2): 115-121.
- Rani, A., Devi, P., Jha, U.C., Sharma, K.D., Siddique, K.H.M. and Nayyar, H. (2020). Developing climate-resilient chickpea involving physiological and molecular approaches with a focus on temperature and drought stresses. Frontiers of Plant Science. 10: 1759.

- Reddy, M.V. and Singh, K.B. (1984). Evaluation of a world collection of chickpea germplasm accessions for resistance to Ascochyta blight. Plant Disease. 68: 900-901.
- Sajja, S.B., Samineni, S. and Gaur, P.M. (2017). Botany of Chickpea. In: The Chickpea Genome, Compendium of Plant Genomes, [Varshney et al. (Eds.)]. Springer International Publishing AG. pp. 13-24.
- Sankar, P.M., Shreedevasena, S., Karthiba, L., Raju, P.A., Vanitha, S., Kamalakannan, A. and Jeyakumar, P. (2022). Ecology, biology and management of *Fusarium* wilt in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): A review. Agricultural Reviews. doi: 10.18805/ag.R-2481.
- Sardari, S., Amiri, M., Rahimi, H., Kamalinejad, M., Narenjkar, J. and Sayyah, M. (2015). Anticonvulsant effect of *Cicer* arietinum seed in animal models of epilepsy: Introduction of an active molecule with novel chemical structure. Iranian Biomedical Journal. 19(1): 45-50.
- Singh, A., Rana, S.S. and Bala, A. (2020). Weed management strategies in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*): A review. Agricultural Reviews. 41(2): 153-159. doi: 10.18805/ag.R-1996.
- Singh, A.L., Jat, R.S., Chaudhari, V., Bariya, H. and Sharma, S.J. (2010). Toxicities and tolerance of mineral elements boron, cobalt, molybdenum and nickel in crop plants. Plant Stress. 4(2): 31-56.
- Singh, F. and Diwakar, B. (1995). Chickpea botany and production practices. ICRISAT, Skill Deveploment Series. 16: 1-50.
- Singh, K.B. (1997). Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Field Crops Research. 53: 161-170.
- Singh, R.K., Singh, C., Ambika, C.B.S., Mahto, R.K., Patial, R., Gupta, A., Gahlaut, V., Gayacharan, H.A., Upadhyaya, H.D. and Kumar, R. (2022). Exploring chickpea germplasm diversity for broadening the genetic base utilizing genomic resourses. Frontiers in Genetics.13: 905771.
- Smithson , J.B., Thompson , J.A. and Summerfield , R.J. (1985). Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L). In: Grain Legume Crops, [Summerfield, R.J. and Roberts, E.H. [(Eds.)]. Cullims, London. pp. 312-390.
- Soto-Madrid, D., Pérez, N., Gutiérrez-Cutiño, M., Matiacevich, S. and Zúñiga, R.N. (2023). Structural and physicochemical characterization of extracted proteins fractions from chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) as a potential food ingredient to replace ovalbumin in foams and emulsions. Polymers. 15: 110.
- Sudupak, M.A., Akkaya, M.S. and Kence, A. (2004). Genetic relationships among perennial and annual *Cicer* species growing in Turkey assessed by AFLP fingerprinting. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 108: 937-944.
- Toker, C. (2009). A note on the evolution of kabuli chickpeas as shown by induced mutations in *Cicer reticulatum* Ladizinsky. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution. 56: 7-12.
- Van Der Maesen, L.J.G. (1972). *Cicer* L., a monograph of the genus, with special reference to the chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), its ecology and cultivation. Ph.D. Thesis, Communication Agricultural University, Wageningen, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- Van Der Maesen, L.J.G. (1984). Taxonomy, distribution and evolution of the chickpea and its wild relatives. In: Genetic Resources and Their Exploitation-Chickpeas, Faba beans and Lentils. Springer. 95-104.

- Van Der Maesen, L.J.G. (1987). Origin, History and Taxonomy of Chickpea. In: The Chickpea, [Saxena, M.C. and Singh K.B. (Eds.)]. CAB International, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, UK. 11-34.
- Varshney, R.K., Thudi, M., Roorkiwal, M., He, W., Upadhyaya, H.D., Yang, W., Bajaj, P., Cubry, P., Rathore, A., Jian, J., *et al.* (2019). Resequencing of 429 chickpea accessions from 45 countries provides insights into genome diversity, domestication and agronomic traits. Nature Genetics. 51: 857-864.
- Vasishtha, H. and Srivastava, R.P. (2011). Effect of soaking and cooking on dietary fibre components of different type of chickpea genotypes. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 50(3): 579-84.
- Vavilov, N.I. (1926). Studies on the origin of cultivated plants. Leningrad, pp. 129-238.
- Vavilov, N.I. (1951). The origin, variation, immunity and breeding of cultivated plants. Chronica Botanica. 13(1/6): 14-54.
- Verghis, T.I., McKenzie, B.A. and Hill, G.D. (1999). Effect of light and soil moisture on yield, yield components and abortion of reproductive structures of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*), in Canterbury, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science. 27: 153-161.
- Wang, J., Gan, Y.T., Clarke, F. and McDonald, C.L. (2006). Response of chickpea yield to high temperature stress during reproductive development. Crop Science. 46: 2171-2178.
- Worku, W. (2016). Waterlogging effects on growth, nodulation and productivity of desi and kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Ethiopian Journal of Biological Sciences. 15(1): 55-77.
- Xiao, C.S., Li, Z., Zhou, K. and Fu, Y. (2022). Chemical composition of kabuli and desi chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars grown in Xinjiang. Food Science and Nutrition. 1-13.
- Yadav, P., Chandra, R. and Pareek, N. (2022). Plant growth promoting Mesorhizobia as a potential inoculant for chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): A review. Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika. 37(4): 328-333. doi: 10.18805/ BKAP553.
- Yegrem, L. (2021). Nutritional composition, antinutritional factorsand utilization trends of Ethiopian chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). International Journal of Food Science. 5570753: 1-10.
- Zeven, A.C. and de Wet, J.M.J. (1982). Dictionary of Cultivated Plants and Their Regions of Diversity. Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation, Wageningen.
- Zia-Ul-Haq, M., Iqbal, S., Ahmad, S., Imran, M., Niaz, A. and Bhanger, M.I. (2007). Nutritional and compositional study of desi chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars grown in Punjab, Pakistan. Food Chemistry. 105: 1357-1363.
- Zia-UI-Haq, M., Ahmad, S., Ahmad, M., Iqbal, S. and Khawar, K.M. (2009). Effects of cultivar and row spacing on tocopherol and sterol composition of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) seed oil. Tarim Bilimleri Dergisi. 15(1): 25-30.
- Zorawar, S. and Guriqbal, S. (2018). Role of *Rhizobium* in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) production- A review. Agricultural Reviews. 39(1): 31-39. doi: 10.18805/ag.R-1699.