# Response of different yield components as selection criteria for yield and yield components in early generations of lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.)

Yerasu Suresh Reddy<sup>1</sup>, Akshay Talukdar\*, Harsh Kumar Dikshit, V.P. Singh<sup>2</sup>, Mukesh Rana<sup>3</sup> and Pathania Anju<sup>4</sup>

ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute,

New Delhi-110 012, India.

Received: 26-06-2015 Accepted: 01-10-2015 DOI:10.18805/lr.v0iOF.9597

#### **ABSTRACT**

Selected forty  $F_2$  single plant progenies of a lentil cross viz, L-830 × MC-6 and bulked seeds were evaluated in a randomized block design with two replications for secondary branches per plant, pods per plant, seed yield per plant and seed index as independent selection criteria. Out of the four selection criterion, highest realized selection response in percentage was recorded for pods per plant (37.42 %), and was followed by secondary branches per plant (28.5 %). The realized correlated response to selection for seed yield per plant was significant under the selection criteria number of pods per plant. Thus the selection criteria pods per plant was more effective than other selection criterion.

**Key words:** Correlated response, Early generation selection, Lentil, Response to selection, Realized selection response.

## INTRODUCTION

Lentil is an old world legume and, is considered to be one of the first plant species to be domesticated (Bahl *et al.*, 1993). Nutritionally, it is an excellent source of protein (24 %), complex carbohydrates, fibers, vitamins A and B and potassium and iron that regulates human growth and development (Anonymous, 2007). Millions of poor people in the developing countries suffer from chronic-protein deficiency. For these people in general and the vegetarian population in particular, lentil constitutes an important source of protein.

Limitation in the availability of resources makes the breeder to reduce the amount of material to be handled in segregating generations. Within the limitations, the breeder has to forward the segregating generations without losing the best recombinant lines for the traits under the improvement. The early generation selection is the best answer to this problem. Further, the concept of early generation selection gets strength from Shebeski (1967) view. According to him, the most desirable gene combinations can be identified even in the heterozygote. The essential point of this view is that the proportion of plants with the most desirable combinations of genes decreases rapidly with advancing generations, and if these are not selected in the earliest possible generation, even if heterozygous, they will be lost (Whan et al., 1982). In the present study, an effort was made to know the effectiveness of early generation testing in lentil.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Forty F, single plant progenies of a cross viz.,  $L-830 \times MC-6$  were the basic material for the present study. The progenies were the products of selection (with 5% intensity) based on four selection criterions such as secondary branches per plant, number of pods per plant, seed yield per plant (g) and seed index (g). The F, population size was 200. Bulked seed was prepared by mixing equal quantity of seeds from all F<sub>2</sub> plants. All the single plant progenies, along with parents and F<sub>2</sub> bulked seeds were raised in a randomized block design (RBD) with two replications. Each treatment was grown in a row plot of 2 m long, with 30 cm × 10 cm spacing. Recommended agronomic package of practices was followed to raise a good crop. Observations were recorded on five randomly sampled plants in each of the selected F. families in each replication for plant height (cm), number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of pods per plant, seed index (g) and seed yield per plant (g). Calculation of various selection parameters in the study were estimated as per the procedure outlined by Sharma (1998).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results for selection response in  $F_3$  families of L-830 × MC-6 varied across selection criteria used. The results of selection response are presented in Table 1 to 4. Highest realized selection response in  $F_3$  rR (%) was recorded for pods per plant (37.42 %), followed by secondary branches per plant (28.5 %) and yield per plant (26.59 %). The realized

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author's e-mail: akshay.talukdar1@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Division of crop improvement, ICAR-Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi-221305, India.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Plant Physiology, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi-110012, India.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>ICAR-National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi-110012, India.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>CSK HPKV, MAREC, Sangla, Kinnaur, Himachal Pradesh, India.

	lant.	
	Ser 1	
	ches r	
	bran	
	arv	
	second	
	using	
١	P	
(	$\subseteq$	
,	Ξ	
	×	
0	$\frac{2}{3}$	
ò	ó	
۲	نـ	
٠	ij	
	Š	
:	≅	
•	Ξ	
ç	ta	
ļ	Ļ	
•	Ξ	
	ers	
	ä	
,		
	e F	
	Š	
•	Õ.	
,	tor	
	S	
	ne	
	ᇙ	
	par	
	Ē	
•	吕	
	ဗ	
•	ō	
ζ	<u>^</u>	
,	ä	
,	aple	
	ā	
	ï	

their is belocated parameters for coserved enhancers in 13 tanimum of 17.00 × 17.00 daing secondary enhances per prairie	ODSOLVOR CL	ia actor iii i	3 rammes or		o danie o	many oran	nd rad came	arr.				
Character	rR	rR (%)	rCRy	rCRy(%)	$rCRy(\%)$ $rh^2n$ (%) $rgR$	rgR	pR	pR (%)	pgR	pCRy	ph <sup>2</sup> n (%)	Sd
Plant height (cm)	1	ı	0.22	0.78	ı	ı	0.08	0.30	0.02	0.135	2	3.61
Primary branches per plant	ı	ı	0.33	15.49	1	,	0.13	6.01	0.33	0.070	42	0.30
Secondary branches per plant	0.93*	28.50	,	1	98	1.76	0.36	11.06	89.0	0.011	85	0.42
Pods per plant	ı	ı	9.26**	37.55	ı	,	3.59	14.58	0.56	0.139	70	5.12
Seed yield per plant (g)	ı	ı	0.2	18.63	ı	,	0.07	7.23	0.14	ı	18	0.42
Seed index (g)		1	-0.48	-21.044	ı	1	-0.18	-8.17	-0.22	0.226	-28	99.0
*, ** Significant at 5 and 1 % levels, respectively.	vels, respectiv	vely.										
Here,												
rR – Realized selection response in F <sub>2</sub>	n F,		rR (%) - Re	rR (%) - Realized selection response in F <sub>3</sub>	response in F	r- "	rCRy - R	rCRy - Realized correlated response in F <sub>3</sub>	ited response	in F,		
rCRy (%) - Percentage of realized response in	d response in		$F_{1}$ rh <sup>2</sup> n (%)	rh <sup>2</sup> n (%) – Realized heritability in F <sub>3</sub>	itability in F	,	rgR - I	rgR - Realized generalized response in	alized respons	e in F		
pR - Predicted response to selection in $F_{_{\! 4}}$	ion in F <sub>4</sub>		Pr (%) -Pred	Pr (%) -Predicted response to selection i	to selection in	Ŧ	pS - Pre	pS - Predicted selection differential in	n differential	in F <sub>4</sub>		
pCRy - Predicted correlated response in F <sub>4</sub>	onse in F <sub>4</sub>		ph <sup>2</sup> n (%) - F	$\mathrm{ph^2}\mathrm{n}$ (%) - Predicted heritability in $\mathrm{F_4}$	oility in $F_4$	-	pgR - Pr	pgR - Predicted generalized response in	lized response	in F		

Table 2: Selection parameters for observed characters in  $F_3$  families of L-830  $\times$  MC-6 using pods per plant.

Character	гR	rR (%)	rCRy	rCRy(%)	$rCRy(\%)$ $rh^2n(\%)$ $rgR$	rgR	pR	pR (%)	pgR	pCRy	$ph^2 n$ (%)	Sd
Plant height (cm)	ı	ı	-0.02	-0.079	ı	1	-0.01	-0.03	-0.002	0.182	-2	4.39
Primary branches per plant	,	1	0.28	13.46	1	1	0.10	5.22	0.24	0.138	30	0.35
Secondary branches per plant	,	,	1.09*	31.85	1	ı	0.42	12.37	0.41	0.089	52	0.81
Pods per plant	9.21*	37.42	1	1	44	0.91	3.57	14.53	0.35	0.196	44	8.04
Seed yield per plant (g)		1	0.26*	22.73	1	1	0.09	8.83	0.18	ı	23	0.42
Seed index (g)	ı		-0.35	-14.43		ı	-0.13	-5.60	-0.19	0.140	-24	0.55
Here,												
rR - Realized selection response in F <sub>3</sub>	in F,		rR (%) -	rR (%) - Realized selection response in F	ction response	in F		rCRy -	CRy - Realized correlated response in F	elated respons	e in F,	
rCRy (%) – Percentage of realized response in F <sub>3</sub>	d response in F <sub>3</sub>		$rh^2 n (\%)$	n (%) – Realized heritability in F <sub>1</sub>	ritability in F	,		rgR -	- Realized generalized response in	eralized respo	nse in F	
$pR$ - Predicted response to selection in $F_{\perp}$	ion in F <sub>4</sub>		Pr (%) -1	Pr (%) -Predicted response to selection in F <sub>2</sub>	nse to selecti	on in F₄		d - Sq	pS - Predicted selection differential in $F_4$	ion differentia	ıl in F₄	
$pCRy - Predicted correlated response in \vec{F}_A$	onse in $\vec{F}_A$		ph <sup>2</sup> n (%)	ph <sup>2</sup> n (%) - Predicted heritability in F	eritability in H			pgR - I	- Predicted generalized response in 1	ralized respon	ise in F	

plant.
per 1
/ield
eed
s guist
1-9-C
MC-(
× 08
L-83
jo
milies
F, fa
ii
characters
perved
for (
parameters
Selection
3
Table

Cilai actei	rR	rR (%)	rCRy	rCRy(%)	$rh^2 n$ (%) $rgR$	rgR	pR	pR (%)	pgR	pCRy	$ph^2 n$ (%)	$^{\mathrm{ps}}$
Plant height (cm)	ı	ı	96.0	3.28		ı	0.37	1.27	90.0	0.104	8	4.72
Primary branches per plant	ı	ı	0.32	15.09	ı	1	0.12	5.86	0.26	0.056	32	0.38
Secondary branches per plant	1	1	1.09	31.85	ı		0.42	12.37	0.41	0.01	51	0.82
Pods per plant	1	1	10.14	39.70	ı	,	3.94	15.42	0.37	0.066	46	8.46
Seed yield per plant (g)	0.31	26.59	1	1	26	0.55	0.12	10.33	0.21	1	27	0.45
Seed index (g)	1	i	-0.32	-13.02	1	1	-0.12	-5.05	-0.18	0.073	-23	0.53
Here, rR – Realized selection response in F <sub>3</sub> rCRy (%) – Percentage of realized response in F <sub>3</sub> pR - Predicted response to selection in F <sub>4</sub> pCRy – Predicted correlated response in F <sub>4</sub>	1 F <sub>3</sub> response in F <sub>3</sub> on in F <sub>4</sub> nse in F <sub>4</sub>		rR (%) rh² n (%) Pr (%) ph² n (%)	rR (%) - Realized selection response in F <sub>3</sub> nr <sup>2</sup> n (%) - Realized heritability in F <sub>3</sub> Pr (%) -Predicted response to selection in F <sub>4</sub> ph <sup>2</sup> n (%) · Predicted heritability in F <sub>4</sub>	ection response eritability in F onse to selection ieritability in F	o in F <sub>3</sub> on in F <sub>4</sub>		rCRy - rgR - pS - F	Realized corr Realized ger redicted selec	rCRy - Realized correlated response in F <sub>3</sub> rgR - Realized generalized response in F <sub>9</sub> pS - Predicted selection differential in F <sub>4</sub> pgR - Predicted generalized response in F	c in $F_3$ nse in $F_3$ al in $F_4$ se in $F_4$	

Table 4: Selection parameters for observed characters in  $F_3$  families of L-830  $\times$  MC-6 using seed index.

			,									
Character	rR	rR (%)	rCRy	rCRy(%)	$rCRy(\%)$ $rh^2 n (\%)$ $rgR$	rgR	pR	pR (%)	pgR	pCRy	ph <sup>2</sup> n (%)	Sd
Plant height (cm)		ı	1.92	6.38			0.74	2.48	0.14	0.104	17	4.32
Primary branches per plant	1	•	0.34	15.75	ı		0.13	6.12	0.37	0.057	47	0.27
Secondary branches per plant	1	1	1.13	32.69	ı	,	0.44	12.69	0.88	0.01	110	0.40
Pods per plant	1	1	8.44*	35.39	ı	,	3.27	13.74	0.29	0.066	37	8.78
Seed yield per plant (g)	1		0.32	27.02	ı		0.12	10.49	0.22	ı	28	0.45
Seed index (g)	-0.12	-4.78	1	ı	-13	-0.28	-0.05	-1.89	-0.11	0.073	-13	0.36
Here,												
rR – Realized selection response in F <sub>3</sub>	in F,		rR (%)	rR (%) - Realized selection response in F <sub>3</sub>	ction response	in F		rCRy -	Realized cor	CRy - Realized correlated response in F	e in F,	
rCRy (%) – Percentage of realized response in F <sub>3</sub>	d response in F.		$rh^2$ n (%	h <sup>2</sup> n (%) – Realized heritability in F	eritability in F	,		rgR -	Realized ger	- Realized generalized response in	nse in F,	
pR - Predicted response to selection in F <sub>A</sub>	ion in F		Pr (%)	Pr (%) -Predicted response to selection in F	onse to selective	on in F		P - Sq	redicted selec	ps - Predicted selection differential in F	al in F,	
$pCRy - Predicted correlated response in \vec{F}_{d}$	onse in $\vec{F}_4$		$ph^2 n$ (%	ph <sup>2</sup> n (%) - Predicted heritability in ${ m F_4}$	eritability in F	,_ <sub>4</sub>		pgR - I	Predicted gen	- Predicted generalized response in F	se in $\vec{\mathrm{F}}_{_{4}}$	

correlated response to selection for seed yield per plant was significant under the selection criteria number of pods per plant. Realised response in  $F_3$  (rR) was significant for secondary branches per plant and pods per plant as selection criteria. Accordingly, secondary branches per plant and pods per plant recorded higher realized generalized response (rgR). This result indicates the effectiveness of pods per plant and secondary branches per plant as good selection criterion in  $F_2$  generation of the cross. With the help of rgR values Om vir and Gupta (1999) reported that primary branches per plant as a good selection criterion in lentil. Simailar results were reported in chickpea (Salimath and Bhal 1985) and fenugreek (Yadav and Raje, 2008).

The realized heritabilities in  $F_3$  (rh² (%)) is another parameter to indicate the relative effectiveness of component characters as selection criterion (Sharma 1998). In the present study secondary branches per plant and pods per plant recorded higher realized heritabilities further confirming their effectiveness as selection criterion. Om vir and Gupta (1999) reported higher realized heritability for primary branches per plant. Rasmusson and Cannell (1970) also estimated this parameter in barley.

By considering 50% selection intensity, different selection parameters like predicted response to selection in  $F_4$  (pR), percentage of predicted response to selection in  $F_4$  (pR (%)), predicted selection differential  $F_4$  (pS), predicted heritability in  $F_4$  (ph² n (%)), predicted correlated response (pCRy) and predicted generalized response in  $F_4$  (pgR) were carried out for yield components. The results indicated good prospects of selection that can be carried out in  $F_3$  generation families under each of the selection criterion on the basis of higher mean value with respective to all the characters under study.

In F<sub>3</sub> families of secondary branches per plant, pods per plant and seed yield per plant as selection criterion, high pR (in per cent) and high pgR were recorded for characters like secondary branches per plant and pods per plant. This indicated that, further selection in  $F_3$  generation families for these characters should bring about more useful results under the said criteria.

Considering pods per plant as selection criterion, predicted correlated response for seed yield per plant due to selection for number of pods per plant was the highest. Under selection criteria secondary branches per plant also pods per plant recorded highest predicted correlated response for seed yield per plant. Thus, under selection criteria pods per plant and secondary branches per plant, selection for number of pods per plant in  $F_3$  generation families would be highly effective.

High predicted heritability in narrow sense was estimated for different yield components under the selection criteria of secondary branches per plant and number of pods per plant. High estimates of heritability in narrow sense were recorded for secondary branches per plant and number of pods per plant. On the basis of results obtained in the present investigation it can be concluded that early generation selection in lentil considering number of pods per plant in conjugation with the number of secondary branches are helpful in selection for higher seed yields. The results of the present investigation are in accordance to the results demonstrated by other investigators like Singh et al (1998), Om vir and Gupta (1999) in lentil and Yadav and Raje (2008) in fenugreek. Correlation studies in lentil (Yadav et al., 2003; Anzam et al., 2005, Kakde et al., 2005; Karadavut, 2009 and Mondal et al., 2013) also reported that pod number and number of branches as primary yield attributes and are positively and significantly correlated with seed yield.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The first author is grateful to the ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi for providing scholarship for pursuing Ph.D degree programme.

#### REFERENCES

Anonymous. (2007). Hand Book of Agriculture. ICAR Publication, New Delhi

Anzam, M.S., Ali, A., Iqbal, S. M., Haqqani, A. M. (2005). Evaluation and correlation of economically important traits in exotic germplasm of lentil. *Int J Agric Biol.*, **7:** 959-961.

Bahl, P.N., S. Lal and B.M. Sharma. (1993). An overview of the production and problems in South East Asia p: 1-10. In: W. Erskine and M.C. Saxena (eds), Lentil in south Asia proceedings of the seminar on lentils in South Asia. ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria.

Kakde, S. S., Sharma, R. N., Khilkre, A. S. and Lambade, B. M. (2005). Correlation and path analysis studies in lentil. *J. Soil Crops.*, **15:** 67-71.

Karadavut, U. (2009). Path analysis for yield and yield components in lentil. Turkish J. Field Crops., 14: 97-104.

Mondal, M. M. A., Puteh, A. P., Malek, M. A., Roy, S. and Yusop, M. R. (2013). Contribution of morpho-physiological traits on yield of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik). *Aust. J. Crop Sci.*, **7:**1167-1172.

- Om vir, and Gupta, V. P. (1999). Early generation testing and response to selection in macrosperma × microsperma derived gene pool of lentil. *Indian J. Genet.*, **59:** 473-478.
- Rasmusson, D.C. and R.Q. Cannell. (1970). Selection for grain yield and components of yield in barley. Crop Sci. 10:51-54.
- Salimath, P. M. and Bhal, P. N. (1985). Early generation selection in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) effect of selection pressure independently for seed yield and its components. *Indian J. Genet.*, **45:** 105-110.
- Sharma, J. R. (1998). Statistical and biometrical techniques in plant breeding. New Agric. International Publishers, *New Delhi* pp 313 357.
- Shebeski, L. H. (1967). Wheat and Breeding. Proceeding of the Canadian Centennial Wheat Symposium, Modem Press, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada.
- Singh, A. K., Singh, I. S. and Gupta A. K.1998. Early generation evaluation for yield and yield-related traits in lentil. *Indian J. Genet.*, **58:** 495-501.
- Whan, B. R., Knight, R. and Rathjan, A. J. 1982. Response to selection for grain yield and harvest index in F2, F3 and F4 derived lines of two wheat crosses. *Euphytica*, **31:** 139-150.
- Yadav, S. S., Phogat, D. S., Solanki, I. S. and Tomer T. S. 2003. Character association and path analysis in lentil. *Indian J Pulse Res.*, **16:** 22-24.
- Yadav, M. K. and Raje, R. S. (2008). Response to selection in early segregation generation in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum graecum* L.) *Indian J. Genet.*, **68:** 414-418.